

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1973

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| H. S. GUTOWSKY | GARDNER LINDZEY |
| AUTHUR D. HASLER | RAYMOND H. THOMPSON |
| RUDOLF KOMPFFNER | EDWARD O. WILSON |
| DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR. | |

1974

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| ALFRED BROWN | FRANK W. PUTNAM |
| JAMES F. CROW | MAXINE SINGER |
| SEYMOUR S. KETY | GORDON WOLMAN |
| FRANK PRESS | |

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Publisher

WILLIAM BEVAN

Business Manager

HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHOLAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. METZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, ANN SELTZ-PETRASH

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, MARSHALL KATHAN, MARGARET LLOYD, DANIEL RABOVSKY, JEAN ROCKWOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, JOHN SCHAUER, LOIS SCHMITT, YA LI SWIGART

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: LEONARD WRAY; *Subscriptions:* BETTE SEEMUND; *Addressing:* THOMAS BAZAN

Advertising Staff

Director

EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager

PATTY WELLS

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkund, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 29 September 1972. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Humane Technology

In cocktail party sociology, where slogans serve as substitutes for thinking, technology is often depicted as anathema to a humane, just, "liberated" society. The defense made by the friends of technology is equally simplistic: "Technology is a set of neutral means; whether it is used to good or evil purposes is not determined by the technology itself."

Both of these viewpoints are as valid as half-truths usually are. In fact, most technologies do have fairly specific uses; no one has yet been killed by a cable television. And, while some technological developments do promote an impersonal, efficiency-minded, mass-production society, other technologies are essential for a more humane society.

Some recent technological developments take over routine and repetitive jobs, freeing people from the drudgery of counting, calculating, remembering numerous dull details. It is also true that these same technologies, those of the computer for instance, generate such routine work as key punching. But they eliminate more drudgery than they impose. Automatic switchboards of telephones do routine work which would require several million people, while generating little menial work. And, the way to combat remaining and newly created routines is to advance technology—to create, for example, computers that understand spoken English—surely not to condemn the machines.

Beyond this, new technological developments contribute to the solution of societal problems very close to the hearts of the deriders of technology, often making progress precisely where nontechnological attempts have failed. Thus, one of the barriers to arms limitation was the demand for human, on-site inspection, a demand quite unacceptable to the U.S.S.R. and unattractive to U.S. corporations worried about their trade secrets. The development of powerful inspection satellites made this issue obsolete. Another example: a cost-effectiveness study made by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare shows that it is much more economical to avert a death by means of seat belts, a technological innovation, than driver education. The birth control pill, a chemical technology, is much more potent in reducing family size than are efforts to educate people to have smaller families. Instructional television saves teachers the time often used to repeat exercises to their classes ad nauseum; it allows pupils to view the lesson when they choose, as often as they need to, and, soon, at the pace they wish; and it is as effective as or more effective than live teaching—tune in "Sesame Street" some time.

As for the future, pollution will be reduced through the development of less polluting, substitute technologies, not by a return to the pretechnological age. Distance and isolation will be further bridged through technological means such as two-way cable television and more suitable housing patterns. More and more people will be able to enjoy increased free time, culture, education, and each other because more of their chores will be done by machines and supervised by machines, whose excesses are corrected largely by other machines.

All of this is surely less romantic than the world depicted by the advocates of a return to nature, but it is also more likely to be realized, and it promises a *more* livable world, by practically any humane standard, than our Stone Age past. The task before us is to marshal more of technology to the service of human purposes, not to put technology into a self-destruct, reverse-thyself gear. This will not be achieved by a blind, wholistic approval of technology, but by carefully developing those tools which can be geared to advance our true values.—AMITAI ETZIONI, *Professor of Sociology, Columbia University, and Director, Center for Policy Research, 475 Riverside Drive, New York 10027.*