

## AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### Editorial Board

1973

H. S. GUTOWSKY	GARDNER LINDZEY
ARTHUR D. HASLER	RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
RUDOLF KOMPFFNER	EDWARD O. WILSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	

1974

ALFRED BROWN	FRANK W. PUTNAM
JAMES F. CROW	MAXINE SINGER
SEYMOUR S. KETY	GORDON WOLMAN
FRANK PRESS	

### Editorial Staff

#### Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

<b>Publisher</b>	<i>Business Manager</i>
WILLIAM BEVAN	HANS NUSSBAUM

*Managing Editor*: ROBERT V. ORMES

*Assistant Editors*: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

*Assistant to the Editor*: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

*News and Comment*: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHOLAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

*Research News*: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. MEIZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON

*Book Reviews*: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, ANN O'BRIEN

*Cover Editor*: GRAYCE FINGER

*Editorial Assistants*: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, GINA BARI KOLATA, MARGARET LLOYD, JEAN ROCKWOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART

*Guide to Scientific Instruments*: RICHARD SOMMER

*Membership Recruitment*: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE;  
*Subscription Records and Member Records*: ANN RAGLAND

### Advertising Staff

<i>Director</i>	<i>Production Manager</i>
EARL J. SCHERAGO	MARGARET STERLING

*Advertising Sales Manager*: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkland, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: John P. Cahill, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 28 September 1973. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

## Underground Gasification of Coal

In principle, it should be possible in many places to obtain most of the energy and chemical values of coal in a clean form without sending men underground and with minimal disturbance to the environment. An oxidizing agent is pumped down one hole to support partial combustion of coal, and products (including H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>) are obtained from another.

The technology of underground gasification is about a century old. Activity peaked between 1945 and 1960. The process was almost competitive with other energy sources, but it could not compete with low-cost petroleum. The oxidizing agent, air, was cheap, but it included N<sub>2</sub>, which diluted the products. These were useful when burned locally in large installations. One of the problems of underground gasification is to obtain suitable communication between the input and output holes. In most of the earlier installations, underground mining preparation was involved.

The situation has changed. Liquid hydrocarbons and natural gas (methane) have become scarce and expensive. Drilling techniques have evolved, and preparation for gasification need not involve underground labor. Perhaps most important, the technology for producing oxygen has become efficient and cheap, thus oxygen rather than air could be employed as the oxidant.

Last month, I visited an experimental installation of the U.S. Bureau of Mines at Hanna, Wyoming, 85 miles northwest of Laramie. The oxidant employed there now is air. The project leader, L. A. Schrider, gave figures on the composition of the output gases, which were being generated in substantial volume. They included, in percent by volume, H<sub>2</sub> (21.40); CH<sub>4</sub> (4.5); CO (9.0); CO<sub>2</sub> (17.1); N<sub>2</sub> (46.4); H<sub>2</sub>S (0.11); and others (1.5). Had the oxidant gas been oxygen and had the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S been scrubbed, the product gas would have consisted largely of H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub>.

Mixtures of H<sub>2</sub> and CO have very interesting potentialities. Depending on the composition and on the pressures and catalysts employed, the following reactions can readily be conducted: CO + 3H<sub>2</sub> → CH<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O; CO + 2H<sub>2</sub> → CH<sub>3</sub>OH (methanol); and, by Fischer-Tropsch reaction, nCO + (n + 1)H<sub>2</sub> → C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub> + nH<sub>2</sub>O. Methanol is a possible substitute for gasoline, and C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub> represents a series of hydrocarbons. Another alternative is to use the H<sub>2</sub> for reaction with coal underground to form CH<sub>4</sub>.

Underground gasification is not applicable everywhere, and there are possible problems, including contamination of groundwater and subsidence of the surface. The most attractive sites seem to be the thick coal seams of the West, especially those deep underground where conventional mining is hazardous if not unfeasible.

During my visit to Wyoming, I found the gasification project well directed and the personnel devoted and eager to move ahead. On a snowy, windy day, with temperatures far below freezing, the staff was outside working for extended periods. Morale was high.

Performance in Washington, D.C., is in painful contrast to that in Wyoming. The Office of Management and Budget has been slow to release appropriated funds to increase coal research by the Bureau of Mines. Expenditures for underground gasification have been considerably less than \$1 million a year. Lack of funds has impeded procurement of instrumentation, delayed tests with O<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant, and even threatened stoppage of the experiment.—PHILIP H. ABELSON