

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Editorial Board

1981: PETER BELL, BRYCE CRAWFORD, JR., E. PETER GEIDUSCHEK, EMIL W. HAURY, SALLY GREGORY KOHLSTEDT, MANCUR OLSON, PETER H. RAVEN, WILLIAM P. SLICHTER, FREDERIC G. WORDEN

1982: WILLIAM ESTES, CLEMENT L. MARKERT, JOHN R. PIERCE, BRYANT W. ROSSITER, VERA C. RUBIN, MAXINE F. SINGER, PAUL E. WAGGONER, ALEXANDER ZUCKER

Publisher

WILLIAM D. CAREY
Associate Publisher: ROBERT V. ORMES

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

Editorial Staff

Assistant Managing Editor: JOHN E. RINGLE

Production Editor: ELLEN E. MURPHY

Business Manager: HANS NUSSBAUM

News Editor: BARBARA J. CULLITON

News and Comment: WILLIAM J. BROAD, LUTHER J. CARTER, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, ELIOT MARSHALL, COLIN NORMAN, R. JEFFREY SMITH, MARJORIE SUN, NICHOLAS WADE, JOHN WALSH

Research News: RICHARD A. KERR, GINA BARI KOLATA, ROGER LEWIN, JEAN L. MARX, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON, M. MITCHELL WALDROP

Administrative Assistant, News: SCHERRAINE MACK;
Editorial Assistants, News: FANNIE GROOM, CASSANDRA WATTS

Senior Editors: ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, RUTH KULSTAD

Associate Editors: SYLVIA EBERHART, CAITILIN GORDON, LOIS SCHMITT

Assistant Editors: MARTHA COLLINS, STEPHEN KEPPEL, EDITH MEYERS

Book Reviews: KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, Editor; LINDA HEISERMAN, JANET KEGG

Letters: CHRISTINE GILBERT

Copy Editor: ISABELLA BOULDIN

Production: NANCY HARTNAGEL, JOHN BAKER; ROSE LOWERY; HOLLY BISHOP, ELEANOR WARNER; JEAN ROCKWOOD, LEAH RYAN, SHARON RYAN, ROBIN WHYTE

Covers, Reprints, and Permissions: GRAYCE FINGER, Editor; GERALDINE CRUMP, CORRINE HARRIS

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD G. SOMMER
Assistants to the Editors: SUSAN ELLIOTT, DIANE HOLLAND

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE

Member and Subscription Records: ANN RAGLAND
EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Area code 202. General Editorial Office, 467-4350; Book Reviews, 467-4367; Guide to Scientific Instruments, 467-4480; News and Comment, 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions, 467-4483; Research News, 467-4321. Cable: *Advances*, Washington. For "Information for Contributors," write to the editorial office or see page xi, *Science*, 25 September 1981.

BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE: Area Code 202. Membership and Subscriptions: 467-4417.

Advertising Representatives

Director: EARL J. SCHERAGO

Production Manager: GINA REILLY

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Marketing Manager: HERBERT L. BURKLUND

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Steve Hamburger, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); DORSET, VT. 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Tenth floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-730-1050.

Science and the National Security

In an open society the relationship between science and the military authorities is a touchy business at best. Science is rightly expected to enhance the national security, and it responds willingly. Equally, the military authorities are expected to respect the values, standards, and methods of science as an open and productive process. Yet, when the climate of national security is overtaken by hyperanxiety this qualitative balance is easily destabilized by judgmental mistakes, and that is what has now happened.

The brochure on *Soviet Military Power* that has been released with much publicity by the Department of Defense goes beyond documenting the U.S.S.R.'s formidable military assets. It addresses what may be termed collateral sources of Soviet military know-how. These sources, in the department's opinion, include high technology that has been transferred by the industrialized free world. Also helpful to the Soviet military, we are informed, are bilateral scientific exchanges initiated under *détente*. Next come "student exchanges," along with the inter-academy exchanges that predate the government-to-government agreements. Omitting nothing, the Defense Department's distress blankets scientific conferences and symposia, unclassified research reports, and the "professional and open scientific literature." The military authorities seem convinced that the infrastructure supporting the U.S. scientific and technical enterprise caters to Soviet military power and comprises a large pane in the window of vulnerability.

If all this actually reflects the view from the Pentagon, it calls for swift revision. What is sadly missing is the recognition, which surely exists in thoughtful quarters of the defense establishment, that lively but responsible communication in science is essential to the growth and development in science on which both national security and economic potential rely. "National security" is not the simplistic proposition that it is made out to be, and it is in the best interests of those directly responsible for it to realize that laying heavy hands upon scientific discourse is counterproductive and self-denying. Even the maligned exchanges with the Soviets have their uses, and no one supposes that they should or do involve sensitive information. To put it more strongly, it is only sensible to carry on these exchanges where both sides hold first-class rank, including such areas as condensed matter physics and astrophysics. It is a profoundly disturbing mistake to put out the notion that Soviet scientific capability is inferior to ours. We know better.

The operative premise of our military leaders is that the U.S. window of vulnerability must be closed with all possible speed. That premise is buttressed by a substantial national consensus. But if, beyond rebuilding strategic and tactical military assets, it extends to clamping down on legitimate scientific conferences and symposia as well as the open literature of science, the quality of science's interface with the military will go downhill swiftly and tragically. Scientists are well aware that information of genuine national security value must be protected. That is not the point. What is at issue is the balance between protection and overprotection. Difficult as that riddle may be to untangle, it must be dealt with responsibly and by no means solely from a military mind-set. One wants to believe that the Defense Science Board would have taken a different view of these matters had it been asked.

The issues raised here ought to be pondered, as well, by the Commerce and State Departments, where work goes on behind closed doors on regulations to tighten controls on the international transfer and exchange of scientific and technical information. Slamming the window may indeed stop the draft, but at the expense of fresh air and light. More than 30 years ago, Senator Brien McMahon, sponsor of the Atomic Energy Act of 1946, spoke eloquently of the need for a sane balance between two necessary but competing types of security: "by concealment" and "by achievement." Burying knowledge in silos of secrecy serves the one well, the other very badly.—WILLIAM D. CAREY *p. 617-8 rem*

Science

Science and the National Security

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Science **214** (4521), 609.

DOI: 10.1126/science.214.4521.609

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/214/4521/609.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

1981 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science