but it will never ‘snow’ you back.

Sometimes you can’t help it. In certain weighing situations no matter how hard you try, a balance gets dirty from spilled or overflowing powders. Or from dust in the air.

When this happens on a conventional knife-edge balance, the knife-edge fulcrum becomes dirty—gets gummed up, and eventually wears. Increased friction, decreased sensitivity and inaccuracy result. (In time even small amounts of dirt in the atmosphere will have this effect.)

On the other hand, with a Torsion Balance based on fulcrum which twist instead of roll, this cannot happen. There are no friction pivots or knife-edge fulcrum; thus no metal-to-metal wear, and the weighing mechanism cannot be affected by foreign material. The balance will not become sluggish, it retains its initial accuracy and sensitivity, and because of its virtually one-piece construction, adjustment is permanent.

The Torbal DWL2 shown above is a 120-gram capacity balance with a dial accuracy of 5 mg; silicon fluid damping for fast weighing; dials with 9 g x 1 g, and 1 g x 0.01 g graduations, to eliminate use of small loose weights. Write today for literature.

The Torsion Balance Company
Torbal
Department J
Main Office and Factory: Clifton, N. J.; Sales Office: Birmingham, Ala.; Chicago, Ill.; Richardson, Tex.; San Mateo, Cal.; Pittsburgh, Pa.; Plants and Offices in Montreal, Quebec and London, England

To AAAS PUBLICATIONS, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005
Please accept my order for the volumes checked above.

My check or money order in the amount of $________________ is enclosed.

Name (please print)__________________________

Address__________________________

City________________ State________________ Zip Code________________

Toxicity of New Drugs

My letter of 8 July 1966 expressed concern as to whether pharmaceutical manufacturers investigating new drugs were reporting toxicity findings to the Food and Drug Administration. As a drug investigator, I became aware of this problem when I learned that the toxicity data of our Dornwal study for Wallace and Tierman in 1961 had not been reported to the FDA. The suppression of information about this tranquilizer led a federal district court to impose a maximum $40,000 fine on the company and place its medical director on probation for 1 year.

I reviewed reports of 26 new drug studies made between 1954 and 1966 and asked the FDA if the reports about safety had ever been received from the pharmaceutical companies as required by the the New Drug Section of the Pure Food and Drug Law of 1938. This law, which is the result of the 100 deaths of the 1937 sulfanilamide disaster, requires a manufacturer to test each new drug for safety and submit the data to the government before the drug can be marketed. My concern was confirmed when I learned that the FDA had received only 10 of 26 reports on drug safety which had been submitted to 19 pharmaceutical manufacturers. The 14 companies which failed to submit toxicity reports included some of the largest and most scientifically capable pharmaceutical houses.

I recommend that Congress require each investigator of new drugs to send a copy of his entire report to the FDA and other government agencies concerned with drug safety and efficacy. Also the law should require that each new drug investigator be provided with reports of all other investigators who are studying the same or similar compounds. New drug research demands full exchange of information among the responsible scientists. Maximum safety demands informed collaboration between the investigator, the federal government, and the pharmaceutical manufacturer. The Senate Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly headed by Senator Philip A. Hart of Michigan is currently studying changes in the laws governing drug research. Drug investigators should express their views to this subcommittee.

PAUL LOWINGER

School of Medicine,
Wayne State University, 951 East
Lafayette, Detroit, Michigan 48207
NEW DUAL CHANNEL
AUTO-GAMMA® SPECTROMETER

Now you can get two-channel gamma operation at the single-channel price

Model 5212 is a unique, automatic gamma counting system for either single or double-labeled samples. For two-channel analysis the system is automatically programmed to count each isotope sequentially, using a single scaler. Samples are changed automatically, and the data for each channel is recorded by a digital printer. Low, constant backgrounds are ensured by a patented 100-sample automatic changer.

Though it has two-channel capability, Model 5212 is modestly priced... costs less than you would expect to pay for Packard’s single-channel system. It is an ideal instrument for the laboratory where analysis of double-labeled gamma samples is becoming increasingly important, but volume of counting does not justify purchase of a full two-channel system. Model 5212 is one of Packard’s complete line of gamma counting instruments, which range from manual, single-channel instruments to automatic, 400-channel systems for the most sophisticated analysis. Your Packard Sales Engineer can recommend a system exactly suited to your needs. Call him, or write for Bulletin 1064U to Packard Instrument Company, Inc. 2200 Warrenville Road, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515, or Packard Instrument International S.A., Talstrasse 39, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland.