

571 This Week in *Science*

## Editorial

573 The Process of Publication

## Policy Forum

574 On Radiation, Paradigms, and Hormesis: L. A. SAGAN

575 Are Radiation-Induced Effects Hormetic?: S. WOLFF

## Perspective

578 AIDS AND IV Drug Use: D. C. DES JARLAIS, AND S. R. FRIEDMAN

## Letters

581 "Electric" Airliners: R. ALIZART; G. A. FULFORD ■ Animal Experimentation:  
B. P. REINES; N. D. BARNARD ■ Shortage of Scientists: R. C. ATKINSON

## News & Comment

588 Facing a Final Exam at Neptune

590 Making Transgenic Mice: Is It Really That Easy?

592 Computer Engineer Turns Entrepreneur-in-Exile ■ Biologist Monitors Human Rights

593 Estrogen Use Linked to Breast Cancer  
New Rules on Misconduct

## Research News

594 Keeping Up with the Jonezawas

595 How the Grinch Stole Mathematics

596 Supercomputer Market Needs Supersalesmen

598 NCI Team Remodels Key AIDS Virus Enzyme

599 *Briefings*: Bromley Confirmed; Hill Awaits Deputies ■ AZT for ARC ■ Plugging into the Pacific Ocean ■ Roe Plans Hearing on Fate of NIH Monkeys ■ Stars and the Greenhouse ■ Sex Secrets Safe

## Articles

600 The Growth of Japanese Science and Technology: F. NARIN AND J. D. FRAME

605 Ocular Dominance Column Development: Analysis and Simulation:  
K. D. MILLER, J. B. KELLER, M. P. STRYKER

## Research Articles

616 Conserved Folding in Retroviral Proteases: Crystal Structure of a Synthetic HIV-1 Protease: A. WLODAWER, M. MILLER, M. JASKÓLSKI, B. K. SATHYANARAYANA, E. BALDWIN, I. T. WEBER, L. M. SELK, L. CLAWSON *et al.*

## Reports

622 Surface Discharges on Natural Dielectrics in the Solar System: H. CAMPINS AND E. P. KRIDER

■ **SCIENCE** is published weekly on Friday, except the last week in December, and with an extra issue in February by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Second-class postage (publication No. 484460) paid at Washington, DC, and at an additional entry. Now combined with *The Scientific Monthly* © Copyright © 1989 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. The title **SCIENCE** is a registered trademark of the AAAS. Domestic individual membership and subscription (51 issues): \$75. Domestic institutional subscription (51 issues): \$120. Foreign postage extra: Canada \$46, other (surface mail) \$46, air mail via Amsterdam \$85. First class, airmail, school-year, and student rates on request. **Single copy sales**: Current issue, \$3.50; back issues, \$5.00; Biotechnology issue, \$6.00 (for postage and handling, add per copy \$0.50 U.S., \$1.00 all foreign); Guide to Biotechnology Products and Instruments, \$18 (for postage and handling add per copy \$1.00 U.S., \$1.50 Canada, \$2.00 other foreign). Bulk rates on request. **Authorization to photocopy** material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by AAAS to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$1 per copy plus \$0.10 per page is paid directly to CCC, 27 Congress Street, Salem, Massachusetts 01970. The identification code for *Science* is 0036-8075/83 \$1 + .10. **Change of address**: allow 6 weeks, giving old and new addresses and 11-digit account number. **Postmaster**: Send Form 3579 to *Science*, P.O. Box 1722, Riverton, NJ 08077. *Science* is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature* and in several specialized indexes.

■ The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to foster scientific freedom and responsibility, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.



**COVER** Three-dimensional structure of a single zinc finger domain showing backbone fold, cysteine and histidine ligands, and zinc atom, determined by the use of distance and dihedral angle constraints derived from nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. See page 635. [Illustration by Michael Pique, Research Institute of Scripps Clinic, computed on Ardent Titan and Sun TAAC-1 with software from Ray Tracing Corporation and Sun Microsystems]

- 624 Nonequilibrium Molecular Motion in a Hypersonic Shock Wave: G. PHAM-VAN-DIEP, D. ERWIN, E. P. MUNTZ
- 626 Synthesis of Organic Salts with Large Second-Order Optical Nonlinearities: S. R. MARDER, J. W. PERRY, W. P. SCHAEFER
- 628 Scandinavian, Siberian, and Arctic Ocean Glaciation: Effect of Holocene Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Variations: D. R. LINDSTROM AND D. R. MACAYEAL
- 631 Neural Cadherin: Role in Selective Cell-Cell Adhesion: S. MIYATANI, K. SHIMAMURA, M. HATTA, A. NAGAFUCHI, A. NOSE, M. MATSUNAGA, K. HATTA, M. TAKEICHI
- 635 Three-Dimensional Solution Structure of a Single Zinc Finger DNA-Binding Domain: M. S. LEE, G. P. GIPPERT, K. V. SOMAN, D. A. CASE, P. E. WRIGHT
- 638 Attraction of the Parasitic Mite *Varroa* to the Drone Larvae of Honey Bees by Simple Aliphatic Esters: Y. LE CONTE, G. ARNOLD, J. TROUILLER, C. MASSON, B. CHAPPE, G. OURISSON
- 640 Identification of a Zinc Finger Protein That Binds to the Sterol Regulatory Element: T. B. RAJAVASHISTH, A. K. TAYLOR, A. ANDALIBI, K. L. SVENSON, A. J. LUSIS
- 643 The Product of the *mos* Proto-Oncogene as a Candidate "Initiator" for Oocyte Maturation: N. SAGATA, I. DAAR, M. OSKARSSON, S. D. SHOWALTER, G. F. VANDE WOUDE
- 646 Preferential Heterodimer Formation by Isolated Leucine Zippers from Fos and Jun: E. K. O'SHEA, R. RUTKOWSKI, W. F. STAFFORD III, P. S. KIM
- 648 NMDA Antagonists Differentiate Epileptogenesis from Seizure Expression in an in Vitro Model: S. F. STASHEFF, W. W. ANDERSON, S. CLARK, W. A. WILSON
- 651 A Novel mRNA of the A4 Amyloid Precursor Gene Coding for a Possibly Secreted Protein: F. DE SAUVAGE AND J.-N. OCTAVE

## Book Reviews

- 654 E. W. R. Steacie and Science in Canada: reviewed by: J. L. GRANATSTEIN ■ The Mathematical Tourist AND Innumeracy, L. LOEVINGER ■ Arguments on Evolution, D. JABLONSKI ■ Some Other Books of Interest ■ Books Received

## Products & Materials

- 658 Microplate Washer ■ Custom Peptide Synthesis ■ Antibodies ■ CD-ROM Chromatography Database ■ Spatial Imaging of Radioactive Samples ■ Incubator for Blotting ■ Literature

### Board of Directors

Walter E. Massey  
*Retiring President,  
Chairman*

Richard C. Atkinson  
*President*

Donald N. Langenberg  
*President-elect*

Mary Ellen Avery  
Francisco J. Ayala  
Floyd E. Bloom  
Mary E. Clutter  
Eugene H. Cota-Robles  
Joseph G. Gavin, Jr.  
John H. Gibbons  
Beatrix A. Hamburg  
William T. Golden  
*Treasurer*  
Richard S. Nicholson  
*Executive Officer*

### Editorial Board

Elizabeth E. Bailey  
David Baltimore  
William F. Brinkman  
E. Margaret Burbidge  
Philip E. Converse  
Joseph L. Goldstein  
Mary L. Good  
F. Clark Howell  
James D. Idol, Jr.  
Leon Knopoff  
Oliver E. Nelson  
Yasutomi Nishizuka  
Helen M. Ranney  
David M. Raup  
Howard A. Schneiderman  
Larry L. Smarr  
Robert M. Solow  
James D. Watson

### Board of Reviewing Editors

John Abelson  
Qais Al-Awqati  
Don L. Anderson  
Stephen J. Benkovic  
Floyd E. Bloom  
Henry R. Bourne  
James J. Bull  
Kathryn Calame  
Charles R. Cantor  
Ralph J. Cicerone  
John M. Coffin  
Robert Dorfman  
Bruce F. Eldridge  
Paul T. Englund  
Fredric S. Fay  
Theodore H. Geballe

Roger I. M. Glass  
Stephen P. Goff  
Robert B. Goldberg  
Corey S. Goodman  
Jack Gorski  
Stephen J. Gould  
Richard M. Held  
Gloria Heppner  
Eric F. Johnson  
Konrad B. Krauskopf  
Charles S. Levings III  
Richard Losick  
Karl L. Magleby  
Philippa Marrack  
Joseph B. Martin  
John C. McGiff  
Mortimer Mishkin  
Carl O. Pabo

Yeshayau Pocker  
Michael I. Posner  
Dennis A. Powers  
Russell Ross  
James E. Rothman  
Erkki Ruoslahti  
Ronald H. Schwartz  
Vernon L. Smith  
Robert T. N. Tjian  
Virginia Trimble  
Emil R. Unanue  
Geerat J. Vermeij  
Bert Vogelstein  
Harold Weintraub  
Irving L. Weissman  
George M. Whitesides  
Owen N. Witte  
William B. Wood

## American Association for the Advancement of Science

*Science* serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

**Publisher:** Richard S. Nicholson

**Editor:** Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

**News Editor:** Ellis Rubinstein

**Managing Editor:** Patricia A. Morgan

**Deputy Editors:** Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*)

## EDITORIAL STAFF

**Assistant Managing Editor:** Monica M. Bradford

**Senior Editor:** Eleanore Butz

**Associate Editors:** Keith W. Brocklehurst, Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuroni, David F. Voss

**Letters Editor:** Christine Gilbert

**Book Reviews:** Katherine Livingston, *editor*; Susan Milius

**This Week in Science:** Ruth Levy Guyer

**Contributing Editor:** Lawrence I. Grossman

**Chief Production Editor:** Ellen E. Murphy

**Editing Department:** Lois Schmitt, *head*; Mary McDaniel, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway

**Copy Desk:** Joi S. Granger, Jane Hurd, MaryBeth Shartle, Beverly Shields

**Production Manager:** James Landry

**Assistant Production Manager:** Kathleen C. Fishback

**Art Director:** Yolanda M. Rook

**Graphics and Production:** Holly Bishop, Julie Cherry, Catherine S. Siskos

**Covers Editor:** Grayce Finger

**Systems Analyst:** William Carter

## NEWS STAFF

**Correspondent-at-Large:** Barbara J. Culliton

**Deputy News Editors:** Roger Lewin, Colin Norman

**News and Comment/Research News:** Mark H. Crawford,

Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Jean L.

Marx, Joseph Palca, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Marjorie

Sun, M. Mitchell Waldrop

**European Correspondent:** David Dickson

**West Coast Correspondent:** Marcia Barinaga

## BUSINESS STAFF

**Circulation Director:** John G. Colson

**Fulfillment Manager:** Ann Ragland

**Business Staff Manager:** Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

**Classified Advertising Supervisor:** Karen Morgenstern

## ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

**Director:** Earl J. Scherago

**Traffic Manager:** Donna Rivera

**Traffic Manager (Recruitment):** Gwen Canter

**Advertising Sales Manager:** Richard L. Charles

**Marketing Manager:** Herbert L. Burkland

**Employment Sales Manager:** Edward C. Keller

**Sales:** New York, NY 10036; J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broad-

way (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076; C. Richard

Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); Chicago, IL 60914;

Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA

95112; Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset,

VT 05251; Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581);

Damascus, MD 20872; Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr.

(301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918;

Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

**Information for contributors** appears on page XI of the 30 June 1989 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. **Advertising correspondence** should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725.

## The Process of Publication

Interest in the process of scientific publication has most recently been kindled by the investigation of a paper that appeared in *Science* (see News & Comment, 14 July, p. 120, and 28 July, p. 349). The investigation, by a panel of the National Institutes of Health, concluded that the paper was plagiarized. A question was then raised by a congressman of whether the journal should have accepted the paper, which was later judged to have been flawed. Perhaps this is a good time to clarify how acceptance and publication of manuscripts proceed at *Science*.

When a manuscript arrives at *Science* it is given a "received" date and starts through the review process. The decision to publish or reject the paper is based on its merits and editorial criteria. If the manuscript is found to be outdated by information published before our received date, it is rejected. If a paper published elsewhere reporting the same results appears while our manuscript is under review, that published paper cannot be a factor in our decision-making. We expect the competing work to be cited for completeness and accuracy, but we treat the two papers as independent discoveries of the same finding.

There was no charge of plagiarism before publication of the manuscript in question, and the author did include a reference to the competing work. Our retrospective analysis does not indicate that any change in procedures is needed (unless editors could be granted precognition). Had a charge of plagiarism or fakery been made before publication, we would have responded in a different manner. However, we do not routinely assume that arguments over proper credit (a not infrequent event) are evidence of plagiarism (a rare event).

If charges of fraud, backed by documentation, were made against a manuscript under review, *Science* would cooperate in bringing the allegations to the attention of those better able to investigate them. This must always be done with care because journals have limited investigative capabilities as well as a clear responsibility to preserve confidentiality in the peer-review process. Our reviewers include some of the best and busiest scientists in the world, who generally act with honor and altruism. If we cannot protect the privacy of their written and verbal statements, we cannot perform the kind of selection that the readers of *Science* expect. Therefore, we find ourselves in difficult territory when asked to give detailed information to our readers, university committees, or others who may need the information. It would be comforting to say we have precise policies that are activated instantly like computer programs, but our experience indicates that each case is different and involves a tortuous pathway through a mine field of unpleasant alternatives.

At a recent hearing of the House of Representatives Science, Space and Technology Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight, the possibility of granting some degree of immunity from libel litigation to journals and investigative committees was discussed. Immunity is a double-edged sword and, therefore, some safeguards will be necessary. However, if journals are asked to publish retractions in controversial situations in which some coauthors are willing to retract and others are not, they face legal problems. A university investigative committee that reaches controversial conclusions that need to be presented to relevant parties faces similar problems. Because the cost of a libel suit can approach \$1 million even if the journal or panel is vindicated, cautiously worded libel legislation seems needed if scientific organizations are to make improvements as rapidly as some would like.

The incidence of fraud in scientific publishing is far too low to warrant the introduction of procedures that would undermine a system of publication that has served science and the public well for many years. *Science* will continue to expose misconduct when it occurs, but it will also assume good faith unless presented with evidence to the contrary. We will continue to publish research at the forefront and exciting news of science, warts and all—which means there may be the need for corrections at times. We must honor our obligations to authors, to readers, to reviewers, and to the public. Underlying all, we continue to believe that the overwhelming majority of scientists are honorable men and women, and certainly all of them deserve to be considered innocent until proven guilty—DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.