

353-0347D
012AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR
THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1970

GUSTAF O. ARRHENIUS	RICHARD C. LEWONTIN
FRED R. EGGAN	ALFRED O. C. NIER
HARRY F. HARLOW	FRANK W. PUTNAM
MILTON HARRIS	

1971

THOMAS EISNER	NEAL MILLER
AMITAI ETZIONI	BRUCE MURRAY
EMIL HAURY	JOHN R. PIERCE
DANIEL KOSHLAND, JR.	

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News Editor: DANIEL S. GREENBERG

Foreign Editor: JOHN WALSH

News and Comment: LUTHER J. CARTER, PHILIP M. BOFFEY, SCHERRAINE MACK, THOMAS P. SOUTHWICK

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, ANN BARKDOLL

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: JOANNE BELK, ISABELLA BOULDIN, ELEANORE BUTZ, NANCY HAMILTON, CORINE HARRIS, OLIVER HEATWOLE, ANNE HOLDSWORTH, MARSHALL KATHAN, MARGARET LLOYD, VIRGINIA NUESSELE, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, BARBARA SHEFFER, YA LI SWIGART, ALICE THEILE, MARIE WEBNER

Membership Recruitment: PATRICIA CAESAR; Subscriptions: BETT SEEMUND; Addressing: THOMAS BAZAN

Advertising Staff

Director	Production Manager
EARL J. SCHERAGO	KAY GOLDSTEIN

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Robert S. Bugbee, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); MEDFIELD, MASS. 02052: Richard M. Ezequille, 4 Rolling Lane (617-444-1439); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Herbert L. Burkund, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phone: 202-387-7171. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xvIA. *Science*, 27 March 1970. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Lunar Science and Planetary History

People are asking why man should return to the moon again and again to get more rocks or set up new geophysical stations. Even though the President himself has stated that the nation's first goal in space is to continue to explore the moon through additional landings, the six then scheduled have now been reduced to four.

It will be hard to find an informed scientist of any breadth who views this last reduction of the Apollo program with anything short of dismay. The reason is clear and compelling. Man goes to the moon to study the earth and its relations in space. In the process he crosses a mighty ocean in time, reaching back to the early episodes of solar system history. The first billion years of this history, during which Earth's final accretionary development and its major geochemical and structural differentiation occurred, cannot be deciphered here because the record has been erased by later events. The moon's record, however, appears to be rich just where Earth's is poor. This has profound implications for our understanding of both Earth and solar system, and perhaps for the origin and distribution of ore deposits, which are concentrated in Earth's older rocks. As a side benefit, the results of lunar studies, together with those of sea-floor geophysics, are pacing a conceptual revolution in the earth sciences.

The Apollo geological sites, Rover traverses, and geophysical networks are needed to provide primary control for eventual automated lunar studies. Such a program, when activated, will extend exploration to the far side and polar regions of the moon, and will lead to improvement in early-earth and early-solar-system models. Ultimately, permanent bases may capitalize on the advantages of the moon's far side for infrared, x-ray, and radio astronomy, or on the moon's high vacuum and other special properties for more practical applications.

The moon is the only other planet we can hope to study in sufficient detail for close comparison with our own. We have just begun that study. It is as if we were trying to understand North America by examining Plymouth Rock. Samples have been returned only from one mare site and from an embayment of Oceanus Procellarum. The ancient highlands have not been sampled directly, nor will they be at Fra Mauro, located on an ejecta blanket thrown out from Mare Imbrium. Five instead of three additional landings would still permit only two visits to the very old highland rocks; one to a site that includes a highland scarp, the edge of a mare mascon, and a sinuous rille; one to a large impact crater where shock metamorphism and deep ejecta can be studied; and one to the young volcanic terrain on the mid-ridge of Oceanus Procellarum. The sites then sampled would have constituted an austere but possibly adequate data frame for a first-order understanding of early earth-moon history. The announced reduction of missions exponentially degrades that base line. If Apollo 15 and 19 are indeed canceled, the nation will have failed to achieve its primary scientific goals on the moon.

Many billions of dollars were spent to get within reach of these goals. Only a small fraction of the investment already made would see the job to a fruitful conclusion. To stop short for reasons within our control would, in retrospect, be seen as one of history's most irresponsible follies. Nothing less than the early institution of a comprehensive automated program to get similar information and sample return could begin to ameliorate such a failure.—PRESTON CLOUD, *University of California, Santa Barbara*