Support for Materials Science and Engineering

Activities in materials science and engineering are yielding very important results, and the field will continue to be one of the most fruitful of all science. Properly supported and implemented, materials science and engineering could make crucial additional contributions to our ability to compete in international trade. But, at least in part due to lack of a serious national commitment, we have lost superiority in the science and engineering of materials. From 1976 to 1987, federal expenditures for nondefense materials science and engineering dropped 23 percent in terms of constant dollars.

Meanwhile, the governments of our major international competitors have targeted advanced materials as a growth area and have developed strong competence in selected areas. The governments have taken a proactive role in deciding which types of materials should be emphasized on the basis of their contribution to enhancing competitiveness.

A recent report of the National Research Council* notes achievements in eight different industries, including aerospace and telecommunications, that have a total work force of 7 million and annual sales totaling $1.4 trillion. In all eight industries advances have come from improved instrumentation, better controls on composition of products, and expanded use of computers in modeling behavior of materials. The report states that "the field of materials science and engineering is entering a period of unprecedented intellectual challenge and productivity. . . . Scientists and engineers have a growing ability to tailor materials from the atomic scale upward to achieve desired functional properties."

Spectacular advances have been achieved in microelectronics. Commercial competition is currently focused on dynamic memory chips having increased circuit density. An exciting frontier arises from ability to create complex, tiny structures using molecular beam epitaxy. By this technique a series of discrete thin layers a few atoms thick can be deposited. Such structures can give rise to novel physical phenomena.

Advances in other types of materials have also been important. For examples, rapid solidification produces metals having excellent combinations of strength, ductility, and corrosion resistance. New processes are yielding improved ceramics. It is now possible to grow diamonds and diamond-like materials at low temperatures and pressures. Tough lightweight polymers of great strength are being produced. Composites of polymers are having increasing roles in high-performance applications. Many new kinds of magnetic materials have been developed, including the neodymium-iron-boron permanent magnets. The list goes on to include superconducting, photonic, and biomaterials.

The report points to several organizational matters that need to be improved. One is a lack of facilities and people capable of synthesizing experimental materials. Earlier we obtained many new materials from Europe for characterization here. They now study such items there. A second deficiency is lack of support for development of new instrumentation. At one time we had a virtual monopoly in pioneering advances in instrumentation. Now practically no federal funds are available to universities for the purpose. Instrument developments in other countries provide special advantages to first users there.

Another concern expressed in the report has to do with education. Most of the people engaged in materials research enter the field with backgrounds in physics and chemistry. Only about 1000 students annually receive bachelor's degrees in materials science and engineering departments. About the same number graduated during the 1970s. This stagnation scarcely reflects the opportunities or importance of the field.

Throughout the report there is frequent mention of the need for better interaction between materials scientists and engineers located at universities and their counterparts in industry and at federal laboratories. The cultures of the institutions differ, but they have much to contribute to each other by way of ideas and knowledge. Periodic human interaction is a far better mechanism for transfer of technology than the literature. It was good to learn that regional meetings designed to promote interaction among materials scientists and engineers are now being organized, with a first one slated for Princeton University on 22 March.—PHILIP H. ABELSON
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