

## AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

### Editorial Board

1980: RICHARD E. BALZHISER, WALLACE S. BROECKER, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, FRANK W. PUTNAM, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, F. KARL WILLENBROCK

1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY GREGORY KOHLSTEDT, MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

### Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY

### Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

### Editorial Staff

#### Managing Editor

ROBERT V. ORMES

#### Assistant Managing Editor

JOHN E. RINGLE

#### News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

*News and Comment:* WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, R. JEFFREY SMITH, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH. *Editorial Assistant:* SCHERRAINE MACK

*Research News:* BEVERLY KARPLUS HARTLINE, RICHARD A. KERR, GINA BARI KOLATA, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON. *Editorial Assistant:* FANNIE GROOM

#### Consulting Editor: ALLEN L. HAMMOND

*Associate Editors:* ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, SYLVIA EBERHART, RUTH KULSTAD

*Assistant Editors:* CAITILIN GORDON, STEPHEN KEPPLER, LOIS SCHMITT

*Book Reviews:* KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, *Editor:* LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

#### Letters: CHRISTINE KARLIK

#### Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

*Production:* NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; YA LI SWIGART, HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; MARY MCDANIEL, JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN

*Covers, Reprints, and Permissions:* GRAYCE FINGER, *Editor:* CORRINE HARRIS, MARGARET LLOYD

*Guide to Scientific Instruments:* RICHARD G. SOMMER

*Assistant to the Editors:* JACK R. ALSIP

*Membership Recruitment:* GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

*Member and Subscription Records:* ANN RAGLAND

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: *Advancesci*, Washington. For "Instructions for Contributors," write the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 27 June 1980.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

### Advertising Representatives

*Director:* EARL J. SCHERAGO

*Production Manager:* GINA REILLY

*Advertising Sales Manager:* RICHARD L. CHARLES

*Marketing Manager:* HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

## Regulation and the Universities

It is no news that a sharp political contest is under way between the proponents of federal responsibility for such social objectives as equality of opportunity and public health, and those who believe that the regulatory apparatus for meeting that responsibility imposes unacceptable costs on other, equally valid goals. Perhaps it is not even news that the universities, once securely counted in the constituency for intervention, are now complaining about it. But it certainly is news when spokesmen for the nation's academic scientists claim that the universities are in league with the federal regulators—having been required, one presumes, to pay off a Faustian bargain the universities made in return for federal patronage.

This last case is not convincing. Most of the regulations that now trouble the universities are neither explicitly related to past federal patronage nor unique to educational institutions. They reflect congressional concern about such general problems as access for the handicapped and the safe handling of carcinogens. Because these concerns are occasionally amplified by demonstrated abuses, the universities will continue to feel their impact—along with the profit sector, which has felt it all along. But the newness of the experience sensitizes the universities to it in a way that is sharpened by frequent insensitivity on the other side—as when they receive compliance letters that begin "Your firm." The increased regulatory attention to universities, however, reflects concerns that are hardly special to the academic sector. In a troubled economy, it is natural for *all* payers, government included, to demand stricter accountability.

Meanwhile, social attitudes about the universities have been changing. In the mid-1950's higher education was felt to merit, because of its special social value, exemption from many obligations that fell to other sectors. Today, to labor organizers, community neighbors, and government regulators at all levels, the universities look not so much *special* as merely *large*. Harvard and Stanford are coming to be perceived, and therefore treated, like General Motors or Boeing.

This perception fits all too well with another: that all large bureaucracies are bad. Philip Abelson implied in this space on 25 April that university bureaucracies are in league with the feds to skim off research funds into administration. That view allows annoyance to overwhelm reason. University administrations *are* larger, but for good reasons. They are engaging in more transactions—processing more gifts, managing more scholarship funds, defending more lawsuits. They are also running bigger plants and running them better than in the 1950's, when undermanaged enterprises survived because the world was simple and the economy growing. And they are *not* working against the interests of scientists in the university. To accuse them of collusion with federal bureaucrats ignores, for example, the intense effort they mounted to ameliorate the recent round of A-21 revisions.

In the end, a good deal of accommodation will be needed to solve the problems of regulation and the universities. For the universities, shifts in public attitudes and the newness of the experience present special difficulties; for the regulators, there is a need to get used to a different clientele. Each party needs to recognize that the work of the other merits a strong presumption of validity. That accommodation will *not* be speeded by the delusion that the universities are special targets, or that their administrators are double agents.—DONALD KENNEDY, *Vice President and Provost, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305*

11/15-448 m.j.

# Science

## Regulation and the Universities

DONALD KENNEDY

*Science* **209** (4455), 449.

DOI: 10.1126/science.209.4455.449

### ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/209/4455/449.citation>

### PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science* (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.