

353-0332D
920**American Association for the
Advancement of Science****BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Paul M. Gross, *Retiring President, Chairman*
 Alan T. Waterman, *President*
 Laurence M. Gould, *President Elect*

Henry Eyring	Mina Rees
H. Bentley Glass	Walter Orr Roberts
Don K. Price	Alfred S. Romer
	William W. Rubey
Paul E. Klopsteg	Dael Wolfe
<i>Treasurer</i>	<i>Executive Officer</i>

**VICE PRESIDENTS AND
SECRETARIES OF SECTIONS**

MATHEMATICS (A)	
Magnus R. Hestenes	Wallace Givens
PHYSICS (B)	
Elmer Hutchisson	Stanley S. Ballard
CHEMISTRY (C)	
Milton Orchin	S. L. Meisel
ASTRONOMY (D)	
Paul Herget	Frank Bradshaw Wood
GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY (E)	
John C. Reed	Richard H. Mahard
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCES (F)	
Dietrich Bodenstein	David W. Bishop
BOTANICAL SCIENCES (G)	
Aaron J. Sharp	Harriet B. Creighton
ANTHROPOLOGY (H)	
David A. Baerreis	Eleanor Leacock
PSYCHOLOGY (I)	
Lloyd G. Humphreys	Frank W. Finger
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (K)	
Kingsley Davis	Ithiel de Sola Pool
HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE (L)	
Adolph Grünbaum	N. Russell Hanson
ENGINEERING (M)	
Clarence E. Davies	Leroy K. Wheelock
MEDICAL SCIENCES (N)	
Francis D. Moore	Oscar Touster
DENTISTRY (Nd)	
Paul E. Boyle	S. J. Kreshover
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES (Np)	
Don E. Francke	Joseph P. Buckley
AGRICULTURE (O)	
A. H. Moseman	Howard B. Sprague
INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE (P)	
Alfred T. Waidelich	Allen T. Bonnell
EDUCATION (Q)	
H. E. Wise	Herbert A. Smith
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION (T)	
Foster E. Mohrhardt	Phyllis V. Parkins
STATISTICS (U)	
Harold Hotelling	Morris B. Ullman

PACIFIC DIVISION

John P. Tully <i>President</i>	Robert C. Miller <i>Secretary</i>
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

**SOUTHWESTERN AND ROCKY
MOUNTAIN DIVISION**

Anton H. Berkman <i>President</i>	Marlowe G. Anderson <i>Executive Secretary</i>
--------------------------------------	---

ALASKA DIVISION

Allan H. Mick <i>President</i>	George Dahlgren <i>Executive Secretary</i>
-----------------------------------	---

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

International Competition in Science

The Soviet ability to launch large missiles has been misinterpreted as indicating superiority over the United States in scientific matters. Actually there are few areas of science in which the Russians excel. Despite their ability to place large pieces of hardware in orbit, their contributions to space research have been meager. They have nothing to match our Mariner II results, and their exploration of regions closer to the earth has been less intensive than ours. In high-energy nuclear physics our discoveries are unmatched, as is our progress in maser-laser studies and in semiconductors. In most areas of chemistry the Russians are behind us; plastics and petrochemicals are outstanding examples. In the exploitation of radioactive isotopes much of the Russian work is mere repetition of our research. In biochemistry, biophysics, and molecular biology we are superior. The Russians have achieved nothing like our progress in deciphering the genetic code or in determining amino acid sequences in proteins.

Innumerable examples could be given; we compete on countless frontiers of science which, in sum, are vastly more important than space. On many of these frontiers, such as solid-state physics, advances are crucial to future economic and military strength. Fundamental research is now often quickly followed by practical applications. A substantial fraction of today's commerce is based on discoveries of the last two decades. It is of interest to compare American and Russian competition in world markets in items involving science and technology. By this yardstick the Soviet Union is a third-class power. It is no match for Western Europe, the United Kingdom, or Japan.

The Japanese are competing in technological areas requiring first-class scientific competence. Their electronics products such as transistor radios and television sets are selling for less than ours on our own soil. To a degree this reflects cheaper labor, but only in part. The production of transistors and other solid-state electronic components involves sophisticated technology. Even the cheapest labor is no substitute for scientific ability in this field.

Western Europe is far stronger scientifically and technologically than the U.S.S.R., and the Western Europeans are rapidly closing in on us. If present trends continue, it will be only a matter of a few years before they achieve supremacy.

Western Europeans have long proved that they are, individually at least, as competent scientifically as we. They have made a remarkable recovery from the effects of World War II and are again in a position to challenge us. In the contest they have two advantages. Research costs them about one-fourth what it costs us, and proportionately less of their talent is occupied with military and space efforts. Leaders of industrial research in this country are increasingly concerned with the overpowering competition of government-financed programs for first-class scientific talent. One research director told me recently, "We need good people, but my company can't compete with projects paid for by the U.S. treasury."

We have chosen to stake our national prestige in a propaganda contest with the Russians in one of the few major areas of technology where they have an edge over us. In the meantime we fail to note that the Western Europeans are getting ready to walk away with the trophies which really count.—P.H.A.