Health Effects of Dioxin

The evidence of deleterious health consequences from the environmental use of substances containing 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD) has appeared in a multitude of studies. Philip H. Abelson’s editorial (1) on the dioxin issue is based on a number of misleading inaccuracies about this evidence.

First, the results of the accident at Seveso, Italy, are not limited to mild cases of chloracne, despite Abelson’s statement that “No significant change was observed in the incidence of spontaneous abortions, congenital malformations, or postnatal development.” The Seveso data (2) show a sharp increase in spontaneous abortions during the first trimester of 1977, followed by a slow decrease to 1976 levels and significant increases in risk of malformations. For example, there was a 100 percent increase in the rate of spina bifida, a 71 percent increase in the rate of neural tube defects, an elevenfold increase in hypospadias, and a 110 percent increase in polydactyly. A number of these malformations are frequently observed in animals exposed to TCDD. The Seveso data are still being analyzed for postnatal effects.

Second, the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (3) and recently the Environmental Protection Agency (4) followed workers exposed to TCDD in industrial accidents and found, in sharp disagreement with scientists who analyzed that data for industry, a multiple increase in soft tissue carcinomas and lymphomas.

Third, the question is not whether TCDD has to be ingested before it is toxic (obviously it has to make effective contact) but whether there is an effect from the presence of elevated environmental levels of TCDD, especially as a result of herbicide spraying (5). There is ample evidence that the latter is the case. Multiple studies by Swedish investigators, notably, Axelson and Sundell (6) and Hardell and Erikson and their colleagues (7) show an increase in soft tissue carcinomas in railway and forestry workers exposed to environmental TCDD. Observations from Vietnam (8) have reaffirmed increased liver cancer among populations exposed to Agent Orange during the Vietnam War (8). Spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, and malformations are still reported in these areas (9).

Fourth, it is misleading to stress the great variability of the median lethal dose (LD50) when commenting on the value of animal experiments. It would be more accurate to point to the uniformly low effective doses for producing carcinogenic and teratogenic effects (10).
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Pro and con evidence and differing points of view on questions concerning the toxicity of dioxin are cited in a large number of articles and books. Three publications that contain a total of hundreds of references are
2) Agent Orange Dioxin—The Health Effects of “Agent Orange” and Polychlorinated Dioxin Contaminants, a technical report prepared by the Council on Scientific Affairs of the Advisory Panel on Toxic Substances of the American Medical Association, Chicago, Illinois, October 1984; and

As Sterling points out, a number of investigators have taken the position that TCDD has been a causative agent of soft tissue sarcomas. However, to other experts, the evidence is not compelling.

The Agent Orange report includes the following statement (p. 28): "While 2,4,5-T and 2,4-D pesticides (phenoxy herbicides in Agent Orange) have been used in agriculture, forest management, and residential landscaping for over 30 years, there is still no conclusive evidence that they and/or TCDD (a contaminant of Agent Orange) are mutagenic, carcinogenic, or teratogenic in man, nor that they have caused reproductive difficulties in the human."

—PHILIP H. ABELSON
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