

1583 This Week in *Science*

Editorial

1585 Dealing in Hot Property

Letters

1587 The Strategic Petroleum Reserve: S. F. SINGER ■ The Dilemma of the Geoscientist: G. M. FRIEDMAN ■ Geometry Problem: L. X. FINEGOLD and S. D. TYAGI ■ Issue Number: Advantages of Absence: P. WRVNN

News & Comment

1589 Grim Projections for AIDS Epidemic

1590 Mobile Missile Design Generates Controversy ■ A Scheme to Attract Missiles and Deter an Attack

1593 Oil Industry R&D Takes a Fall

1594 Federal VDT Study Finally Wins Approval

1595 *Briefing*: High Court Says No to Administration's Baby Doe Rules ■ Feynman Issues His Own Shuttle Report, Attacking NASA's Risk Estimates ■ Cancer Deaths Probed at Pasteur Institute ■ Chilean, U.S. Scientists Given AAAS Awards ■ U.S.—China Exchanges Accentuate Sciences

Research News

1598 Proposal to Sequence the Human Genome Stirs Debate ■ DNA Databases Are Swamped

1601 Snarls from the Cosmic String in Leo

1602 *Geophysical Briefings*: Antarctic Ozone Hole Is Still Deepening ■ Nevado del Ruiz Repeats Itself ■ Thin-Skin Tectonics Is Getting Thinner ■ The Most Complex Magnetic Field

1604 *Briefing*: Predicted El Niño Failing to Show ■ Plant Biochemistry Requires Unique tRNA

Articles

1607 Tenuous Structures from Disorderly Growth Processes: T. A. WITTEN and M. E. CATES

1612 Mechanisms of Memory: L. R. SQUIRE

Reports

1620 Internal Mixture of Sea Salt, Silicates, and Excess Sulfate in Marine Aerosols: M. O. ANDREAE, R. J. CHARLSON, F. BRUYNSEELS, H. STORMS, R. VAN GRIEKEN, W. MAENHAUT

1623 Atmospheric Trace Gases: Trends and Distributions Over the Last Decade: R. A. RASMUSSEN and M. A. K. KHALIL

■ SCIENCE is published weekly on Friday, except the last week in December, and with a plus issue in May by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Second-class postage (publication No. 484460) paid at Washington, DC, and at an additional entry. Now combined with *The Scientific Monthly* © Copyright © 1986 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Domestic individual membership and subscription (51 issues): \$65. Domestic institutional subscription (51 issues): \$98. Foreign postage extra: Canada \$24, other (surface mail) \$27, air-surface via Amsterdam \$65. First class, airmail, school-year, and student rates on request. Single copies \$2.50 (\$3 by mail); back issues \$4 (\$4.50 by mail); Biotechnology issue, \$5.50 (\$6 by mail); classroom rates on request; Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments \$16 (\$17 by mail). Change of address: allow 6 weeks, giving old and new addresses and seven-digit account number. Authorization to photocopy material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by AAAS to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$1 per copy plus \$0.10 per page is paid directly to CCC, 21 Congress Street, Salem, Massachusetts 01970. The identification code for Science is 0036-8075/83 \$1 + .10. Postmaster: Send Form 3579 to Science, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Science is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature* and in several specialized indexes.

■ The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.



COVER Natural populations of wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) frequently contain a genetic polymorphism for flower color. The recessive yellow morphs are more attractive to pollinators than are the white morphs. The increased attractiveness results in more pollen from yellow-flowered individuals being spread among other plants in the population. Consequently, the fitness of the yellow plants is enhanced. See page 1625. [Allison A. Snow, Department of Botany, University of California, Davis, CA 95616]

- 1625 Floral Evolution: Attractiveness to Pollinators Increases Male Fitness: M. L. STANTON, A. A. SNOW, S. N. HANDEL
- 1627 Acetylcholine Receptor Synthesis in Retina and Transport to Optic Tectum in Goldfish: J. M. HENLEY, J. M. LINDSTROM, R. E. OSWALD
- 1629 Aminoguanidine Prevents Diabetes-Induced Arterial Wall Protein Cross-Linking: M. BROWNLEE, H. VLASSARA, A. KOONEY, P. ULRICH, A. CERAMI
- 1632 High Resolution of Mouse Chromosomes: Banding Conservation Between Man and Mouse: J. R. SAWYER and J. C. HOZIER
- 1635 Viral Shedding and Transmission Between Hosts Determined by Reovirus L2 Gene: M. KEROACK and B. N. FIELDS
- 1638 Suppression of Neurite Elongation and Growth Cone Motility by Electrical Activity: C. S. COHAN and S. B. KATER
- 1641 Expression and Cell Type-Specific Processing of Human Preproenkephalin with a Vaccinia Recombinant: G. THOMAS, E. HERBERT, D. E. HRUBY
- 1644 The Product of the Human *c-erbB-2* Gene: A 185-Kilodalton Glycoprotein with Tyrosine Kinase Activity: T. AKIYAMA, C. SUDO, H. OGAWARA, K. TOYOSHIMA, T. YAMAMOTO
- 1646 Different Mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish and Non-Jewish French Canadians with Tay-Sachs Disease: R. MYEROWITZ and N. D. HOGIKYAN
- 1648 An Apical-Membrane Chloride Channel in Human Tracheal Epithelium: M. J. WELSH

Book Reviews

- 1651 Willis R. Whitney, General Electric, and the Origins of U.S. Industrial Research and The Making of American Industrial Research, *reviewed by* J. E. BRITTAIN ■ Geology and Radwaste, W. S. FYFE ■ The Ecology of Rocky Coasts, R. S. STENECK ■ Some Other Books of Interest ■ Books Received

Products & Materials

- 1655 Software for Chemists ■ Superminicomputers ■ Isolated Physiological Stimulator ■ Vibration Isolation for NMR Spectrometers ■ Ultraviolet-Visible Spectrophotometer ■ Parallel Multicomputers

Author Index for Volume 232 is found on pages I-X

Information for Contributors is found on pages XI-XII

Board of Directors

Gerard Piel
*Retiring President,
Chairman*

Lawrence Bogorad
President

Sheila E. Widnall
President-elect

Robert McC. Adams
Robert W. Berliner
Floyd E. Bloom
Mary E. Clutter
Mildred S. Dresselhaus
Donald N. Langenberg
Dorothy Nelkin
Linda S. Wilson
William T. Golden
Treasurer
William D. Carey
Executive Officer

Editorial Board

David Baltimore
William F. Brinkman
Ansley J. Coale
Joseph L. Goldstein
James D. Idol, Jr.
Leon Knopoff
Seymour Lipset
Walter Massey
Oliver E. Nelson
Allen Newell
Ruth Patrick
David V. Ragone
Vera C. Rubin
Howard E. Simmons
Solomon H. Snyder
Robert M. Solow

Board of Reviewing Editors

Qais Al-Awqati
James P. Allison
Luis W. Alvarez
Don L. Anderson
C. Paul Bianchi
Elizabeth H. Blackburn
Floyd E. Bloom
Charles R. Cantor
James H. Clark
Bruce F. Eldridge
Stanley Falkow
Theodore H. Geballe
Roger I. M. Glass

Stephen P. Goff
Robert B. Goldberg
Patricia S. Goldman-Rakic
Richard M. Held
Gloria Heppner
Eric F. Johnson
Konrad B. Krauskopf
Joseph B. Martin
John C. McGiff
Alton Meister
Mortimer Mishkin
Gordon H. Orians
John S. Pearce
Yeshayau Pocker
Frederic M. Richards
James E. Rothman

Thomas C. Schelling
Ronald H. Schwartz
Stephen M. Schwartz
Otto T. Solbrig
Robert T. N. Tjian
Virginia Trimble
Geerat J. Vermeij
Martin G. Weigert
George M. Whitesides
William B. Wood
Harriet Zuckerman

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: William D. Carey

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*); Gardner Lindzey (*Social Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editors: Nancy J. Hartnagel, John E. Ringle

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Lawrence I. Grossman, Ruth Kulstad

Associate Editors: Martha Collins, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Caitilin Gordon, Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Isabella Bouldin, *chief*; Lyle L. Green, Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields, Anna Victoreen

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Graphics and Production: John Baker, *assistant manager*; Holly Bishop, Kathleen Cosimano, Eleanor Warner

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

News and Comment: Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Eliot Marshall, R. Jeffrey Smith, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Barnes, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

BUSINESS STAFF

Associate Publisher: William M. Miller, III

Business Staff Supervisor: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Associate Business Supervisor: Leo Lewis

Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle

Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments Editor: Richard G. Sommer

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Production Manager: Donna Rivera

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burkland

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); Beverly Hills, CA 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581).

Instructions for contributors appears on page xi of the 27 June 1986 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050.

Dealing in Hot Property

The Department of Energy announcement on potential sites for radioactive waste repositories has been greeted with the predictable outcries of protest. Congressmen and governors of western states have denounced the decision to eliminate eastern sites and are declaring that their own localities shall not be the “garbage dumps” of the country. It seems to me that this entire process and possibly similar emotional decisions should be reexamined. In our age of the “official leak” and the “pork barrel” bonus, these two techniques together might solve the nuclear waste problem expeditiously and painlessly.

The first step would be to classify all reports on nuclear waste. The facts of nuclear waste disposal are straightforward. There have been no major accidents involving transport of high-level nuclear waste. The so-called caskets for transporting waste have been tested—trucks loaded with them have been run into brick walls at 60 miles an hour, railroad trains have run into trucks with containers, and there have been many other insults of dramatic proportions. No leakage has occurred. The storage containers are designed to last 300 to 1000 years. Even if they then decompose, the geology of the waste site is selected to limit any further significant spread of radioactive material. A more expensive but technically feasible design could put the containers in shafts that would be accessible so that modifications could be made at some future time. New materials might be available 1000 years from now. By then most of the fission products would have decayed to a much lower level.

The American plan for burial is to use a combination of borosilicate glass and spent-fuel devices placed in corrosive-resistant ferritic containers; the Swedish plan is to put spent-fuel devices inside copper containers. Remote possibilities, such as that copper might be a highly valuable metal in 1000 years enticing grave robbers to steal the containers and so distribute radioactive material around the planet, are considered. While such possibilities are being debated, the radioactive material is being stored above ground in cooling pools, a potentially less safe procedure. Yet no major accident has occurred.

Facts are not believed when they are delivered in pious statements by public officials who lack the credentials of rock stars or television anchors. The National Academy of Sciences’ verification of public statements is tainted, too, since it is part of “the establishment.” The only way it is possible to convince the public is to classify all this material and then leak it sequentially over time. The revelation of a secret creates instant truth. This leaky-faucet approach is slower than official news bulletins but, obviously, it will lead to much higher credibility.

The second step employs the “pork barrel” technique. A sum of several hundred million dollars should be allocated to the locality that obtains a waste site. Moreover, a careful summary should be compiled of the boost to the local economy from new construction and the added personnel on payrolls to administer the facility, to say nothing of the elimination of local crime due to the large number of special police. These economic advantages should be presented in a manner so as to insinuate that the federal official was deliberately tilting the selection of this attractive economic plum and prime tourist attraction to favor local political cronies. An appropriate congressional committee would then investigate and demand that site selection be made available to all regions.

If these two methods were used, the Department of Energy would be flooded by offers from states and localities with deserted mines, barren hills, and decaying ghost towns as appropriate sites for radioactive repositories. A museum lit by Čerenkov radiation would be pushed by chambers of commerce; committees entitled “Waste Makes Haste” would be sending lobbyists to Washington to bring home the hot atom before other communities get into the act. Since it is apparent that leaking secrets and pork barrel politics are impossible to prevent, it is time to use them productively to solve some of our most serious problems. This method of finding an abundance of sites for nuclear waste may be helpful in the future for locating prisons, petroleum refineries, and other underprivileged institutions that have acquired bad public images. This editor is planning to organize a venture capital group to buy some abandoned lead mines in order to capitalize on such a sensible approach to a better environment.—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.