

less and require less than 50  $\mu$ l of serum. It is expected that cell lines expressing the surface antigens of infectious agents can be configured into simple chlorometric tests that could be performed in a physician's office or a clinical laboratory. In principle, the strategy we have described could be applied to any situation where the expression of a membrane protein is desired.

PHILLIP W. BERMAN  
DONALD DOWBENKO  
LAURENCE A. LASKY

Department of Vaccine Development,  
Genentech, Inc.,  
South San Francisco, California 94080

CHRISTIAN C. SIMONSEN  
Department of Molecular Biology,  
Genentech, Inc.

#### References and Notes

- J. S. Emtage, W. C. A. Tacon, G. H. Catlin, B. Jenkins, A. G. Porter, N. H. Cary, *Nature (London)* **283**, 171 (1980); A. R. Davis, D. P. Nayak, M. Veda, A. L. Hiti, D. Dowbenko, D. G. Kleid, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **78**, 5376 (1981); I. Weiland and M. J. Gething, *Nature (London)* **292**, 851 (1981).
- H. Kupper *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **289**, 555 (1981); D. G. Kleid *et al.*, *Science* **214**, 1125 (1981).
- P. Charnay, E. Mandart, A. Hampe, F. Fitoussi, P. Tiollais, F. Galibert, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **7**, 335 (1979); P. Valenzuela, A. Medina, W. J. Rutter, G. Ammerer, B. D. Hall, *Nature (London)* **298**, 347 (1982); R. A. Hitzeman *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **11**, 2743 (1983).
- J. K. Rose and A. Shafferman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **78**, 6670 (1981).
- E. Yelverton, S. Norton, J. F. Objieski, D. V. Goeddel, *Science* **219**, 614 (1983).
- R. J. Watson, J. H. Weis, J. S. Salstrom, L. W. Enquist, *ibid.* **218**, 381 (1982).
- L. Lasky and D. Dowbenko, in preparation.
- M. J. Gething and J. Sambrook, *Nature (London)* **293**, 620 (1981); J. K. Rose and J. E. Bergman, *Cell* **30**, 753 (1982); R. S. Goodenow, M. McMillan, A. Orn, M. Nicolson, N. Davidson, J. A. Frelinger, L. Hood, *Science* **215**, 677 (1982); C. W. Crowley, C.-C. Liu, A. D. Levinson, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **3**, 44 (1983).
- C. Sim and D. H. Watson, *J. Gen. Virol.* **19**, 217 (1973).
- G. H. Cohen, M. Katze, C. Hydrean-Stern, R. J. Eisenberg, *J. Virol.* **27**, 172 (1978).
- L. A. Lasky, D. Dowbenko, C. Simonsen, P. W. Berman, in preparation.
- W. T. Ruyechan, L. S. Morse, D. N. Knipe, B. Roizman, *J. Virol.* **29**, 677 (1979).
- G. T.-Y. Lee, M. F. Para, P. G. Spear, *ibid.* **43**, 41 (1982).
- F. Sanger, S. Nicklen, A. R. Colson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **74**, 5463 (1977); J. Messing, R. Crea, P. H. Seeburg, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **9**, 309 (1981).
- C. C. Simonsen and A. D. Levinson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**, 2495 (1983).
- J. H. Nunberg, R. J. Kaufman, A. C. Y. Chang, S. N. Cohen, R. T. Schimke, *Cell* **19**, 355 (1980).
- G. Urlaub and L. A. Chasin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **77**, 4216 (1980).
- F. Graham and A. van der Eb, *Virology* **52**, 456 (1973).
- The human cell lines A549 and HEL were grown to confluence in 3.5-cm tissue culture dishes and infected with HSV-1 at a multiplicity of 10 plaque-forming units per cell. Virus-infected cells were labeled by a method similar to that described by Cohen *et al.* (10). The medium was removed 4 hours after infection, and the cells were washed once with fresh medium (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium) and once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Fresh medium containing one-tenth the normal concentration of methionine was then added to the cells along with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (~1450 Ci/mmol) to a final concentration of 75  $\mu$ Ci per milliliter of medium. The cells were grown an additional 20 hours and then harvested by treatment of washed cells with PBS containing EDTA (0.02 percent). Viral proteins were solubilized in lysis buffer consisting of PBS, 3 percent NP-40, 1 percent bovine serum albumin,  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and aprotinin at 0.017 trypsin international unit per milliliter. The resultant lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 12,000g in a microcentrifuge. The gD12 cells were grown to confluence in 10-cm tissue culture dishes, washed twice with PBS, labeled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine, harvested, and solubilized in lysis buffer as described above. For immunoprecipitation reactions the cell or virus lysates were diluted threefold with PBS, mixed with 2 to 5  $\mu$ l of the appropriate antiserum and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C. Antibody-antigen complexes were removed from the reaction medium by the addition of 25  $\mu$ l of fixed *Staphylococcus aureus* (10 percent solution) and were precipitated by centrifugation at 12,000g for 30 seconds (20). The *S. aureus* cells were then washed three times with wash buffer (PBS, 1 percent NP-40, 0.3 percent sodium dodecyl sulfate), and the cells were suspended in 20  $\mu$ l of polyacrylamide gel sample buffer (10 percent glycerol, 5 percent 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.0625M in pH 6.8 tris buffer, and 0.01 percent bromophenol blue) and incubated at 90°C for 3 minutes. After centrifugation (12,000g) for 30 seconds the supernatants were applied to 10 percent polyacrylamide slab gels (20).
- S. Kessler, *J. Immunol.* **115**, 1617 (1975); U. K. Laemmli, *Nature (London)* **227**, 680 (1970).
- S. D. Showalter, M. Zweig, B. Hamper, *Infect. Immun.* **34**, 684 (1981). Monoclonal antibodies to gD, 1-S and 55-S, were provided by Dr. M. Zweig of the Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Md. 21701.
- G. H. Cohen, D. Long, R. J. Eisenberg, *J. Virol.* **36**, 429 (1980).
- L. Pereira, D. Dondero, B. Norrild, B. Roizman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **78**, 5202 (1981).
- R. W. Honess and B. Roizman, *J. Virol.* **16**, 1308 (1975).
- P. Spear, *ibid.* **17**, 991 (1976).
- G. Campadelli-Fiume, L. Poletti, F. Serafini-Cessi, *ibid.* **43**, 1061 (1982); D. C. Johnson and P. G. Spear, *Cell* **32**, 987 (1983); G. H. Cohen, D. Long, J. T. Matthews, M. May, R. Eisenberg, *J. Virol.* **46**, 679 (1983).
- R. J. Bloch, *J. Cell. Biol.* **82**, 629 (1979).
- Human herpetic serums titered against HSV-1 and HSV-2 by inhibition of hemagglutination and by complement fixation assays were provided by J. A. Stewart of the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Ga.
- J. T. Rector, R. N. Lausek, J. E. Oakes, *Infect. Immun.* **38**, 168 (1982).
- The gD12 cells and parental CHO cells were seeded into alternate wells of 96-well microtiter tissue culture plates (Falcon Labware) and were grown to confluence in F12 medium (Gibco) containing 10 percent fetal bovine serum (dialyzed). The cells were washed three times with PBS and then were fixed with 0.0625 percent glutaraldehyde in PBS. The cells were again washed three times with PBS and stored at 4°C in PBS containing 1 percent bovine serum albumin, 100 mM glycine, and 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>. To measure antibody to gD titers, the cells were washed with PBS, and serially diluted antisera were allowed to react with the fixed cells (50  $\mu$ l final volume) for 1 hour at room temperature. Unbound antibody was washed away and the cells were incubated with 50  $\mu$ l of a 1:2000 dilution of goat antibody to human immunoglobulin G coupled to horseradish peroxidase (Tago). The enzyme-linked antibody was allowed to react for 1 hour at room temperature, and the cells were then washed three times with PBS. After incubation, the peroxidase substrate, o-phenylene diamine, was added (200  $\mu$ l), and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 10 minutes. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 2.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50  $\mu$ l), and the absorbance of the reaction medium from each well was determined with an automated plate-reading spectrophotometer (Titertek).
- R. H. Kennett, in *Monoclonal Antibodies*, K. Kerrett, T. McKearn, B. Bechtel, Eds. (Plenum, New York, 1980), pp. 376-377.
- K. L. Herrmon and J. A. Stewart, in *Manual of Clinical Immunology*, N. R. Rose and H. Friedman, Eds. (American Society of Microbiology, Washington, D.C., 1980) p. 343.
- M. Lusky and M. Botchan, *Nature (London)* **293**, 79 (1981).
- R. J. Watson, A. M. Colberg-Poley, C. J. Marcus-Sekura, B. J. Carter, L. W. Enquist, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **11**, 1507 (1983).
- This is Genentech contribution No. 219.

1 September 1983; accepted 21 September 1983

## Electrical Brain Stimulation and the Localization of Cardiopulmonary Function

Eldridge *et al.* (1) found that electrical stimulation of a subthalamic locus could induce locomotion and augment respiration simultaneously. This finding does not support their conclusion that: "Hypothalamic command signals are thus primarily responsible for the proportional driving of locomotion and respiration during exercise." Two erroneous assumptions were made in drawing this conclusion. The first is that the responses elicited by focal stimulation could be assumed to be generated by the region stimulated. The second is that the stimulus-induced hyperpnea was functionally related to the locomotion because both responses could be evoked from the same site.

The subthalamic locomotor region is located within a large, ill-defined area, encompassing much of the hypothalamus, medial thalamus, and brainstem reticular core, all of which can give pressor responses (2, 3) and hyperpnea (3-5) when stimulated. Cohen and Hugelin (5),

among others, found that ventilation rate increased in proportion to the strength of electrical stimulation at many widespread sites in this area. It thus seems unlikely that the hyperpnea can be ascribed specifically to stimulation of the subthalamic locomotor region.

The second issue is whether a causal or functional relation between evoked hyperpnea and evoked locomotion can be assumed. One or both responses could have arisen from stimulation of fibers of passage, from an overlapping spatial distribution of structures subserving locomotion with those subserving cardiac and respiratory functions (6), or from current spread to adjacent structures (7). For instance, urination can be evoked in conjunction with locomotion by brainstem stimulation (8), even though these two responses are not functionally related. A functional relation may be suggested under the circumstances if, regardless of stimulus strength and location, the locomotion

and hyperpnea could not be dissociated. However, hyperpnea was induced in the complete absence of locomotion in the experiment of Eldridge *et al.*, leaving the question of the physiological role of the evoked hyperpnea entirely open.

By way of illustration, during the course of experiments in which electrical stimulation of the mesencephalic reticular formation was sometimes used (9), I obtained the usual pressor response, pupillary dilation, and neocortical desynchronization during the passage of current. In addition to these arousal-related responses, eye movements were elicited when the stimulating electrodes passed near the oculomotor nucleus. The intensity of the eye movements and pressor response was often proportional to stimulus intensity. In this case, it was unlikely that the pressor response was part of a feed-forward mechanism that anticipated the rise in oxygen consumption from activity in the extrinsic muscles of the eye. However, Eldridge *et al.* made this exact argument for an analogous finding obtained during electrical stimulation of the subthalamic locomotor region.

Eldridge *et al.* mentioned that one decorticate paralyzed animal had spontaneous intermittent locomotion and an associated hyperpnea. In contrast to the findings on evoked hyperpnea, this observation does support the idea that locomotion-related hyperpnea is not due to peripheral feedback. However, this was an isolated observation for which no data were presented. Even if this finding was consistent and well documented in a series of animals, thus demonstrating a central mechanism requiring no feedback, such observations would still not support the localization of the hyperpnea to the hypothalamus. That the hypothalamus may be unnecessary for exercise hyperpnea was shown by Shik *et al.* (8). They obtained hyperpnea during locomotion by stimulating the midbrain of cats whose hypothalamus had been removed.

LOYD L. GLENN

Laboratory of Neural Control,  
National Institutes of Health,  
Bethesda, Maryland 20205

#### References and Notes

1. F. L. Eldridge, D. E. Millhorn, T. G. Waldrop, *Science* **211**, 844 (1981).
2. E. O. Feigl, *Acta Physiol. Scand.* **60**, 372 (1964); P. I. Korner, *Physiol. Rev.* **51**, 312 (1971).
3. L. M. N. Bach, *Am. J. Physiol.* **171**, 417 (1952); S. W. Ranson and H. W. Magoun, *Ergebn. Physiol.* **41**, 56 (1939); E. T. Segura and A. Kacelnik, *Exp. Neurol.* **57**, 364 (1977).
4. H. Kabat, *J. Comp. Neurol.* **64**, 187 (1936); J. Orem and R. Lydic, *Sleep* **1**, 49 (1978); J. F. Vibert, D. Caille, F. Bertrand, H. Gromysz, A. Hugelin, *Neurosci. Lett.* **11**, 29 (1979).
5. M. I. Cohen and A. Hugelin, *Arch. Ital. Biol.* **103**, 317 (1965).
6. For a discussion on independent brain systems sharing the same structures, see G. S. Lynch, *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* **70**, 48 (1970).
7. J. B. Ranck, *Brain Res.* **98**, 417 (1975).
8. M. L. Shik, F. V. Severin, G. N. Orlovskii, *Biofizyka* **11**, 659 (1966).
9. L. L. Glenn and W. C. Dement, *Sleep Res.* **8**, 96 (1979).

3 June 1981; revised 10 August 1981

In his first sentence, Glenn states one of the findings of our report (1). He then states our conclusion and attacks that conclusion as though it were based solely on the experiments in which electrical stimulation was used.

Glenn has misquoted several of the references that he uses to show that hyperpnea can be evoked from a wider area of the brain than the subthalamic locomotor region (2). Nevertheless, we recognize the validity of the question and we are not in disagreement about the first issue he raises, that of the general problems of interpretation of electrically evoked responses.

However, we feel that the point is moot in relation to the second issue, the functional relation between locomotion and hyperpnea. In his criticism, Glenn has neglected to point out that many of our animals developed locomotion spontaneously and that respiration and arterial pressure increased when this occurred. He also failed to note that the speed of locomotion, respiratory responses, and pressor responses increased proportionately in an animal that walked spontaneously at two different treadmill speeds. These findings, which were essentially the same as those with electrical stimulation, indicate that Glenn's concern about fortuitous stimulation of unrelated structures subserving locomotion and respiration is not warranted.

Glenn does agree that the develop-

ment of spontaneous fictive locomotion and hyperpnea in a paralyzed animal (a finding that we have observed in several experiments subsequent to our report) supports our conclusion. He then attempts to dismiss this finding by quoting Shik *et al.* (3) to the effect that the "hypothalamus may not be necessary for exercise hyperpnea." However, Glenn is apparently unaware, although we noted it in our report, that the hypothalamus is essential for the development of spontaneous locomotion (4) and that Shik *et al.* (3) made their observations in mesencephalic cats requiring electrical stimulation to induce locomotion.

Glenn makes the additional point that hyperpnea occurred in the absence of locomotion [see figure 2 of our report (1)]. We believe that this does not negate our conclusion, for at rest one of the effector systems (respiration) is above threshold and one (locomotion) is below threshold. A small activation of a common driving mechanism would thus have a demonstrable effect on the above-threshold system but might fail to bring the other to threshold. Our study shows that once both systems are above threshold, further increases of drive affect both in a demonstrably similar manner.

FREDERIC L. ELDRIDGE

DAVID E. MILLHORN

TONY G. WALDROP

Departments of Medicine and  
Physiology, University of North  
Carolina, Chapel Hill 27514

#### References and Notes

1. F. L. Eldridge, D. E. Millhorn, T. G. Waldrop, *Science* **211**, 844 (1981).
2. J. F. Vibert *et al.* [*Neurosci. Lett.* **11**, 29 (1979)] did not use electrical stimulation or generate evoked hyperpnea. J. Orem and R. Lydic [*Sleep* **1**, 49 (1978)] used electrical stimulation of rostral pons and caudal mesencephalon, but did not obtain hyperpnea, that is, an increase in both rate and amplitude of respiratory activity. L. M. N. Bach [*Am. J. Physiol.* **171**, 417 (1952)] showed that bulbar stimulation evokes only apneas, either inspiratory or expiratory, and did not demonstrate the development of hyperpnea.
3. M. L. Shik, F. V. Severin, G. N. Orlovskii, *Biophysics (USSR)* (English translation) **11**, 756 (1966). This is the same as Glenn's reference (8), which is the original Russian language version.
4. J. C. Hinsey *et al.*, *Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry* **23**, 1 (1930); G. N. Orlovskii, *Biophysics (USSR)* (English translation) **14**, 1154 (1969).

7 March 1983

## Electrical brain stimulation and the localization of cardiopulmonary function

LL Glenn

*Science* **222** (4623), 527-528.  
DOI: 10.1126/science.6623095

**ARTICLE TOOLS** <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/222/4623/527>

**REFERENCES** This article cites 14 articles, 1 of which you can access for free  
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/222/4623/527#BIBL>

**PERMISSIONS** <http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science* (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 1983 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.