SCIENCE

VOL. 84

Friday, September 18, 1936

No. 2177

The Influence of Chemical Thought on Biology: SIR FREDERICK GOWLAND HOPKINS	255
Scientific Events:	
Viscount Grey Memorial; In Honor of William	
Hallock Park; Investigations of Tropical Storms	
by the U.S. Weather Bureau: Courses in Applied	
Physics at the Massachusetts Institute of Tech-	
nology; The Last Week of the Harvard Tercen-	
tenary Celebration. Recent Deaths	260
Scientific Notes and News	264
Discussion:	
From the Correspondence of Oliver Wolcott Gibbs:	
· RICHARD HEATHCOTE HEINDEL. Ordonician Fossils	

from Labrador: Dr. Homer P. Little. The Annual Science Exhibition: Dr. F. C. Brown

Special Articles:

Ovum Age and the Course of Gestation in the Guinea Pig: Professor William C. Young and RICHARD J. BLANDAU. The Blood in Hemophilia: DR. ARTHUR J. PATEK, JR. and DR. F. H. L. TAY-

lor. The Ettology of Otterative Enterties in Op- land Game Birds: L. C. Morley and P. W. Wet- More	270
Scientific Apparatus and Laboratory Methods:	
Vinegar as a Soil Disinfectant: Professor Wil-	

LIAM L. DORAN. A Method for the Measurement of Activity of Small Animals: KARL M. WILBUR 273 Science News

SCIENCE: A Weekly Journal devoted to the Advancement of Science, edited by J. McKeen Cattell and published every Friday by

THE SCIENCE PRESS

New York City: Grand Central Terminal Garrison, N. Y. Lancaster, Pa.

Annual Subscription, \$6.00

Single Copies, 15 Cts.

SCIENCE is the official organ of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Information regarding membership in the Association may be secured from the office of the permanent secretary, in the Smithsonian Institution Building, Washington, D. C.

THE INFLUENCE OF CHEMICAL THOUGHT ON BIOLOGY¹

By Sir FREDERICK GOWLAND HOPKINS

SIR WILLIAM DUNN PROFESSOR OF BIOCHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE; PAST PRESIDENT OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY, LONDON, AND OF THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

THE latter half of the last century, though a period of such rapid progress alike in physical and biological science, saw inadequate contact between the thought of the chemist and that of the biologist.

It is true, and a familiar circumstance to those with an interest in the history of science, that, when that half century began, organic chemistry and what we now term biochemistry were both yet in embryo and were hardly to be distinguished. Liebig fathered them both.

It was the genius of Liebig that started modern organic chemistry on a triumphant career, and Liebig's great desire and one which directed his own efforts was to see chemistry render full service to animal physiology and to agriculture.

1 Given at the Harvard Tercentenary Conference of Arts and Sciences, September 8, 1936.

in satisfactory measure, was not fulfilled during Liebig's own lifetime, and it is, I think, of some historical interest to decide why during years when scientific minds were so alert so promising a field was cultivated by so few. At first I think certain personal attributes in leaders of thought contributed to the separation of chemistry from biology. Liebig himself, for instance, though so brilliant a chemist, lacked biological training and, as I have always felt, a biologist's instincts. When with great enthusiasm he came to apply his chemical knowledge to the living plant and animal his thought often went obviously astray, and much of his theoretical teaching was instinctively and rightly rejected in biological thought. What was really so valuable in that teaching lost therefore some of its influence. Strange as it may seem, the influence of that other dominant mind of the time, that of



84	(21	77

Science 84 (2177), 255-274.

ARTICLE TOOLS http://science.sciencemag.org/content/84/2177.citation

PERMISSIONS http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service