

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION  
FOR THE  
ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Board of Directors

PAUL B. SEARS, *President*  
LAURENCE H. SNYDER, *President Elect*  
GEORGE W. BEADLE, *Retiring President*  
WALLACE R. BRODE  
PAUL M. GROSS  
GEORGE R. HARRISON  
MARK H. INGRAHAM  
PAUL E. KLOPSTEG  
CHAUNCEY D. LEAKE  
MARGARET MEAD  
THOMAS PARK  
PAUL A. SCHERER, *Treasurer*  
DAEL WOLFFLE, *Executive Officer*

DAEL WOLFFLE, *Executive Officer*

GRAHAM DUSHANE, *Editor*

CHARLOTTE V. MEETING, *Assistant Editor*

Editorial Board

MARK H. ADAMS      KARL LARK-HOROVITZ  
WALLACE R. BRODE      EDWIN M. LERNER  
BENTLEY GLASS      WILLIAM L. STRAUS, JR.

Editorial Staff

SARAH S. DEES, OLIVER W. HEATWOLE, YUKIE KOZAI, JEAN MCCARTHY, ELIZABETH MCGOVERN, ELLEN E. MURPHY, ROBERT V. ORMES, BETHSABE PEDERSEN, MADELINE SCHNEIDER, JOSEPH TURNER, JACQUELYN VOLLMER, LENORE I. YODER

EARL J. SCHERAGO, *Advertising Representative*

SCIENCE founded in 1880, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at Business Press, Lancaster, Pa. Entered at the Lancaster, Pa., Post Office as second class matter under the Act of 3 March 1879.

SCIENCE is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature* and in the *Industrial Arts Index*.

Editorial and personnel-placement correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in duplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts or for the opinions expressed by contributors. For detailed suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts, book reviews, and illustrations, see *Science* 123, 714 (27 Apr. 1956).

Display-advertising correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, Room 604, 11 West 42 St., New York 36, N.Y.

Change of address: The notification should reach us 4 weeks in advance. If possible, please furnish an address stencil label from a recent issue. Be sure to give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers, if any.

Annual subscriptions: \$7.50; foreign postage, \$1; Canadian postage, 50¢. Single copies, 25¢. Special rates to members of the AAAS. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.

The AAAS also publishes THE SCIENTIFIC MONTHLY.



## Faith in Educational Exchanges

The increase in international educational exchanges since the close of World War II is an important development of American foreign policy. Most of us share the general impression that the exchange of persons is a good thing. The Department of State's recent publication celebrating the 10th anniversary of the Fulbright Act confirms this impression and promises a bright future. But exchange is not without serious problems, and the successes so far achieved have not come easily.

One of the fundamental problems is to determine the degree of control that the Government should retain in the various programs it sponsors. Two positions are taken. The first accepts the thesis that educational exchange is a part of foreign policy but maintains that it is foreign policy with faith—the faith that, in the long run, mutual understanding among nations will be furthered when people from different cultures meet in pursuit of educational goals. The other position is more hardheaded. It holds that the Government does not belong in the exchange business unless it can make sure that the money spent is serving our national security, in the present case by creating a favorable attitude toward our values and policies.

The two principal Government-sponsored exchanges are the Fulbright Program of 1946 and the Smith-Mundt Program, which in 1948 broadened exchange activities to include other kinds of grants and non-Fulbright countries. The two programs are based on the opposing theories of governmental control. The difference, as reflected in administrative machinery, is best illustrated by considering who, in each program, has final responsibility for the selection of grantees. In the Fulbright Program, this responsibility rests with the Board of Foreign Scholarships, whose ten members are appointed by the President, two from Government offices, but the rest from academic and other private professions. In the Smith-Mundt Program, the responsibility lies in the Department of State. This is not to criticize the valuable administrative assistance the Department of State gives both programs. The points at issue are the special interests and commitments of the people who make the appointments and the effect of the locus of power on foreign opinion.

Which of the two views of the role of government will prevail is by no means settled. In a recently published report, Walter Johnson, of the University of Chicago, former chairman of the Board of Foreign Scholarships, stressed that people in other countries are sensitive to our power and that foreign critics are prepared to interpret exchanges as a form of propaganda. But he goes on to say that the administrative arrangements of the Fulbright Program did much to allay foreign suspicion.

We suggest that the philosophy of educational exchange underlying the Fulbright Program is the superior one. True, the proposition that good will is best sought indirectly through the pursuit of other activities may be an article of faith. But it is a matter of experience that this faith itself helps create good will.—J. T.