ly than were those of Shakespeare. The nineteenth-century theatre-goer takes its Shakespeare extremely Bowdlerized. Doubtless Shakespeare went to a great many places where he should not, and where, had a Shakespeare society for the transcendental illumination of his works kept at his heels, he perhaps could not or would not have gone. But it is precisely because he did go to all these places, good or bad, untrammeled, that his pages are of such peculiar value to ourselves: preserving so much that but for him had been misunderstood, but which he recognized as worth the embalming; not minimizing for the sake of ears polite, nor yet distorting into prominence for the prurient, but simply embalming—life-size, as it was, and where it belonged—in the great *comedie humaine* of those matchless dramas. From courtier to courtsezan, from commander to camp-follower, the sovereign, the soldier, the statesman, the merchant, the peasant, the clown—how they all talked and walked, and lived and died, Shakespeare has told us. King Henry discusses state-craft with his great ministers; we turn the page, and Pistol and Doll Tear-sheets are hurling Billingsgate at each other, with Falstaff as a mocking peacemaker; two carriers with lanterns are shifting their packs in an inn-yard, and talking of poor Robin, the last hostler, who is dead; another page, and Lady Percy, in Warkworth Castle, is pleasing with the noble Hotspur to dwell less upon wars and big events,

"Of sallies, and retirees; of trenches, tenters, Of palisades, frontiers, parapets; Of basilisks, of cannon, culverin; Of prisoner's ransoms, and of soldiers slain, And all the currents of a hearty fight"—

and to give some thought to wife and home and family. And in every one of these thirty-seven dramas there is the same rush of movement, the same panorama of life, of color, and of action, untrammeled and interfered with by any slightest hint that the poet preferred or enjoyed any one movement, class, or color, or life, to any other,—a simple photograph—and a negative untouched! And still from out this panorama may biographies be written, and still histories and sociologies unfolded, simply because this negative has not been tampered with. Here, too, is a faithful transcript of the progress of the date of the procession in which Shakespeare was marching along with the rest; and it is worth our while to pause a moment for an example of it. Observe that in the first quarto of "Hamlet" (1633) we have a stage direction, "Enter King, Queen, Coramis, and other lords," in the second (1604) this entry is directed to be accompanied with "trumpets and kettle drums;" but, in 1822, the words "Danish March" are added to this stage direction. Here is a steady progress in realism: the play being Danish, the march was to be Danish also. Again in 2 Henry VI., in its first quarto form ("The Contention," etc.), 1594, Suffolk says to his captor,—

"Hast thou not waited at my tenderer, When I have feasted with Queen Margaret?"

But in the folio some thirty years later, Suffolk says,—

"How often hast thou waited at my cup, Fed from my tenderer."

This is a step in table etiquette. It came to be only the servant, and not the nobleman, who used the tenderer. The
Science ns-15 (379), 281-294.