

American Association for the Advancement of Science
Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Alvin W. Trivelpiece

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editor: Nancy J. Hartnagel

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Ruth Kulstad

Associate Editors: Martha Collins, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Phillip D. Szuroni, Kim D. Vandegriff, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Deborah F. Washburn

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Lyle L. Green, Sharon Ryan, Beverly Shields, Anna Victoreen

Production Manager: Karen Schools

Assistant Production Manager: James Landry

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, James J. Olivari, Eleanor Warner

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

News and Comment: Colin Norman, *deputy editor*; William Booth, Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Elliot Marshall, Marjorie Sun, John Walsh

Research News: Roger Lewin, *deputy editor*; Deborah M. Bames, Richard A. Kerr, Gina Kolata, Jean L. Marx, Leslie Roberts, Arthur L. Robinson, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

BUSINESS STAFF

Associate Publisher: William M. Miller, III

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern

Membership Recruitment: Gwendolyn Huddle

Member and Subscription Records: Ann Ragland

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments: Shauna S. Roberts

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-337-4973); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16 St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 24808 Shrubbery Hill Ct. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0392) 31645.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 26 June 1987 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO.

National Institutes of Health: The Centennial Year

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) today is such a highly differentiated mature organism that it is difficult to visualize its origin as a single cell: a small room in the attic of a building on Staten Island. The Hygienic Laboratory, created from a desire to provide relief for sick and disabled seamen, soon proved its worth after cases of cholera were diagnosed among immigrant passengers on the steamship *Alesia* in the 1880s; shortly thereafter, Joseph Goldberger conducted his landmark study on the prevention and cure of pellagra. Those early events established two of the hallmarks of basic research: surprise (pellagra was thought to be a contagious disease and turned out to be a nutritional problem) and delight (the revelation that very practical things result from basic research). The laboratory was later moved to a building in Washington built for the stupendous sum of \$35,000, and eventually became the National Institutes of Health.

In the 1950s Director James Shannon formed an alliance with Congress that vastly increased support for this mission-oriented sponsor of basic research. That special relation between Congress and NIH has been maintained by subsequent directors, including NIH's present leader, James Wyngaarden. In fact, its relation with Congress is so good that each year we watch a soap opera in which the foul fiend (the Office of Management and Budget) threatens the beautiful damsel (NIH) with a fate worse than death (a budget cut) only to be foiled by her rescue by the heroic knight (Congress).

In this issue of *Science*, NIH directors Shannon and Wyngaarden and several staff reporters look at NIH in its centennial year. In discussion of the many facets of NIH, two major reasons for its success stand out. The first is the sophisticated democracy of its research granting procedures. Like democracy, peer review has plenty of critics, some knowledgeable and some not, who point out its flaws with gusto; like democracy, peer review has emerged triumphant because it is so much better than any of the alternatives. It is a process inevitably identified with the vagaries of human judgment, but nevertheless one that is based on expertise, hard work, and a fundamental integrity. Only loyalty to a higher ideal would drive a competent scientist who supposedly values his or her own time to participate three times a year in reading 106 grant proposals and attending a 3-day meeting for an honorarium of \$300.

The second major reason for the success of NIH is that it has always had a broad vision of its mission. Many forget that NIH has always been a mission-oriented agency, beginning with tending to sick sailors and progressing to advocating the health needs of the general public. An early decision of NIH was to interpret Congress' call for cancer research to be best implemented by a general understanding of growth. If cancer research had been narrowly focused on the direct approach of chemotherapy, we would be far behind our current understanding not only of cancer but also of many other diseases. The study of the basic biology of viruses (because they were hypothesized to be a cause of cancer) led to the serendipitous cure for poliomyelitis. The success of the Salk and Sabin vaccines and the increased study of DNA then led through the genetic code back to oncogenes. The decision to emphasize basic research as the route to a practical goal has vastly improved our understanding of cancer as well as viruses, the genetic diseases, hormonal disorders, and mental illness.

NIH sponsors many programs but its leadership in research and its symbiotic relation with universities to expand the frontiers of knowledge in a sophisticated, fair-minded, and cost-effective way provide the soul to an operation in which all those who participate can be justly proud. Idealism is not enough. If altruistic concepts benevolently administered had produced repeated failures, NIH would have a tiny budget today. Its role in the cure and prevention of disease and its contribution to the expansion of basic scientific knowledge have made NIH one of the most successful enterprises of our government. Because the little laboratory in the attic on Staten Island had a combination of vision and altruism, the present organization cannot claim to have invented those values. What NIH has done, and why it deserves a place in history, is to preserve both creativity and integrity in a vast and expensive bureaucracy, an accomplishment which our daily headlines tell us is not easy to achieve.

—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

National Institutes of Health: the centennial year

DE Koshland Jr

Science **237** (4817), 821.

DOI: 10.1126/science.3303327

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/237/4817/821.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.