

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Philip H. Abelson, *Acting*

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editor: John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Assistant Managing Editor: Nancy J. Hartnagel

Senior Editor: Eleanore Butz

Associate Editors: Keith W. Brocklehurst, Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuroni, David F. Voss

Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *editor*

This Week in Science: Ruth Levy Guyer

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara E. Patterson

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Jane Hurd, MaryBeth Shartle, Beverly Shields

Production Manager: Karen Schools Colson

Assistant Production Manager: James Landry

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, James J. Olivari, Yolanda M. Rook

Covers Editor: Grayce Finger

Manuscript Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

News Editor: Barbara J. Culliton

Deputy News Editors: Roger Lewin, Colin Norman

News and Comment/Research News: William Booth, Gregory Byrne, Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Jean L. Marx, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Marjorie Sun, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: David Dickson

Contributing Writer: John Walsh

BUSINESS STAFF

Circulation Director: John G. Colson

Fulfillment Manager: Ann Ragland

Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Karen Morgenstern

Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments: Shauna S. Roberts

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago

Traffic Manager: Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter

Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles

Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller

Sales: New York, NY 10036: J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60914: Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr. (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 23 December 1988 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500.

Advertising correspondence should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725.

Scientific Competency Through Fun

Concern for the scientific education of the children of the United States is much in the news. Recent test scores of American children in comparison with those of their Japanese and European counterparts have created part of the alarm, and lower enrollments in science programs at college and graduate levels have added fuel to the fire. The argument that global competitiveness requires more scientists is being used to add pressure for expanded mathematics and science programs. But there should be a second reason for expansion: fairness, which requires that all children start out with an equal chance for a good education. Part of the reason for the low American test scores is that many schools are underfunded, and most of these are located in regions where the underprivileged and recently arrived immigrants tend to accumulate. Those are precisely the groups who most desperately need the education system to provide the basis for equality of opportunity.

Fortunately, producing an adequate supply of scientists and giving scientific literacy to the nonscientists can be accomplished by the same means—teaching excellent science to every child at the elementary school level. Expanding the base of the pyramid in this way will increase the number of students who emerge from their academic training as the scientists of the future. It will also provide vital background for those who never go on to scientific careers. Voters, judges, and insurance salesmen can have an understanding of the complexity of the scientific world, as well as the ability to operate the computers and sophisticated equipment that even the most menial jobs will require in the future.

If scientific competence is to be extended to all, then the teaching of mathematics in the elementary schools will have to be a fundamental target. Although mathematics may become a minor tool for many adults, even some in science, almost invariably scientists say they did well in mathematics in elementary school; those intimidated by science say, in disproportionate numbers, “I was never good at math.” The myth that mathematics must be difficult lends its study an element of Greek tragedy, in which catastrophic failure inevitably lurks somewhere down the road. It seems essential, therefore, that programs in elementary school mathematics be made to be fun and be taught in an atmosphere of leisure to remove the anxiety that is so often self-fulfilling. Gambling in elementary school may sound like the ultimate in degradation, but playing with cards and rolling dice can introduce principles of probability; flipping coins can be associated with Gaussian distribution; cutting through spheres and cones and squares can teach solid geometry on personal computer screens. A recent report of the National Academy of Sciences* supports such programs and gives excellent advice on how to improve the teaching of science. Studies indicate that there are a higher number of men than women among computer enthusiasts, suggesting a possible bias of many early computer games, which tend to be oriented toward conventional male interests—war, sports strategy, and car races. So it appears that mathematics must be developed as fun before it can be required as an essential.

To those who argue that such programs would mean more time spent on mathematics than on other subjects, such as English, history, and social studies, the answer is, yes, because mathematics is different and intrinsically more difficult. This difficulty arises not necessarily because of higher conceptual challenges but because the skills of mathematics are cumulative. If one learns the history of Greece poorly, that has a minor effect on learning the history of Rome. But if one fails to understand fractions, the ability to complete more advanced calculation, such as algebra or trigonometry, is fatally impaired.

It is time to recognize that the smokestack industry, with its large manual labor force, is disappearing. To be fair to our youth who will not be scientists, and to provide a broader base for future personnel in science, we must develop excellent programs at the elementary level. This improvement requires more money for schools, better salaries for teachers, and more demanding curricula. But all of that may not help unless there are imaginative changes in instruction that will make science fun and build confidence while helping students to a real understanding of scientific approaches. We have tried money without imagination, and it has failed. We have provided imagination without money, and it has failed. Perhaps this time, by analyzing the problem deeply, we can provide both ingredients simultaneously. It might be fair, effective, and fun all at the same time.—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.

*National Research Council, *Everybody Counts: A Report to the Nation on the Future of Mathematics Education* (National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1989).

Scientific Competency Through Fun

DANIEL E. KOSHLAND JR.

Science **243** (4894), 989.

DOI: 10.1126/science.243.4894.989

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/243/4894/989>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

1989 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.