

963 This Week in *Science*

Editorial

965 Setting Priorities in Science

Policy Forum

967 Crisis in Biosystematics of Arthropods: J. H. OLIVER, JR.

Letters

968 Fraud Allegations: H. H. WORTIS, B. T. HUBER, R. T. WOODLAND ■ PRC Students Abroad: X. HAO; P. SUEDFELD ■ Estimates of Species Duration: M. P. RUSSELL AND D. R. LINDBERG; D. JABLONSKI

News & Comment

973 Testing the Limits at Mach 25

976 A Change of Heart

977 Rethinking Technology's Role in Economic Change

978 Giving the Muse a Helping Hand

980 *Briefing*: NSF Appoints Two Assistant Directors ■ Legislating Labs as Drug-Free Workplaces ■ Soviet Satellite in Trouble; Groups Call for Ban on Orbiting Reactors ■ National Science Board Elects Good and Day ■ Curien Returns as French Science Minister

981 Biological Defense Defended

Research News

982 Chromosomes: The Ends in View

984 A Revolution of Ideas in Agricultural Origins

986 Pluto's Orbital Motion Looks Chaotic

Articles

991 Sampling Rare and Elusive Populations: S. SUDMAN, M. G. SIRKEN, C. D. COWAN

996 Abrupt Climate Change and Extinction Events in Earth History: T. J. CROWLEY AND G. R. NORTH

1003 A Molecular Basis for MHC Class II-Associated Autoimmunity: J. A. TODD, H. ACHA-ORBEA, J. I. BELL, N. CHAO, Z. FRONEK, C. O. JACOB, M. McDERMOTT, A. A. SINHA, L. TIMMERMAN, L. STEINMAN, *et al.*

Research Articles

1010 Fos-Associated Protein (p39) Is the Product of the *jun* Proto-oncogene: F. J. RAUSCHER III, D. R. COHEN, T. CURRAN, T. J. BOS, P. K. VOGT, D. BOHMANN, R. TJIAN, B. R. FRANZA, JR.

Reports

1017 Aluminum-Induced Calcium Deficiency Syndrome in Declining Red Spruce: W. C. SHORTLE AND K. T. SMITH

1018 Antarctic Ozone Depletion Chemistry: Reactions of N₂O₅ with H₂O and HCl on Ice Surfaces: M. A. TOLBERT, M. J. ROSSI, D. M. GOLDEN

1021 Evidence for Highly Reflecting Materials on the Surface and Subsurface of Venus: R. F. JURGENS, M. A. SLADE, R. S. SAUNDERS

1024 Radioimmunotherapy with Alpha Particle-Emitting Immunoconjugates: R. M. MACKLIS, B. M. KINSEY, A. I. KASSIS, J. L. M. FERRARA, R. W. ATCHER, J. HINES, C. N. COLEMAN, S. J. ADELSTEIN, S. J. BURAKOFF

■ SCIENCE is published weekly on Friday, except the last week in December, and with an extra issue in February by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Second-class postage (publication No. 484460) paid at Washington, DC, and at an additional entry. Now combined with *The Scientific Monthly*®. Copyright © 1988 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. The title SCIENCE is a registered trademark of the AAAS. Domestic individual membership and subscription (51 issues): \$70. Domestic institutional subscription (51 issues): \$110. Foreign postage extra: Canada \$32, other (surface mail) \$32, air-surface via Amsterdam \$85. First class, airmail, school-year, and student rates on request. Single copies \$3.00 (\$3.50 by mail); back issues \$4.50 (\$5.00 by mail); Biotechnology issue, \$5.50 (\$6 by mail); classroom rates on request; Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments \$16 (\$17 by mail). **Change of address:** allow 6 weeks, giving old and new addresses and seven-digit account number. Authorization to photocopy material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by AAAS to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$1 per copy plus \$0.10 per page is paid directly to CCC, 21 Congress Street, Salem, Massachusetts 01970. The identification code for *Science* is 0036-8075/83 \$1 + .10. **Postmaster:** Send Form 3579 to *Science*, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. *Science* is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature* and in several specialized indexes.

■ The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.



COVER *Sambia*, the "walking fish" of Ambon as depicted by Samuel Fallours, an artist in the employ of the Dutch East Indies Company, around 1700. Fallours's legend to his illustration reads, "I caught it on the sand and kept it alive in my house for three days; it followed me everywhere with great familiarity, much like a little dog." This "bizarre depiction of an antennariid," along with other illustrations by Fallours said to have been modeled exactly from nature, was published in Louis Renard's *Poissons, Ecrevisses et Crabes* (Amsterdam, 1719). [From *Frogfishes of the World*, reviewed on page 1075; courtesy of W. K. Knirrep and the Universiteits-Bibliotheek, Universiteit van Amsterdam]

- 1026 HIV-1 Production from Infected Peripheral Blood T Cells After HTLV-I Induced Mitogenic Stimulation: J. A. ZACK, A. J. CANN, J. P. LUGO, I. S. Y. CHEN
- 1029 Early Signal Transduction by the Antigen Receptor Without Commitment to T Cell Activation: M. A. GOLDSMITH AND A. WEISS
- 1032 Essential Fatty Acid Depletion of Renal Allografts and Prevention of Rejection: G. F. SCHREINER, W. FLYE, E. BRUNT, K. KORBER, J. B. LEFKOWITH
- 1034 Inhibition of Self-Binding Antibodies (Autobodies) by a V_H -Derived Peptide: C.-Y. KANG, T. K. BRUNCK, T. KIEBER-EMMONS, J. E. BLALOCK, H. KOHLER
- 1036 Aldolase Activity of a *Plasmodium falciparum* Protein with Protective Properties: U. CERTA, P. GHERSA, H. DÖBELL, H. MATILE, H. P. KOCHER, I. K. SHRIVASTAVA, A. R. SHAW, L. H. PERRIN
- 1038 Assembly of a Functional Immunoglobulin F_V Fragment in *Escherichia coli*: A. SKERRA AND A. PLÜCKTHUN
- 1041 *Escherichia coli* Secretion of an Active Chimeric Antibody Fragment: M. BETTER, C. P. CHANG, R. R. ROBINSON, A. H. HORWITZ

Technical Comments

- 1043 Carcinogenic Risk Estimation: S. S. EPSTEIN AND J. B. SWARTZ; B. N. AMES AND L. S. GOLD

Book Reviews

- 1048 Rejected Enlightenment: W. S. BAINBRIDGE; other reviews by J. R. MOORE, H. KRAGH, H. B. FRANKLIN, R. STOKES, M. WALKER
- 1054 Directions in Medicine: J. F. FRIES; other reviews by L. H. AIKEN, P. CARRINGTON, H. HARTOG
- 1057 An Enterprise of Social Science: B. BAILAR; other reviews by T. SULLIVAN, J. T. MORTIMER, L. W. BANNER
- 1061 Responses to Automation: W. FINLAY; other reviews by L. A. TILLY, D. S. HIRSHFIELD
- 1065 Career of a Physicist: R. L. WALKER; other reviews by J. S. FRUTON, S. D. WEBB
- 1068 Departures from Symmetry: P. K. KABIR; other review by L. PARKER
- 1070 A Natural Resource: P. M. HOLLIGAN, D. W. TOWNSEND; other reviews by G. M. FRIEDMAN, E. NISBETT
- 1072 Sexual Reproduction: B. CHARLESWORTH; other reviews by M. LABARBERA, G. J. VERMEIJ, W. F. SMITH-VANIZ, F. B. GILL
- 1076 Primatology: J. ALTMANN; other reviews by R. Y. MOORE, M. BAUDRY
- 1080 Contending with Language: V. CRAPANZANO; other reviews by B. WINTERHALDER, J. E. CLARK, G. R. MILNER, M. P. LEONE

AAAS Meetings

- 1088 *1989 AAAS Annual Meeting*: Call for Papers

Products & Materials

- 1092 Glass and Plastic HPLC Columns ■ DNA Synthesizer ■ Liquid Chromatograph ■ Protein Immunoblot Staining Kits ■ Hot Plate-Stirrers ■ Automated Cell-Injection System ■ *E. coli* Transformation Kit ■ Literature

Board of Directors

Sheila E. Widnall
Retiring President,
Chairman

Walter E. Massey
President

Richard C. Atkinson
President-elect

Floyd E. Bloom
Mary E. Clutter
Eugene H. Cota-Robles
Mildred S. Dresselhaus
Beatrix A. Hamburg
Donald N. Langenberg
William T. Golden
Treasurer

Alvin W. Trivelpiece
Executive Officer

Editorial Board

Elizabeth E. Bailey
David Baltimore
William F. Brinkman
E. Margaret Burbidge
Philip E. Converse
Joseph L. Goldstein
F. Clark Howell
James D. IdoI, Jr.
Leon Knopoff
Oliver E. Nelson
Helen M. Ranney
David M. Raup
Howard A. Schneiderman
Larry L. Smarr
Robert M. Solow
James D. Watson

Board of Reviewing Editors

John Abelson
Qais Al-Awqati
Don L. Anderson
Stephen J. Benkovic
Floyd E. Bloom
James J. Bull
Charles R. Cantor
Ralph J. Cicerone
John M. Coffin
Bruce F. Eldridge
Paul T. Englund
Theodore H. Geballe
Roger I. M. Glass
Stephen P. Goff
Robert B. Goldberg

Corey S. Goodman
Jack Gorski
Stephen J. Gould
Richard M. Held
Gloria Heppner
Eric F. Johnson
Konrad B. Krauskopf
Charles S. Levings III
Richard Losick
Karl L. Magleby
Philippa Marrack
Joseph B. Martin
John C. McGiff
Mortimer Mishkin
Jiri Novotny
Gordon H. Orians
Carl O. Pabo
John S. Pearce

Yeshayau Pocker
Michael I. Posner
Jean Paul Revel
Russell Ross
James E. Rothman
Daniel V. Santi
Thomas C. Schelling
Ronald H. Schwartz
Vernon L. Smith
Otto T. Solbrig
Robert T. N. Tjian
Virginia Trimble
Geerat J. Vermeij
Harold Weintraub
Irving L. Weissman
George M. Whitesides
Owen N. Witte
William B. Wood

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Alvin W. Trivelpiece

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editor: Nancy J. Hartnagel

Senior Editors: Eleanor Butz, Ruth Kulstad

Associate Editors: Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szurmi, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Deborah F. Washburn

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Joel S. Granger, Beverly Shields, Anna Victoreen, Barbara Wittig

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Assistant Production Manager: James Landry

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, James J. Olivari, Yolanda M. Rook

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

News and Comment: Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; William Booth, Gregory Byrne, Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Jean L. Marx, Leslie Roberts, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

BUSINESS STAFF

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern

Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle

Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments: Shauna S. Roberts

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 24808 Shrubbery Hill Ct. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0392) 31645.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 25 March 1988 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO.

Setting Priorities in Science

The paths of Big Science and big federal deficits have finally supercollided as science expands and the discretionary part of the federal budget shrinks. We are approaching a zero sum game. It is significant, therefore, that National Academy of Sciences President Frank Press and Association of American Universities President Robert Rosenzweig have each called on scientists to set priorities among disciplines, a subject heretofore considered inappropriate for mention in polite scientific society. The question remains as to how this is to be done.

Many individuals will come forth to proclaim personal priorities, but how can we produce a list that will have credibility both inside and outside the scientific community? The first steps might be to select about 14 individuals with diverse backgrounds who would form a Panel for Science Priorities, operating like such panels at the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health. The members should represent the physical, biological, and social sciences. To achieve credibility, the representatives of the various disciplines should be selected by the appropriate societies—for example, physicists by the American Physical Society, chemists by the American Chemical Society, and so forth. In that way, the criticism that the outcome was predetermined by selection of lions from some disciplines and lambs from others should be avoided. The societies should show the good judgment to appoint wise enthusiasts rather than provincial chauvinists, because willingness to see other points of view would be essential to the success of the panel.

The panel should then give priority ratings to the proposals of Big Science in precisely the same way that panels now consider proposals for Little Science. Each proposal should contain an appropriate budget and arguments about feasibility and significance of projects, both to science and to society. To put these proposals in perspective, each discipline should be charged with putting together a document outlining the effects of a 10% increase and a 10% decrease in funding for the Little Science of that discipline. The priorities panel would then be charged with ranking the order of the proposals for the space shuttle, the genome project, the NSF centers, the 10% increases, the 10% decreases, and so on.

The ground rules of such a system would have to be similar to those of the present granting committees for Little Science—that is, it would be known in advance that subsequent supplemental budget appropriations would have essentially zero chance of being approved. In that way, program proponents would have to present realistic budgets, or be faced with a future half-finished project. Little scientists may not incur cost overruns; neither should Big scientists.

Congress would of course not be mandated to follow the recommendations of the scientific community, because factors such as national prestige and relative values of other parts of the budget would have to be assessed. However, a priorities panel would at least provide the considered judgment of the scientific community. Moreover, it might suggest to the Legislative and Executive branches that they establish equivalent panels on federal priorities to aid them in comparing the demands of farmers, transportation experts, the military, education, the environment, et cetera. A panel composed of congressmen and Executive Branch representatives, specifically asked to rank incremental increases or decreases for science, farmers, health care, and so on in a priority order would have the virtue of introducing rationality into the budget process. Some will say this is utopian. But the automatic features of the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act indicate a strong desire by Congress to deflect the blame for tough budget decisions away from those who must get elected every few years. The priorities panel would allow congressmen to say to constituents, "We set up a panel to introduce reason into the budget process."

It has been said that a democracy will always come to the right decision once it has exhausted every other alternative. The two key features of scientific panels—that is, having a range of experts and the requirement to rank proposals in relation to each other, rather than ad hoc, are crucial tools that have maintained the quality of science. They are clever social devices that can be extended to the new, more difficult tasks of interdisciplinary and intercultural assessments. Such devices will not only help in setting priorities but, as anyone who has filled out a grant proposal knows, will actually improve the hard thinking on which the success of the proposal depends.—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.