

American Association for the Advancement of Science

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Paul M. Gross, <i>Retiring President, Chairman</i>	
Alan T. Waterman, <i>President</i>	
Laurence M. Gould, <i>President Elect</i>	
Henry Eyring	Mina Rees
H. Bentley Glass	Walter Orr Roberts
Don K. Price	Alfred S. Romer
	H. Burr Steinbach
Paul E. Klopsteg	Dael Wolfe
<i>Treasurer</i>	<i>Executive Officer</i>

VICE PRESIDENTS AND SECRETARIES OF SECTIONS

MATHEMATICS (A)	
Magnus R. Hestenes	Wallace Givens
PHYSICS (B)	
Elmer Hutchisson	Stanley S. Ballard
CHEMISTRY (C)	
Milton Orchin	S. L. Meisel
ASTRONOMY (D)	
Paul Herget	Frank Bradshaw Wood
GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY (E)	
John C. Reed	Richard H. Mahard
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCES (F)	
Dietrich Bodenstern	David W. Bishop
BOTANICAL SCIENCES (G)	
Aaron J. Sharp	Harriet B. Creighton
ANTHROPOLOGY (H)	
David A. Baerreis	Eleanor Leacock
PSYCHOLOGY (I)	
Lloyd G. Humphreys	Frank W. Finger
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (K)	
Kingsley Davis	Ithiel de Sola Pool
HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE (L)	
Adolph Grünbaum	N. Russell Hanson
ENGINEERING (M)	
Clarence E. Davies	Leroy K. Wheelock
MEDICAL SCIENCES (N)	
Francis D. Moore	Oscar Touster
DENTISTRY (Nd)	
Paul E. Boyle	S. J. Kreshover
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES (Np)	
Don E. Francke	Joseph P. Buckley
AGRICULTURE (O)	
A. H. Moseman	Howard B. Sprague
INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE (P)	
Alfred T. Waidelich	Allen T. Bonnell
EDUCATION (Q)	
H. E. Wise	Herbert A. Smith
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION (T)	
Foster E. Mohrhardt	Phyllis V. Parkins
STATISTICS (U)	
Harold Hotelling	Morris B. Ullman

PACIFIC DIVISION

Phil E. Church	Robert C. Miller
<i>President</i>	<i>Secretary</i>

SOUTHWESTERN AND ROCKY MOUNTAIN DIVISION

Edwin R. Helwig	Marlowe G. Anderson
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

ALASKA DIVISION

Allan H. Mick	George Dahlgren
<i>President</i>	<i>Executive Secretary</i>

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

Support for the Humanities

Recent issues of the *Newsletter* of the American Council of Learned Societies indicate growing support for a National Humanities Foundation comparable in function to the National Science Foundation. Laudable as this objective is, and despite endorsement by some members of Congress, a humanities foundation is likely to remain pretty low on the list of congressional priorities for the next few years.

While advocates seek to develop greater support for the idea (remember, it took 5 years to get the NSF legislation approved), a partial approach may also be worthwhile. One particularly timely proposal is now before Congress in the form of a request by the National Historical Publications Commission for an appropriation of \$500,000 a year to provide partial support for a program of editing and making generally available some of the nation's major historical documents. The function of the National Historical Publications Commission, which was established by the Federal Records Act of 1950, is to foster the "accumulation, preservation, and accessibility of documentary sources for use by the whole community of scholars, professional and amateur, and by the public at large. . . . [The] documentary sources with which it deals are the foundation on which all efforts to study, interpret, or recreate the past must rest." Examples include the editing and publication of the Adams, Franklin, Hamilton, Jefferson, and Madison papers. Complete and well-edited source materials set a high standard for historical writing, strengthen the graduate education of future historians, and also have a public benefit. The next quarter of a century will witness the bicentennial of "one of the most significant eras of political creativity in the annals of history." The current spate of books on the Civil War forecasts a great deal of popular and semipopular writing on this historic era, the quality of which will depend in large measure on the quality of the available source material. Moreover, the undoctored, unmanipulated story of those years can serve as a rich source of information and inspiration to other nations that are struggling to establish their own political foundations.

What the Commission now wants is a little more money: \$5 million to complete work on the Adams, Franklin, Hamilton, Jefferson, and Madison papers and to endow similar work on other collections; and \$1 million a year to support smaller and less expensive projects and to encourage the wider use of microfilm reproduction of other source materials that have been carefully prepared for this kind of distribution. Of this total, Congress is being asked to provide \$500,000 a year.

Here is a project of easily understood values (recent hearings before a committee of the House of Representatives went quite favorably); the budget is extremely modest; the objective is a worthy one in its own right; and although it is far from being a National Humanities Foundation, it might serve as a step in that direction. The request seems worthy of general support, including support by scientists who agree that in the interest of scholarship generally it is desirable to redress the great imbalance in prestige and support that has developed between the sciences and the humanities.—D.W.