

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

News Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Assistant Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford

Senior Editor: Eleanore Butz

Associate Editors: Keith W. Brocklehurst, Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuroni, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Susan Milius

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel,

Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Jane Hurd, MaryBeth Shartle, Beverly Shields

Production Manager: James Landry

Assistant Production Manager: Kathleen C. Fishback

Art Director: Yolanda M. Rook

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Julie Cherry, Catherine S. Siskos

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

Correspondent-at-Large: Barbara J. Culliton

Deputy News Editors: Roger Lewin, Colin Norman

News and Comment/Research News: Mark H. Crawford,

Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Jean L.

Marx, Joseph Paica, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Marjorie

Sun, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

West Coast Correspondent: Marcia Barinaga

BUSINESS STAFF

Circulation Director: John G. Colson

Fulfillment Manager: Ann Ragland

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Sales: New York, NY 10036; J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076; C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60914; Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112; Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251; Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872; Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 30 June 1989 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. **Advertising correspondence** should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725.

Reliability of Electric Service

On 14 June, a particularly vicious storm hit the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area. It destroyed thousands of trees; many of them fell over electrical distribution lines. In the course of minutes about 150,000 customers lost electricity.

Such experiences stimulate questions about the reliability of the electrical system as a whole. Earlier there was excessive generating capacity. Generation of electric power in the period between 1980 and 1986 rose by 1.5% per year. Utility planning was adjusted accordingly. However, for 1987–88, the average yearly increase was 4.2%.* During the first half of this year consumption rose another 3%. Accordingly, questions are now being raised about adequacy of future reserves. The situation differs from region to region, but now in the north and middle Atlantic states reserves are on the low side. The intertie system connecting utilities in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, and the District of Columbia already this summer has been forced to reduce voltage by 5% on four occasions.

A person who is accustomed to a proper response when flicking a switch is not likely to realize the complexities of reliable operation of an electrical system and the need to have reserves to cope with contingencies. Publications of the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) can give one a glimpse of some of the problems. For example, a Generation Availability Report 1983–1987 presents extensive data on the reliability of the more than 4000 electric generating units that represent 91% of the installed capacity of North America.

The NERC report presents detailed figures on outages of all types of plants. Data relevant to coal-fired plants in the 600- to 799-megawatt range illustrate where failures occur. Each plant on average sustained a total of 7.7 forced outages per year due to failures in the boiler. In addition, other systems of the plant accounted for more than 5.6 forced outages per year. Partial failures also led to reduced output. Beyond that, scheduled maintenance required cessation of generation. In all, the average equivalent outage time for boiler-related reasons was 1122 hours out of an annual 8640. Other components of the plant, including the steam turbines, generators, and pollution control equipment, caused further outages totaling about 800 hours. Thus the average plant of this type was available only 78% of the time. Similar figures were noted for other fossil fuel-fired installations.

About half of the outages due to the boiler system result from leaks in boiler tubing. A 600-MW boiler has about 100,000 meters of 6.35-centimeter diameter stainless steel tubing containing about 10,000 welds. Analysis has shown that failure of the tubing occurs for at least 22 reasons—most relate to extreme conditions present in a modern boiler. A 600-MW boiler consumes 10,000 tons of coal and the oxygen from 140,000 tons of air each day. In the center of the boiler, temperatures range up to 2000°C, and the average upward velocity of the gas stream and fly ash is nearly 100 kilometers per hour. Turbulent velocities may be much greater. Near the edges of the boiler, where the water-filled tubes are located, temperatures are as high as 1600°C. In the superheater, which is at the top of the boiler, the temperature of fluid within the tubes is 500°C or more. In some installations, the fluid pressure is 270 atmospheres. Pressure in the fire side of the boiler is slightly below atmospheric. If a tiny leak occurs in the tubing, the hot reactive H₂O cuts a large hole leading to a forced outage. The tubing is also subject to hydrogen embrittlement from the inside. On the outside it is exposed to sulfur compounds, NO_x, and at times to excess oxygen. Occasionally tubing may be exposed to a reducing environment that can also cause failure. Other factors leading to failure include erosion due to impact of fly ash, stress rupture, and fatigue due to vibration.

The NERC Generating Availability Data System pinpointed boiler tube failures as a major source of forced outages. This has led to a cooperative effort involving a number of utilities. They have used extensive data concerning tubing failures. Their efforts, coordinated by the Electric Power Research Institute, have resulted in a substantial decrease in forced outages. This precedent is likely to be followed by other cooperative efforts to reduce other types of outages and thus to increase the reliability of the electric system. Complexities, however, are such that progress will be slow.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

*Department of Energy, "Monthly energy review, March 1989" (DOE/EIA-0035, Energy Information Administration, Washington, DC, 1989).

Science

Reliability of Electric Service

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Science **245** (4919), 689.

DOI: 10.1126/science.245.4919.689

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/245/4919/689>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.