procedure could have given additional information about the ability of the subject to focus his attention. The way in which failures to respond are treated will generally have a considerable effect on computed values of the $d'$ statistic.

Finally, Rapaport et al. indicate that "support was found . . . for the hypothesis that nonmedicated nonparanoid schizophrenic patients perform as efficiently as normal subjects under the difficult S/N [signal-to-noise] condition . . ." and, presumably on the basis of this finding, state in their abstract that "the primary deficit in information processing in nonparanoid schizophrenics may be related primarily to their hypersensitivity to sensory stimuli. . . ." Such a conclusion is consistent with the theory of one of the authors, Silverman (3), but does not seem to be borne out by their data. The fact that in the difficult signal-detection condition nonmedicated nonparanoid schizophrenics were found to be slightly (though not significantly) hyposensitive does not seem to provide very strong support for the interpretation that they are hyposensitive under such conditions.

David S. Emmerich
Fredric M. Levine

Department of Psychology,
State University of New York
at Stony Brook, Stony Brook 11790
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Emmerich and Levine state that "the
patients who received different dosages
[of medication] also differed on whatever
clinical variables the ward physician
used to determine dosages." We would
dispute this point, since each patient was
interviewed and rated on the day of
testing. Consequently, our assessment of
clinical condition was considerably
more current than the one on which the
ward physician based his medication
order. Furthermore, the rating scales that
were used incorporated a wide range of clinical variables. The
modified Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale
(1) used contains 21 separate clinical
items. From these a composite
measure of overall mental disturbance was
obtained. We found that at zero dosage
and moderate drug dosages there were
no significant differences in this mea-
sure between paranoid and nonparanoid
schizophrenics. At the heaviest dosage
paranoid schizophrenics showed a
greater overall mental disturbance score
than did nonparanoids, yet their $d'$
scores were closest together—quite the
opposite of what might have been ex-
pected if severity of mental disturbance
were the major factor affecting their
signal detection performance.

They also comment that there were
no direct statistically significant effects
within either group related to pheno-
thiazine dosage. It is true that we based
part of our interpretations on indirect
evidence of a differential effect of chlo-
romazine on paranoid and nonpara-
noid schizophrenics—the fact that with
increasing dosage nonparanoids showed
a decrease in signal detection perform-
ance while paranoids showed an im-
provement in performance. This led
to the finding that significant differences
between the two groups of schizophren-
ics disappeared with increased medica-
tion, and this result could not be ac-
counted for by differences in the clini-
cal pathology displayed by each group.
In fact, with the paranoids showing
greater pathology than nonparanoids at
the highest dosage level one would ex-
pect them to perform significantly
worse. The fact that they did not make
it reasonable to suspect that medica-
tion enhances their ability to attend to
and to detect auditory signals. Further,
we have other evidence that, under
four other signal-to-noise (S/N) con-
ditions interspersed between the easy
and difficult S/N conditions reported,
the same results occurred consistently.
Emmerich and Levine’s retrospec-
tive suggestion that both a “yes” and a
“no” response button could have helped
distinguish a true lapse of attention
from an intentional “no” response has
merit. It would not have been com-
patible with our methodological design,
however. We would not have been able
to calculate other desired signal detec-
tion measures had we employed a two-
button method. For example, we were
interested in calculating each individu-
al’s normally occurring response pro-
portion in order to determine whether
schizophrenics underrespond or over-
respond compared to normals. These
results have been reported (2).

Finally we do not indicate in our
report that the data directly reflect
hypersensitivity of nonparanoid schizo-
phrenics to auditory stimuli. In fact our
hypothsis was quite conservative inasmuch as we stated (3) that we expected “nonparanoid schizophrenics would per-
form at least as well as normal subjects”
where signals were difficult to detect.
The hypersensitivity hypothesis has
never been put forward by Silverman (4)
and was based upon averaged evoked po-
tential data, which need not necessarily
correlate highly with psychomotor re-
response data such as we reported where
in the latter situation attentional, cogni-
tive, and motivational considerations
influence subject output. The hyper-
sensitivity hypothesis was used primar-
ily to predict a differential response to
pheno-thiazine medication by nonpara-
noid and paranoid schizophrenics and
this it appeared to do.

M. Rapaport
Agnews State Hospital,
San Jose, California 95114
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Fibrogenic Effect of Alcohol in Rat Liver: Role of Diet

Feinman and Lieber (1), in asserting a direct fibrogenic effect of alcohol on the livers of rats and baboons, make no reference to reports that show how diet can influence these results. Lillie et al. (2) reported that the cirrhosis induced in rats fed diets low in protein and choline was facilitated when the drinking water was substituted by a 20 percent ethanol solution. This type of alcohol-associated dietary cirrhosis was not only successfully prevented (3), but was also effectively reverted (4) by the inclusion of choline. methionine, and casein, singly or in combination. Best et al. (5) showed that, under carefully controlled dietary conditions, rats consuming a 15 percent aqueous solution of alcohol in place of water developed histological evidence of hepatofibrosis. This occurred when the alcohol calories were superimposed on a diet that contained lipotropic factors only sufficient to prevent liver damage when the diet alone was fed. With the addition of alcohol calories (27 percent of total caloric intake), fatty and fibrotic livers developed.
Neither histologically evident fibrosis nor abnormal accumulation of fat (determined by biochemically and histological methods) developed when additional choline, methionine, or casein was added to compensate for the additional calories derived from alcohol. Furthermore, when the alcohol-derived calories were replaced by isocaloric amounts of sucrose, fatty livers and hepatofibrosis resulted; but when additional lipotropic factors were given to these sucrose-fed animals, the livers remained normal.

We showed that not only hepato-fibrosis but true cirrhosis (hepato-fibrosis plus nodular regeneration of parenchyma and distortion of architecture) developed in rats consuming 37 percent of their caloric intake as alcohol or sucrose for 7 months, even though the basal diet alone did not produce liver damage (6). The addition of lipotrophic factors and vitamins protected the liver against the alcohol or sucrose caloric load. In these experiments, cirrhosis or hepatic normality was documented by light and electron microscopy and by measurements of hydroxyproline in the alkali-soluble and -insoluble hepatic collagen fractions.

Although the results and interpretation of Feinman and Lieber were derived from experiments using totally liquid diets, we showed that when the diet provided abundant amounts of the protective factors (choline, protein, vitamin B12, and folacin), previously cirrhotic rats regained completely all measured aspects of hepatic function and hepatic architecture reverted almost to normal despite the consumption of a liquid diet containing 36 percent of total calories as alcohol (7). The physiological and histological results were confirmed by measurements of hepatic collagen fractions. Similar results were obtained in cirrhotic rats when a sweetened alcohol solution in water was offered separately from the solid, adequately supplemented diet, even when the alcohol-derived calories were 50 percent of the total caloric intake (8).

We suspect that the animal diets used by Feinman and Lieber were not adequate to protect the animal livers from the caloric burden imposed by alcohol.

W. S. HARTROFT
EDUARDO A. PORTA
Department of Pathology,
University of Hawaii School of Medicine. Honolulu 96822

It has been shown in a variety of species that the effects upon the liver imposed by ethanol are distinct from those arising from other dietary constituents or "caloric substitutes." Ethanol, as compared to isocaloric amounts of carbohydrates in diets of humans, causes hepatic fat accumulation and striking ultrastructural changes including marked alterations of the mitochondria; these changes occur despite massive dietary supplementation with choline, minerals, vitamins, and protein. These effects could be demonstrated whether diets were high or low in fat or high or normal in protein, and whether ethanol was given in addition to the diet or as isocaloric substitution for carbohydrates (1).

The lack of effect of choline in humans is not surprising in view of the resistance to choline deficiency found in man and other primates as compared to the rat; this resistance is possibly related to differences in hepatic choline oxidase activity (2). In the rat, Porta et al. (3) confirmed the steatogenic effect of alcohol that we described in that species (4); in this experiment they compared alcohol to isocaloric carbohydrate without the confounding simultaneous substitution of other dietary constituents. Even in the rat, choline afforded only partial protection against steatosis when ethanol was given for long periods (5) and no protection at all when one large dose of ethanol was given (6), a result confirmed by Hartroft et al. (7).

A second important consequence of substituting dietary alcohol calories for carbohydrate calories is the alteration in enzymic complement of hepatic endoplasmic reticulum in rats, baboons, and humans and the associated changes in drug metabolism (8). Finally, we reported (9) that when alcohol was isocalorically substituted for carbohydrates in otherwise adequate diets, hepatic collagen metabolism was significantly affected in rats and baboons. Collagen accumulated in the liver, and the evidence indicated that increased collagen synthesis was at least part of the mechanism responsible for this effect. The rats were fed liquid diets, but the baboons were given solid food with amounts of choline well above the requirement for that species (10).

We not only appreciate that dietary intake must be adequate to maintain normal liver function but have contributed to the clarification of the role of dietary fat in the development of alcoholic liver injury both in man and rats (11), and to that of protein and choline, the latter of course in the rat (12). However, there are no diets known, no matter how superb by traditional nutritional criteria, that are "adequate" enough to fully protect the liver against the distinct effects of alcohol we have enumerated.

LAWRENCE FEINMAN
CHARLES S. LIEBER
Department of Medicine,
Mount Sinai School of Medicine,
Columbia University, New York,
and Section of Liver Disease and Nutrition, Veterans Administration Hospital, Bronx, New York 10468
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