"We’re used to being quoted."

When scientists gather to talk science, they very often end up talking SCIENCE.

With a circulation almost 500% larger than the second-ranked journal, SCIENCE gets into more conversations because it gets into more hands, reaching more doctoral scientists in R&D, more NIH Grant recipients, more science policy-makers than any other publication in the field.

Just as the elite readership of SCIENCE responds to its editorial content, it responds to its advertising as well. If you’re involved in scientific products or recruitment advertising, the best place for that advertising is SCIENCE. You’ll enjoy being quoted. And acted upon.
This volume provides a thorough introduction to the issues concerning the unique relationships among science, technology, and society (STS). It offers 85 articles, editorials, and letters published over the past 40 years in Science, the weekly journal of the AAAS.

The material provides a broad overview of the emerging relationships of science, technology, and society in the period after World War II. Contributors include Bertrand Russell, C.P. Snow, Pope John Paul II, and many scholars well known in the scientific literature. The collection reflects a variety of perspectives on science, technology, and society. Provocative essays capture the concerns of leaders in the scientific community who sought to articulate the pressing problems of their times.

The book is a valuable resource for those with a professional interest in STS studies. It is also designed for use as a supplemental text for college or high school courses examining the social context of STS. And it is of interest to lay readers who want to gain an insight into the purposes and values that shape the directions of science.

Topics include: Scientific responsibility; science and freedom; science and ethics; the human side of science; scientists and citizens; science and the modern world; fraud and misconduct in science; professional rights and duties in the health sciences; science and risk; and science and national security.

1989; 262 pp.; softcover; index
#89-12S - $19.95 (members $15.95)

Dael Wolfe was executive officer of AAAS during the post-war period that saw an unprecedented growth in American science. In this clear and engaging narrative, he describes the Association's role in that growth as well as its internal changes as it sought to serve its four key constituencies: scientists working in all fields of science and technology, students seeking careers in those fields, a public that increasingly needed to understand new technological advances, and opinion leaders whose decisions could influence scientific and technological activities.

Dr. Wolfe also describes the AAAS's work on a wide range of national issues, including development of the National Science Foundation; Cold War concerns about the loyalty and freedom of scientists; questions about the ownership and control of research; efforts to develop an effective science curriculum for all Americans; and issues regarding air conservation, the use of arid lands, the effects of herbicides in Vietnam, and much more.

This book is essential for historians of science, members of scholarly organizations, and everyone who wants to understand the inner workings of a major scientific society.

346 pp.; softcover; index
#89-14S - $24.95 (members $19.95)
A company is known by the company it keeps.

What you say in SCIENCE says a lot about your business.

Advertising in SCIENCE is one of the easiest—and wisest—decisions a company serving the scientific community can make.

Every ad in SCIENCE is seen by over 153,000 subscribers. Almost five times as many as the other journal in our field.

But superiority in numbers is only the beginning. SCIENCE readers constitute more than 50% of all PhD scientists working in R&D, 69% of all NIH grant recipients, 70% of those recognized as science policy leaders.

Your advertising message in SCIENCE opens a dialogue with these decision-makers, marking your company as a member of a distinguished circle of scientific leaders.

If you have a message for the influentials of science, deliver it in SCIENCE. You'll be in very good company.
AIDS 1988: AAAS Symposia Papers
Edited by Ruth Kulstad
Foreword by C. Everett Koop

This volume contains many of the ground-breaking papers on AIDS presented at the 1988 AAAS Annual Meeting.

The wide variety of the papers presented here reflects the current thinking about AIDS research today—that control over the AIDS epidemic must be achieved through a collaborative, multi-disciplinary effort that includes not only the medical and biological sciences, but the social and behavioral sciences as well.

This fully indexed volume is organized into five major sections: The first explores the epidemiology and natural history of AIDS and the HIV infection both in the United States and internationally. It also looks at the difficulty of predicting the course of the virus—statistical issues; patterns of HIV-1 incubation, infectiousness, and transmission; and approaches to demographic impact modeling.

Section two discusses the social consequences of AIDS as they relate to gender, intravenous drug users, and public policy, and it examines the dilemmas that AIDS presents to the medical community.

The third section focuses on the AIDS prevention strategies currently employed around the world.

Section four considers the economic, political, ethical and legal issues surrounding the epidemic.

The final section presents several recent medical and biological studies on AIDS and HIV.

AIDS 1988 is a timely, authoritative source of information on the growing body of AIDS research being conducted around the world. It is essential for medical, biological, social, and behavioral scientists, and for everyone who is professionally or personally concerned about the AIDS epidemic.

1988; 478 pp.; softcover
index; 12 appendices
#88-19S – $29.95 (members $23.95)

AIDS: Papers from Science, 1982-1985
Edited by Ruth Kulstad

This collection includes frequently cited research papers and news reports on AIDS that were published in Science between August 1982 and September 1985.

Many of the papers relate directly to AIDS research, while others touch on relevant aspects of the immune system. The news stories help to explain the ongoing research, while focusing on some of the sociological questions raised by the disease. The book is arranged chronologically, enabling the reader to trace the history of the AIDS epidemic through September 1985—a history that is of great sociological interest.

This fully indexed collection is valuable not only for the experimental data and conclusions, but also as an excellent bibliographic reference of AIDS work in major journals worldwide.

1986; 654 pp.; softcover
detailed indexes and illustrations
#85-23S – $19.95 (members $15.95)

Order from:
AAAS Books, Dept. SM
PO Box 753
Waldorf, MD 20604

Individuals must prepay or use VISA/MasterCard. AAAS will pay postage on prepaid or credit card orders; for institutional purchase orders add $3.50 postage and handling. Please specify publication number(s) and allow 2-3 weeks for delivery. For shipments to California, add applicable sales tax.
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Manuscripts should be addressed to the Editor, Science, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Submit three copies together with a letter of transmittal giving
1) the names and telephone numbers of the authors;
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3) three to eight keywords to be used for indexing;
4) the names, addresses, telephone numbers, and fields of interest of four to six persons outside your institution who are qualified to referee the paper;
5) the names of colleagues who have reviewed the paper;
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