



Edited by **Jennifer Sills**

Denuclearizing North Korea requires trust

In their Policy Forum “Denuclearizing North Korea: A verified, phased approach” (7 September, p. 981) A. Glaser and Z. Mian describe a pathway for verified denuclearization of North Korea. I agree that such an approach is necessary and, equally importantly, technically feasible. However, Glaser and Mian only highlight the disarmament side of the denuclearization agreement, without a plan to develop the mutual trust and the assurances on which such a deal depends. Incentivizing North Korea to reduce nuclear weapons and fissile materials will require confidence-building measures, ease of sanctions, and security guarantees. These elements are strongly related to the disarmament questions and must be regulated with similar precision.

Coordinating with the proposed phased approach, the involved parties could pair North Korea’s freeze on weapon-related activities with a freeze of new nuclear-related sanctions or military exercises in the region. Such commitments would lay the foundation for an interim agreement, paving the way for long-term denuclearization. In a final step, the facilitation of humanitarian trade in areas such as health and nutrition would initiate the ease of sanctions and the establishment of credible security guarantees.

These measures need control and verification mechanisms, too. In case of nonfulfillment of such an agreement, it must be possible to swiftly reinstate the United

Nations Security Council’s sanctions. The structure of this contingency could be similar to the snapback mechanism in Article 37 of the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action with Iran (1). Likewise, North Korea will insist on similar guarantees if it dismantles its nuclear weapons. It is always a challenge to create mechanisms that can credibly assure such guarantees for both parties, and this has become even more difficult after the U.S. withdrawal from the Iran nuclear agreement.

Tobias W. Langenegger

Chair of Negotiation and Conflict Management, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.
Email: tlangenegger@ethz.ch

REFERENCE

1. United Nations Security Council Resolution 2231 (2015); [https://undocs.org/S/RES/2231\(2015\)](https://undocs.org/S/RES/2231(2015)).

10.1126/science.aav4636

Neutrino physics for Korean diplomacy

Continued diplomatic progress with North Korea will be a journey of many steps, as A. Glaser and Z. Mian describe in their Policy Forum “Denuclearizing North Korea: A verified, phased approach” (7 September, p. 981). Leaders in North Korea, South Korea, and the United States agree that one step could be dismantlement or civilian repurposing of the nuclear reactors at Yongbyon. We propose a cooperative method for verifying reactor shutdown or conversion. The key tools are meter-scale, field-deployable detectors that track neutrino emissions from reactor cores.

Neutrino detectors can track power

A freeze in military exercises could help to establish trust during nuclear negotiations with North Korea.

levels and fuel evolution in nuclear reactors, as experiments in South Korea, China, Russia, the United States, and Europe have demonstrated (1–7). At Yongbyon, neutrino detectors could be deployed to verify reactor shutdown or civilian operations without the need for operational records or access inside reactor buildings. Shutdown of North Korea’s main plutonium production reactor could be verified with a detector in a standard freight container parked outside the reactor building.

Existing neutrino technology may be attractive to all parties in the ongoing talks. North Korea may value a tool for demonstrating treaty compliance while maintaining custody of the reactor buildings. Other parties may value the tamper resistance of the neutrino signal and resilience of neutrino detectors, which require minimal on-site access and can reconstruct reactor operational history even after a data-taking pause. Neutrino projects are also a natural opportunity to strengthen relations between North and South Korea and to build international scientific ties. South Korea has an active neutrino community and could choose to deploy a counterpart to a Yongbyon-based detector at one of its own reactors. Resulting scientific collaboration could benefit Korea and the world. We encourage policy-makers to consider neutrino detectors as one step toward stability and security on the Korean Peninsula.

Rachel Carr,^{1*} Jonathon Coleman,² Giorgio Gratta,³ Karsten Heeger,⁴ Patrick Huber,⁵ YuenKeung Hor,⁶ Takeo Kawasaki,⁷ Soo-Bong Kim,⁸ Yeongduk Kim,⁹ John

Advance your career
with expert advice from
Science Careers.



Download Free Career
Advice Booklets!

ScienceCareers.org/booklets

Featured Topics:

- Networking
- Industry or Academia
- Job Searching
- Non-Bench Careers
- And More



Science Careers

FROM THE JOURNAL SCIENCE AAAS

Learned,¹⁰ Manfred Lindner,¹¹ Kyohei Nakajima,¹² Seon-Hee Seo,⁹ Fumihiko Suekane,¹³ Antonin Vacheret,¹⁴ Wei Wang,⁶ Liang Zhan¹⁵

¹Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139–4307, USA. ²Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Merseyside, UK. ³Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ⁴Wright Laboratory, Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ⁵Center for Neutrino Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA. ⁶School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ⁷Department of Physics, Kitasato University, Sagami-hara, Japan. ⁸Department of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea. ⁹Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon, Korea. ¹⁰University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA. ¹¹Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany. ¹²Graduate School of Engineering, University of Fukui, Fukui, Japan. ¹³Research Center for Neutrino Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan. ¹⁴Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London, UK. ¹⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. *Corresponding author. Email: recarr@mit.edu

REFERENCES

1. Y. J. Ko *et al.* (NEOS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 121802 (2017).
2. F. P. An *et al.* (Daya Bay Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 251801 (2017).
3. I. Alekseev *et al.* (DANSS Collaboration), arXiv:1804.04046 (2018).
4. G. Bak *et al.* (RENO Collaboration), arXiv:1806.00574 (2018).
5. J. Ashenfelter *et al.* (PROSPECT Collaboration), arXiv:1806.02784 (2018).
6. N. S. Bowden *et al.* (SONGS Collaboration), *J. Appl. Phys.* **105**, 064902 (2009).
7. G. Boireau *et al.* (Nucifer Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 112006 (2016).

10.1126/science.aav8136

Improve traffic death statistics in China

Sustainable Development Goal 3.6 aims to “halve the number of global deaths and injuries from road traffic accidents” by 2020 (1). Accurate statistics are crucial to monitoring the progress toward this goal. According to current data, China contributed 23% of global road traffic deaths in 2016 (2). However, Chinese police departments have been criticized for underreporting road traffic deaths (3, 4) and consistently attributing more than 99% of fatal crashes to road users’ behavior rather than vehicle or environmental factors (5). Incomplete and distorted fatal crash data not only mislead policy-makers and researchers but also misdirect prevention efforts.

Police-reported data suggest that China witnessed a 46% decrease in road traffic deaths from 2002 to 2016 (6), but other data contradict this trend (4, 7, 8). Such discrepancies raise questions about the efficacy of national prevention efforts. The distorted cause spectrum statistics, which indicate that human error causes more than 99% of Chinese road traffic crashes

(6) [compared with India, where human error cause rates hover in the 75 to 85% range (9)], mistakenly lead national prevention efforts to focus on safety education rather than multifaceted programs that incorporate legislation, engineering, and environmental approaches (10).

Improving the accuracy of Chinese road traffic injury statistics is achievable. The Chinese central government should establish and fund an independent body to collect crash data. China should also correct methodological oddities that yield inaccurate data. Improvements would include adopting the more commonly used window of 30 days postcrash for a mortality to be classified as a road traffic injury death (11), replacing exclusive-cause with multicausal classification systems, and integrating other health and occupational surveillance data with traffic crash data to enable more accurate mortality estimation. Finally, China should develop a publicly available crash data database, modeled on other national systems such as the Fatality Analysis Reporting System in the United States (12), to allow researchers, practitioners, and the public to cite and use valid data for road traffic injury prevention.

Helai Huang,¹ Fangrong Cheng,¹ David C. Schwebel,² Peishan Ning,³ Peixia Cheng,³ Guoqing Hu^{3*}

¹Urban Transport Research Center, School of Traffic and Transportation Engineering, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China. ²Department of Psychology, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA. ³Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha 410078, China.

*Corresponding author.
Email: huguoqing009@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1. “Progress of Goal 3 in 2017,” Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform (2017); <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg3>.
2. The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) (2016); <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>.
3. G. Hu *et al.*, *Bull. World Health Org.* **89**, 41 (2011).
4. H. Huang *et al.*, *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **108**, 220 (2017).
5. Traffic Management Bureau of the Ministry of the Public Security, *China Road Traffic Accident Statistics 2016* (Traffic Management Research Institute of the Ministry of Public Security, 2017).
6. “China Statistical Yearbook,” National Bureau of Statistics of China (2016); <http://data.stats.gov.cn/easyquery.htm?cn=C01&zj=A0S0D02&sj=2010-2016>.
7. T. Alcorn, *Lancet* **378**, 305 (2011).
8. S. Ma, Q. Li, M. Zhou, L. Duan, D. Bishai, *Traffic Inj. Prev.* **13** (suppl. 1), 57 (2012).
9. “Road Accidents in India,” Ministry of Road Transport and Highways for India (2010–2016); www.morth.nic.in/index2.asp?slid=297&sublinkid=139&lang=1.
10. F. P. McKenna, “Education in road safety: Are we getting it right?” (RAC Foundation Report 10/113, UK, 2010).
11. World Health Organization, “Global status report on road safety: Time for action” (2009); www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2009.
12. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Fatality Analysis Reporting System (www.nhtsa.gov/research-data/fatality-analysis-reporting-system-fars).

10.1126/science.aav5117

Neutrino physics for Korean diplomacy

Rachel Carr, Jonathon Coleman, Giorgio Gratta, Karsten Heeger, Patrick Huber, YuenKeung Hor, Takeo Kawasaki, Soo-Bong Kim, Yeongduk Kim, John Learned, Manfred Lindner, Kyohei Nakajima, Seon-Hee Seo, Fumihiko Suekane, Antonin Vacheret, Wei Wang and Liang Zhan

Science **362** (6415), 649-650.
DOI: 10.1126/science.aav8136

ARTICLE TOOLS <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/362/6415/649.2>

REFERENCES This article cites 4 articles, 0 of which you can access for free
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/362/6415/649.2#BIBL>

PERMISSIONS <http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.