

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: William D. Carey

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*); Gardner Lindzey (*Social Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editors: Nancy J. Hartnagel, John E. Ringle

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Lawrence I. Grossman, Ruth Kulstad

Associate Editors: Martha Collins, Sylvia Eberhart, William Greaves, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Linda Heiserman

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Caitilin Gordon, Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Isabella Bouldin, *chief*; Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Graphics and Production: John Baker, *assistant manager*; Holly Bishop, Kathleen Cosimano, Eleanor Warner

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

News and Comment: Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey Smith, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

BUSINESS STAFF

Associate Publisher: William M. Miller, III

Business Staff Supervisor: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Associate Business Supervisor: Leo Lewis

Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle

Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments Editor: Richard G. Sommer

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Production Manager: Donna Rivera

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12-Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); Beverly Hills, CA 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

Instructions for contributors appears on page xi of the 28 March 1986 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050.

How in the World Are We?

That question is triggered by the current report, *State of the World 1986*.^{*} The report, which does not set out to be restful reading, presents a balance sheet calculated to agitate misgivings regarding national goals, priorities, and public expenditures. Whatever one thinks of its conclusions, the report serves the public interest in a time of trillion-dollar annual budgets and even more astonishing levels of national debt.

The message of *State of the World 1986* is that global military expenditures are sapping the capacities of big and small nations to stay solvent and provide the resources needed to meet basic priorities. It reminds us that for many countries the true threats to security are not primarily military in nature but rather arise from ecological deterioration, which goes on at an accelerating rate. The authors dwell on the evidence of vanishing forests, soil depletion, falling water tables, ruined grasslands, pressures of population on food sources and economic assets, and the effects of all these interlocked problems on political options and stabilities.

On the bright side, China emerges as a model for economic development, and there is a note of optimism in evidence of receding dependence on oil by the industrialized countries. Still, there is a lot of bad news. If the report reflects the state of much of the world, as it seems to, the uses of public investment by the more fortunate national economies are overdue for reevaluation. But the search for solutions would only begin, not end, if the arms race and militarization budgets were rolled back. The open question, no less problematical than the route to disarmament, would be whether the Western democracies would willingly and generously transfer defense savings to a decade of ecological rescue efforts, and whether for their part the Soviets would do the same in the face of their own dismal domestic miseries and failures. We have found out that economists have a point when they tell us that tax resources are not fungible. Taxes levied to support high national security outlays are not easily reprogrammed or reappropriated to more altruistic purposes in like amounts, certainly not while a huge public debt sits out there or while supply-side nostrums dictate returning tax money to individuals and corporations for the sake of the stimulating consumption, investment, and employment. In its sharpest form the trade-off problem leaves the area of economics and falls squarely into that of politics. We have to wonder whether a public inured to sacrificing for nuclear and conventional deterrence could be persuaded that the national security calls for proportional sacrifice to forestall a global firestorm arising from ecological collapse and its accompanying human desperation.

The case for the "sustainable society" on the global scale has an irrefutable political and indeed moral logic. The time constants reinforce it. But the search for workable solutions does not follow straight lines any more than it does in the instance of the intricate dilemmas posed by terrorism, the rise of Islamic activism, or mutual superpower distrust. As the late Robert Lovett once noted, the foul-up factor is built into the making of choices in an open society, and it is there for very good reasons.

The state of the world deserves a lot of thinking, and the report that has provoked these reflections is profoundly disturbing. It throws perspective onto the limitations of policy planning on the very large and elongated scale. We, and not we alone, come up well short of having the available political technology to match the state of the world's problems on the eve of the third millennium. And for all the fanfare and pretentiousness, the planned economies are in no better shape, laden as they are with ideological baggage. Where the Worldwatch study points us wisely is toward much stronger and better-supported interdisciplinary monitoring of indicators that bear on the chances for progressing toward a sustainable society. This much, at least, can be agreed to.

Within AAAS itself, a new interdisciplinary program on population, resources, and the environment, supported by foundations, is moving ahead. We mean to give it the best we have; for we, too, have our eyes on the state of the world.—WILLIAM D. CAREY

^{*}L. R. Brown et al., *State of the World 1986, A Worldwatch Institute Report on Progress Toward a Sustainable Society* (Norton, New York, 1986).

Science

How in the World Are We?

WILLIAM D. CAREY

Science **232** (4754), 1073.

DOI: 10.1126/science.232.4754.1073

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/232/4754/1073>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.