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Based on analysis of the reassociation kinetics of bacterial DNA in soil, Gans et al. (Reports, 26 August 2005, p. 1387) claimed that millions of microbe species existed in 10 grams of pristine soil and that 99.9\% of the diversity was lost as a result of toxic metals. We show that the data do not support these startling conclusions unambiguously.

Gans et al. (1) reanalyzed the reassociation kinetics for bacterial DNA from pristine and metal-polluted soils. They claimed that a power law best described the abundance distributions and that more than one million species existed in pristine soil— an increase of two orders of magnitude compared with earlier estimates. Our analysis shows that the data analyzed in (1) constrain neither the species-abundance relationship nor the effective parameters, including the total diversity.

Consider the rate equation

$$\frac{d[C_i]}{dt} = -k\gamma[C_i][C_0]^{\gamma}$$

where \([C_i]\) is the concentration of single-stranded DNA of the \(i\)-th species at time \(t\), \([C_0]\) is the concentration at \(t = 0\), the coefficient \([1 + \gamma(\gamma)]\) determines the order of the reaction, and \(k\) is a measure of the reaction rate. The empirical retardation factor \(\gamma\) is equal to 1 for a second-order reaction. As a solution, one obtains the basic equation used by Gans et al. (1).

$$\frac{[C_i]}{[C_0]} = \frac{1}{(1 + k[C_0]t)^\gamma}$$

Following Gans et al., we reconsidered data fits to equation 2 (in 1) obtained for the case of a species-abundance distribution \(P(n)\). We considered two of the models studied in (1) for the species-abundance relationship, delta and zipf, described by the following equations.

$$P(n) = \delta(n - N/S)$$

where \(N\) is the total number of species and \(S\) is the total population, and

$$P(n) = \frac{1 - z}{n^{2z}[N_0^{1-z} - (N_0 + \Delta)^{1-z}]}$$

where \(N_0 \leq n \leq N_0 + \Delta\) and \(N_0 + \Delta\) and \(z\) are all free parameters. One can readily find analytic forms for the Cot equation in the two cases to be

$$\frac{[C]}{[C_0]} = \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}[C_0]t)^\gamma}$$

and

$$\frac{[C]}{[C_0]} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2 F(z; \gamma; 1 + z; -\beta[C_0]t)}$$

with \(\alpha = 1 + [(\Delta)/(N_0)]\) and \(\beta = [(k z(1 - \alpha^{-1}))/((1 - z)(\alpha^2 - 1))]\), respectively, where \(F(z; \gamma; 1 + z; -\beta[C_0]t)\) is the hypergeometric function. One can observe great variation in the model behavior upon changing the empirical parameters. Furthermore, the value of \(\gamma\) could very well depend on the degree of purity of the soil.

Figure 2 shows the fits of the data using Eq. 6 (the zipf model), with \(k = 5.19\) (the reaction rate for \(E.\) coli) and \(\gamma = 0.45\) (the retardation factor for \(E.\) coli), as carried out in (1). Interestingly, the quality of the fits does not degrade significantly when \(S\) varies over a wide range.

Thus, our reanalysis of the data using the framework developed in (1) suggests that the data, in and of themselves, constrain neither the species-abundance relationship nor the effective parameters, including the total diversity. This problem is exacerbated because there are no error estimates in the experimental data, and one can observe great variation in the model behavior upon changing the empirical retardation factor \(\gamma\).

Accurately determining microbial diversity and gauging the impact of pollutants on it are extremely vital issues (3) that affect health, agriculture, and geochemical cycles. Unfortunately, the experimental data analyzed in (1) do not allow one to infer either that the diversity of pristine soil is orders of magnitude higher than previously thought or that metal pollutants have a devastating effect on microbial diversity.
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Fig. 1. Cot curves for noncontaminated (red), low-contaminated (blue), and highly contaminated (green) samples, along with the fits to the delta model of species abundance.
Fig. 2. Cot curves for (A) noncontaminated (red), (B) low-contaminated (blue), and (C) highly contaminated (green) samples, along with the fits to the zipf model of species abundance. In each case, the data are fitted to several versions of the model with varying numbers of species $S$ as shown in the inset. The blue solid lines represent the best fit in accord with analysis in (1). The other lines are fits in which the number of species is decreased by factors of $8.2$ and $82$ for the pristine soil and increased by factors of $3.56$ and $50$ for the two polluted cases, with little degradation in the quality of fit. The most sensitive region of the Cot curve occurs when $[C]/[C_0]$ becomes small compared with $1$, and the experimental data does not extend to this regime.
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