lees with whom I became acquainted in OEO's Work, Experience, and Training Program were friendly, most courteous, and grateful for the "pay" they got from their "jobs." The supervisors and administrators of the program were dedicated and competent. Many if not most of them were indigenous to Appalachia. They had been away to school and came back. They did not want to live or work elsewhere. I tried to recruit some of them without success. They were much related to each other and to the civic and political leaders. This was inevitable in view of their social and geographic isolation. I can't see how any extensive program in Kentucky Appalachia could operate without the relatives of its leaders. For the most part they are the only ones who are trained, who empathize with the people, and know how to deal with the complex interpersonal relationships in this isolated area. . . .

MILTON B. JENSEN

1405 Jacobson Circle,
Sun City Center, Florida 33570

My feelings regarding the OEO's Comprehensive Health Care Program in Floyd County were misrepresented in Bazell's article.

I tried to convey in my interview that all persons involved in the program everywhere should forget all pride and power and come together in unity to formulate a good health care program.

I did not intend to place blame on any one individual or group of individuals for the present status of the program.

RUTH JAMES

318 Central Avenue,
Prestonsburg, Kentucky 41653

Santa Cruz: A Misunderstanding

In commenting on Carter's article (15 Jan. p. 153) about the University of California at Santa Cruz, W. F. Eberz (26 Mar., p. 1200) shows such misunderstanding that some correction—even one as informal as mine—seems useful in these columns.

1) UCSC does not select "those with the highest grades." As a campus of the University of California system, UCSC is bound to admission criteria adopted by the whole system. To select among the applicants to this particular campus, grades are used only as part of a much broader array of criteria. Selecting applicants would be immensely more informed if pass-fail, with written evaluations of students' work, were adopted in secondary schools and so available to us. If we now use grades to judge applicants' strictly academic schoolwork, it is because grades are all that most schools currently present.

2) UCSC does not "deny . . . distinction to those who accomplish the most" nor deny graduate schools or others the means of "selecting the most fitting applicants." Quite the contrary, we believe pass-fail, with written evaluations of a student's work, provides a far more comprehensible, just, complete, and explanatory "grade" than can a list of more familiar but simply opaque digits or ABCDF.

What the university has been trying to do since 1965 is to evaluate students' work and accomplishment, rather than merely to shell out coinage for courses "taken." The endeavor can be faulted on some counts—demands on faculty time, initial uncertainties as to how best to achieve the aims of the task, difficulties in evaluating students' work in large classes—but we are aware of these dilemmas and trying hard to resolve them. What pass-fail and UCSC's other efforts simply cannot be criticized for though is any such hypocrisy as Eberz's letter implies.

TODD NEWBERRY

719 High Street, Santa Cruz, California

Calvert Cliffs Project

One of the crosses borne by a paleontologist is that of being called an archaeologist. It happens all the time in the newspapers and we get pretty used to it, but it's a blow when it happens in Science (21 May, p. 826). This is not the only inaccuracy in Holden's article. The Baltimore Gas and Electric Company did not postpone construction to allow scientific excavation of the power-plant site. The company did, however, give excavation privileges at the site to the Maryland Academy of Sciences, which organized the Calvert Cliffs Paleontology Project with grant support from the National Science Foundation, Ford Foundation, the State of Maryland, National Geographic Society, Blaustein Foundation, and several corporate members of the Maryland Academy.

The project is mainly paleoecologic in nature, and is being carried out by
Only ISCO fraction collectors have the time-saving delay.

Effluent peaks between recorded event marks aren't always deposited in the indicated test tube. Event marks locate tube changes, but the adjacent curve monitors the effluent as it is passing through the flow cell, not into the tubes. The resulting discrepancy can be quite large if the effluent tubing, flow rate, and collected sample size are not perfect. Manual chart corrections are inaccurate and time consuming.

ISCO linear and circular fraction collectors are available with an automatic time delay. This solid state, electronic timer will delay the tube change the required period after event marking the recorder curve. Easy adjustment from one second to 9.9 minutes gives you perfect curves for any run.

The automatic delay is only one of the many features of ISCO fraction collectors. Completely solid state circuitry, easy cleanup after spillage, and low cost are other features completely described in the current ISCO catalog. It has a brown square on the cover—if you don't have your copy, send for it today.

Confused

I RED RWN's article on M-O R&D in the 2 APR issue (p. 29) & was fascinated, EVN if I did not DIG the meaning of all the LETR groupings: R&D, DOD (DoD?), AEC & NASA were not 2 hard, and HEW has been much in the public print lately. U.S., of course, I got immediately. NBS? GAO (General Acceptance Othority?) & NSF were harder. But I quickly appreciated Congressman Daddario's HSoSR&D. And I agree with RWN that people do want to solve problems of ddt, stt, abm, 1sd, and smog and SO (so on). And how about that CSEA he mentions, and the "NIforR&AS" (my abbreviations, not his—how come he didn't)? RWN writes good, and I enjoyed reading his piece. Wish I knew more about the things he was talking about—like I do about any abbreviations I don't happen to know about. They sure make me feel DUM.

Kenneth Deardorf
3613 Alberta Street,
St. Louis, Missouri 63116
GME

OXYGRAPH

New Clark-type electrode assembly can be used with Gilson Model KM or Model K Oxygraphs without modification. The Clark-type electrode eliminates the problems which occur when using a bare platinum electrode with high protein concentrations and particle suspensions such as whole blood and bacteria, and permits the use of the polarographic method in nonconductive solutions. The response time is only slightly greater than that of the bare platinum electrode.

- SENSITIVITY
- RESPONSIVENESS
- STABILITY

A recording oscillating oxygen cathode, the OXYGRAPH is a specific application of polarographic analysis. A single polarizable micro platinum cathode is coupled by a saturated KCl salt bridge to a nonpolarizable saturated calomel reference anode. Instead of recording a complete current-potential curve, only the limiting current (that current which is limited by the concentration of oxygen in solution) is recorded at an applied constant polarizing voltage, of about −0.6 volts with respect to the anode, across the indicator polarizable cathode.

- A micro platinum cathode for recording rapid changes of oxygen concentration in solution
- Large 20-cm span along the y-axis for a high degree of accuracy
- Sensitivity from ten- to a thousandfold greater than that of conventional gasometric methods for O₂ determinations
- Rapidity of measurements and ease of continuous recording permit accurate determinations of very rapid reactions involving molecular oxygen in solution

Developed in collaboration with Dr. S. Kuby of the Enzyme Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

EUROPEAN Manufacturing Branch:
Gilson Medical Electronics (FRANCE)
69, Rue Gambetta • 95 — Villiers-Le-Bel, France

WRITE!

GILSON MEDICAL ELECTRONICS
Middleton, Wisconsin 53562
Telephone 608/836-1551
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