

353-03610

004

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR
THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1975

H. S. GUTOWSKY DONALD LINDSLEY
N. BRUCE HANNAY RUTH PATRICK
DONALD KENNEDY RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

1976

ALFRED E. BROWN FRANK PRESS
JAMES F. CROW FRANK W. PUTNAM
HANS LANDSBERG MAXINE SINGER
EDWARD NEY ARTHUR M. SQUIRES

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editors: PATRICIA ROWE

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER,
DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHOLAS WADE,
CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE
MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D.
METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L.
ROBINSON, GINA BARI KOLATA, FANNIE GROOM

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, LYNN MAN-
FIELD, JANET KEGG

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, JOHN BAKER,
ISABELLA BOULDIN, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN,
SYLVIA EBERHART, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS,
NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KAR-
LIK, MARGARET LLOYD, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN,
LOIS SCHMITT, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART,
ELEANOR WARNER

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE; Sub-
scription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager

MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkland, 11
W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C.
Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO,
ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave.
(312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn
Nance, 11 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET,
VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-
5581)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachu-
setts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area
code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-
4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417;
Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Com-
ment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Re-
search News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4443. Cable: Adv-
vancesci. Washington. Copies of "Instructions for
Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office.
See also page xv, *Science*, 28 June 1974. ADVERTISING
CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New
York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Control of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Coal

During the next 5 years the United States must adapt to coal as its leading domestic energy source. Merely to make up for the decay in the availability of hydrocarbons, use of coal must at least double during the next decade. But prudence would dictate an even greater shift to coal while reserving hydrocarbons for more crucial purposes than for boiler or process heat. The shift to coal will not be without problems. For example, control of the emission of SO₂, accompanying the burning of coal will be difficult and costly.*

There is abundant evidence that SO₂ alone is less toxic than many pollutants. But SO₂ was present on several occasions when lethal air pollution occurred a decade or more ago. Moreover, SO₂ was present during pollution episodes in major cities where a detectable excess of morbidity occurred. Partly because SO₂ is easy to measure and other components of pollution are unknown or difficultly determined, it became the focus of attention. In the United States one of the first regions to take action with respect to pollution was the metropolitan area of New York City. In 1967 regulations were enacted which in effect banned the use of coal and led to enhanced use of natural gas and low sulfur fuel oils. The measures were effective in reducing SO₂ concentrations by 85 percent. But despite this dramatic change there has been no significant decrease in sulfate levels or demonstrable effect on morbidity attending air pollution episodes.

Most of the coal mined and burned in the United States is consumed in the area north of the Ohio and east of the Mississippi rivers. In that region, the average sulfur content of much of the coal is about 3 percent. On burning, about 5 pounds of SO₂ are released per 10⁶ Btu. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has adopted a national standard which limits SO₂ emissions by each new stationary source (installation commenced after August 1971) to 1.2 pounds of SO₂ per 10⁶ Btu. In addition, some states have adopted even more stringent regulations (0.2 pound of SO₂ per 10⁶ Btu). Coal meeting the federal regulations is in short supply and expensive. During the next several years in many cases older plants will be burning high sulfur coal, and compliance with the federal standards and state regulations will be waived on new plants, for the public will not stand for drastic curtailment of its electricity.

To attain long-term compliance with its regulations, EPA has been pushing hard for installation of flue gas desulfurization systems. Some of the installations that were promising at first have not proved reliable. The leader at present involves the use of a slurry of lime. The process gives rise to a soupy sludge containing CaSO₃, CaSO₄, soluble salts, trace elements, and fly ash. For each ton of coal burned about a third of a ton of sludge is formed. During the next 10 years, if EPA standards were to be met generally for all new stationary sources, the annual production of sludge would rise to about 300 million tons a year. In 20 years such an output would form a body of sludge 10 feet deep covering an area of about 240,000 acres. Much of the coal would be burned in urban areas where waste disposal sites are already scarce. The total cost of scrubbing, including capital costs, lime, and other operating expenses, would amount to \$8 to \$30 or more per ton of waste, depending on costs of disposal.

There must be and there are better solutions. First, at comparatively low cost the principal sulfur component of coal, pyrite (FeS₂), can be removed. Thus, a large-scale reduction in sulfur emissions (though probably not enough to meet EPA standards) could be achieved comparatively quickly. A good preliminary removal of pyrite would facilitate subsequent cleanup, using technology now under development. Particularly interesting are closed-cycle processes (*Science*, 11 July, page 128) that produce elemental sulfur.

It is clear that the United States will spend tens of billions of dollars fighting air pollution. Isn't it about time a serious effort was made to identify the crucial pollutants, learn how to measure them, and proceed to abate them in ways that take into account costs and benefits?—PHILIP H. ABELSON

*A useful source of information is a report prepared by the Commission on Natural Resources, National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, and National Research Council, *Air Quality and Stationary Source Emission Control* (Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1975).