Molecular Basis for Interactions of G Protein βγ Subunits with Effectors
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Both the α and βγ subunits of heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide–binding proteins (G proteins) communicate signals from receptors to effectors. Gβγ subunits can regulate a diverse array of effectors, including ion channels and enzymes. Gα subunits bound to guanine diphosphate (Gα-GDP) inhibit signal transduction through Gβγ subunits, suggesting a common interface on Gβγ subunits for Gα binding and effector interaction. The molecular basis for interaction of Gβγ with effectors was characterized by mutational analysis of Gβ residues that make contact with Gα-GDP. Analysis of the ability of these mutants to regulate the activity of calcium and potassium channels, adenylyl cyclase, phospholipase C-β2, and β-adrenergic receptor kinase revealed the Gβ residues required for activation of each effector and provides evidence for partially overlapping domains on Gβ for regulation of these effectors. This organization of interaction regions on Gβ for different effectors and Gα explains why subunit dissociation is crucial for signal transmission through Gβγ subunits.

Upon receptor activation, G proteins dissociate into free Gα and Gβγ subunits that can activate various effectors. Gγ proteins of the Gβγ complex include phospholipases, adenyl cyclases, ion channels, and protein–coupled receptor kinases. Other potential Gγ effectors include intrinsic tyrosine kinases Btk and Tsk. GDP-bound Gα subunits (Gα-GDP) can compete with Gβγ effectors and deactivate Gβγ-dependent signaling, suggesting that Gβγ may use a common binding surface for interaction with Gα and with its diverse effectors. Two regions on Gβγ that interact with Gα have been defined by the crystal structures of heterotrimeric Gαβγ (8), the switch interface (Gβ residues 57, 59, 98, 101, 117, 119, 143, 186, 228, and 332) and the NH2-terminal interface (Gβ residues 55, 78, 80, and 89). Each of these residues on retinal Gβ (Gβ1) was substituted with alanine, and each Gβ1 mutant was expressed with either Gγ1 or Gγ2, two isoforms of the Gγ subunit. All mutated Gβ1γ1 dimers were folded properly, were post-translationally modified appropriately, and were expressed at similar amounts as in the wild type. The Gβγ mutants were tested for their ability to assemble into heterotrimers with Gα, to be activated by rhodopsin, and to interact with Gβγ downstream signaling partners: β-adrenergic receptor kinase (BARK), phospholipase C-β2 (PLC-β2), adenylyl cyclase 2 (AC2), muscarinic potassium channel (GIRK1/GIRK4), and the calcium channel α1B subunit (CCaα1B).

To determine whether purified Gβ1H1Y1 mutants could form heterotrimers, we measured the ability of the Gβγ mutants to facilitate pertussis toxin–catalyzed adenine diphosphate (ADP) ribosylation of transducin Gα-GDP (Gtα) (10). All mutants could support some level of ADP ribosylation, although Gβ mutants Ile80 → Ala80 (I80A), K89A, L117A, and W332A (11) showed reduced ability to form heterotrimers (Fig. 1A).

Because Gβγ is essential for functional heterotrimer interaction with activated receptors that catalyze the exchange of GDP for guanosine triphosphate (GTP) on the Gα subunit, we also measured the ability of the Gβγ mutants to support light-activated rhodopsin-catalyzed nucleotide exchange on the α subunit of transducin (Gtα) (12). All switch interface mutants (except K57A and Y59A) and the NH2-terminal interface mutants I80A and K89A were defective in formation of functional heterotrimers (Fig. 1B).

Some Gβγ mutants were impaired in both assays, indicating that residues 80, 89, 117, and 332 of Gβ are the major determinants of binding to Gtα. The switch interface mutants (S98A, W99A, M101A, N143A, and D186A) were normal in heterotrimer assembly, but were impaired functionally in supporting receptor-catalyzed nucleotide ex-

**Fig. 1.** Effects of Gβ1H1Y1 on heterotrimer assembly and receptor interaction. The data are the normalized percentage of wild-type (WT) recombinant Gβγ activity. (A) The ability of recombinant Gβ1H1Y1 and Gβ1 mutants to assemble into heterotrimers with Gtα was determined by testing whether pertussis toxin could ADP-ribosylate Gtα with [32P]nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (10). The Gβ residue mutated to alanine is indicated by a number beneath each bar in the figure. Clear bar (C) represents the basal amount of ADP-ribosylation of Gtα that occurred in absence of Gβγ. (B) The ability of recombinant Gβ1H1Y1 and Gβ1 mutants to bind Gtα and interact with rhodopsin was determined by the amount of [35S]GTP-γ-S binding catalyzed by light-activated rhodopsin (12). Clear bar (C) is the basal amount of [35S]GTP-γ-S binding to Gtα in the presence of urea-washed rod outer segment membranes (50 nM) without added Gβγ. The data represent the mean ± SEM of duplicate determinations in three independent experiments. Alanine mutants that have a distinguishable activity from the wild type are indicated by gray bars; those with activity similar to the wild type are indicated by black bars (11).
binding to residues 117 and 143 resulted in decreased mutations at Gb that led to increased binding to and 332 of Gb mutant. The amount of Gb containing calcium channel. (A) The amount of Gb1 present in bARK immune complexes was detected as described (14). Light orange bars show those mutations that decrease Gb by binding regions decreased binding are found on the left side (Fig. 3). The effects of bARK binding interface, whereas those mutations that led to increased binding were clustered together at the middle of the structure (Gb residues 57, 59, and 332) or are at the right side of the surface (Gb residue 89).

Gbγ is an important modulator of various isoforms of phospholipase C-β (2, 15) and adenylyl cyclase (16), effectors that regulate intracellular concentration of second messengers inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate and cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate. The ability of the Gbγ mutants to stimulate the activity of PLC-β2 was determined by quantitating the amount of inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate produced by the purified enzyme in the presence of the Gbγ mutants (17). Some 13 of the 15 Ga-interacting residues of Gb we tested were important for Gbγ-dependent activation of PLC-β2, suggesting that Ga and PLC-β binding regions on Gb are overlapping (Fig. 2B). Mutants W99A and D228A no longer activated PLC-β2 and mutants I80A, K89A, M101A, L117A, N119A, T143A, D186A, and W332A were less effective than wild-type Gb. Mutants L55A and S98A activated PLC-β2 to a greater extent than wild-type Gbγ. These residues are circled in magenta on the Gbγ surface (Fig. 3). The effects of the Gbγ mutants on AC2 activation were determined in vitro (18) in the presence of constitutively activated Gα that has glutamine at residue 227 mutated to leucine (Q227L). All the Ala mutations of Gb residues, except I80A and T143A, had decreased ability to activate AC2 (Fig. 2C); their locations on the Gbγ structure are indicated in teal (Fig. 3).

We also measured K+ currents in Xenopus laevis oocytes injected with RNAs for Girk1/Girk4 and Gbβ mutants (19). The ability of Gbγ to increase conductance through the muscarinic potassium channel Girk1/Girk4 was disrupted by alanine mutations at Gb residues 55, 78, 89, 99, and 228 (Fig. 2D). All these Gb residues except W99 and D228 cluster within the NH2-terminal interface of Gb (Fig. 3; blue lines).

Gbγ inhibits the activity of certain calcium channels (20). We measured the ability of Gbγ mutants to inhibit the conductance of Ca2+ channels in HEK 293 cells expressing Ccα1B-containing Ca2+ channels and Gbβ mutants (21). Alanine mutations of Gb residues 55 and 80, which lie close together at the top of Gb, had enhanced ability to inhibit current through Ccα1B-containing Ca2+ channels (Fig. 2E). Alanine mutations of Gb residues 78, 101, 119, 143, 186, and 332 were no longer able to inhibit current through calcium channels.

Our results demonstrate that many of the Ga-interacting residues of Gb are important in interactions between Gbγ and change on Gα. This observation indicates that Gbγ may actively participate in receptor-catalyzed nucleotide exchange, rather than being simply a passive binding partner in receptor-G protein interactions.

Gbγ mediates translocation of G protein–coupled receptor kinases from the cytosol to the membrane, in order that these kinases can phosphorylate activated G protein–coupled receptors and initiate receptor internalization (13). The Gb mutants varied in their ability to associate with bARK1 (Fig. 2A) (14). Alanine mutations at Gb residues 117 and 143 resulted in decreased binding to bARK1. In contrast, alanine mutations at Gb residues 57, 59, 89, 186, and 332 of Gb led to increased binding to bARK1. The mutations that resulted in decreased binding are found on the left side of the Gb surface (Fig. 3) and likely form the bARK binding interface, whereas those mutations that led to increased binding were clustered together at the middle of the structure (Gb residues 57, 59, and 332) or are at the right side of the surface (Gb residue 89).
its signaling partners, and show the functional importance of individual amino acids in the signal transfer from Gβγ to effector activation. Alanine mutation of these Gβγ residues may increase, decrease, or abolish Gβγ-dependent interactions. It was unexpected to find Gβγ mutants that are better than the wild type at stimulating the activity of PLC-β2 and inhibiting Ca2+ channels. One possible reason for such “gain-of-function” mutations is that turn-off of Gβγ-mediated signaling requires Gα-GDP competition with effectors. The normal side chains at these positions may be destabilizing to effector interaction resulting in a lower affinity in order to allow reassembly of heterotrimeric Gαβγ.

Each signaling partner for Gβ relies on a different subset of Gβ residues for its interaction and hence, creates a set of unique “footprints” on Gβ (Fig. 3). These results are consistent with studies that have suggested a common effector binding surface on Gβγ located near the region of residues 70 to 145 of Gβ (22). These data raise an interesting issue of how G proteins and their effectors are oriented with respect to the membrane and whether their orientations change during subunit dissociation and activation (8, 23). The Gα-binding surface on Gβ may not be the only region of effector interaction. Other Gβγ regions of effector interactions that have been implicated are the coiled-coil interface at the NH2-termini of Gβ and Gγ (24) and the COOH-terminal region of Gβ (25).

The alanine mutations of Gα-interacting Gβ residues provide an initial framework to determine how Gβγ subunits interact with and regulate so many different effectors that have so little structural similarity. Our studies show that the effector interaction regions are clustered on Gβ such that they partially overlap one another (Fig. 3). This mode of clustering allows for one key regulator (Gα) to regulate Gβγ signal transmission to multiple effectors.
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The apical ectodermal ridge (AER) is an essential structure for vertebrate limb development. Wnt3a is expressed during the induction of the chick AER, and misexpression of Wnt3a induces ectopic expression of AER-specific genes in the limb ectoderm. The genes β-catenin and Lef1 can mimic the effect of Wnt3a, and blocking the intrinsic Lef1 activity disrupts AER formation. Hence, Wnt3a functions in AER formation through the β-catenin/LEF1 pathway. In contrast, neither β-catenin nor Lef1 affects the Wnt7a-regulated dorsoventral polarity of the limb. Thus, two related Wnt genes elicit distinct responses in the same tissues by using different intracellular pathways.

The Wnt gene family encodes a group of signaling molecules that are implicated in numerous aspects of morphogenesis in both vertebrates and invertebrates. Several chick Wnt genes are expressed in a specialized epithelial structure running along the distal margin of the limb bud, called the apical ectodermal ridge (AER), which is essential for limb morphogenesis (1, 2). Wnt3a is the first of these genes to be expressed in the limb. We therefore examined the spatiotemporal pattern of expression of Wnt3a in developing limb buds with respect to that of Fgf8, the earliest known AER marker during chick development (3, 4) (Fig. 1A through D) (5).

Wnt3a transcripts are detected before Fgf8 transcripts in the limb field ectoderm but not in the flank outside the limb fields. Subsequently, Wnt3a expression is up-regulated in the ectoderm cells near the dorsoventral (DV) border. Fgf8 expression is initiated and then up-regulated within the region of high Wnt3a expression during AER formation. From stage 20 on, Wnt3a and Fgf8 expression are confined primarily to the mature AER. Thus, Wnt3a expression appears to presage Fgf8 expression and AER formation.

To verify the epistatic relationship between Wnt3a and Fgf8 that is suggested by the expression data, we ectopically delivered each factor to developing limb buds. We misexpressed Wnt3a in the limb ectoderm using a replication-competent retroviral vector and assayed for the expression patterns of the various AER markers (6). Misexpression of Wnt3a induced ectopic expression of AER-specific genes, including Bmp2, Fgf4, and Fgf8, in broad patchy domains in the ectoderm of nearly 100% of infected limbs (Fig. 1E) (5). However, Wnt3a expression was not induced in the ectoderm by either fibroblast growth factor 4 (FGF4) protein or Fgf8-virus (5). This suggests that Wnt3a acts upstream of FGFs in establishing AER gene expression.

In addition to its effect on AER gene expression, Wnt3a misexpression occasionally led to disruption of the AER or to formation of an ectopic AER extending ventrally, or both (Fig. 1, E and F). These morphological effects on the AER are reminiscent of those seen after misexpression of Radical fringe (7). We therefore examined Radical fringe expression and found that it was ectopically expressed in Wnt3a-infected limbs (8). Disruption of the AER morphology was only seen in a subset of Wnt3a-infected limb buds, which is consistent with the finding that Radical fringe only affects AER formation when it is misexpressed at the earliest stages of limb development (7).

The FGFs produced in the AER are responsible for maintaining the proliferative state of the undifferentiated mesoderm at the distal tip of the limb bud, the progress zone (PZ) (1). To verify that the FGFs induced in the limb bud ectoderm by Wnt3a are functional signals, we examined the expression of several PZ markers: Fgf10 (9), Mx1 (10), Nmyc (11), and Slug (12). Equivalent results were obtained with each of these markers (Fig. 2) (8). When the AERs were removed from experimental limb buds, expression of the PZ markers was rapidly lost (Fig. 2, C and D). Application of Wnt3a-expressing cells to the AER-deprived limbs induced Fgf8 expression and restored expression of the PZ markers (Fig. 2, E and F). To show that this response was due to the ectopic expression of FGFs and not to a direct action of Wnt3a itself, we removed the adjacent distal ectoderm as well as the AER so that the Wnt3a cells could not induce ectodermal Fgf8 expression (Fig. 2G). Under these conditions, Wnt3a induced little or no expression of the PZ genes (Fig. 2H). The maintenance of the PZ is critical for outgrowth of the limb bud. The long-term effects of virally mediated Wnt3a misexpression, and consequent ectopic FGF production by the ectoderm, included some cases in which extra outgrowth formed digitlike structures (5). Wnt3a thus appears to influence both morphological AER formation and induction of AER-specific genes in the early limb bud.

The members of the vertebrate Wnt gene family have been categorized by their relative ability to transform murine mammary epithelial cells (13). A similar classification can be made on the basis of the ability to...
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