

American Association for the Advancement of Science

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson

Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr.

Deputy Editor: Ellis Rubinstein

Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford

International Editor: Alun Anderson

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (*Engineering and Applied Sciences*); John I. Brauman (*Physical Sciences*); Thomas R. Cech (*Biological Sciences*)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Assistant Managing Editor: Dawn Bennett

Senior Editors: Eleanore Butz, Martha Coleman, Barbara Jasny, R. Brooks Hanson, Katrina L. Kelnor, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szurromi, David F. Voss

Associate Editors: Pamela J. Hines, Kelly LaMarco, L. Bryan Ray

Letters: Christine Gilbert, *Editor*; Steven S. Lapham

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, *Editor*

Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, *Senior Copyeditor*; Julie W. Albers, Valerie Jablow, Steven Powell

Copy Desk: Douglas Casey, Joi S. Granger, Beverly Shields, Kirsten L. Wall

Production: James Landry, *Director*; Wendy K. Shank, *Manager*; Catherine S. Siskos, *Assistant Manager*; Scherraine Mack, *Associate*; Linda C. Owens, *Macintosh Operator*

Art: Amy Decker Henry, *Director*; Julie Cherry, *Assistant Director*; Diana DeFrancesco, *Associate*; Holly Bishop, *Graphics Assistant*

NEWS STAFF

Managing News Editor: Colin Norman

Deputy News Editors: Tim Appenzeller, John M. Benditt, Jean Marx

News and Comment/Research News: Ivan Amato, Faye Flam, Troy Gately (copy), Ann Gibbons, David P. Hamilton, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Joseph Palca, Leslie Roberts, Richard Stone, John Travis (intern)

Bureaus: Marcia Barinaga (West Coast), Michelle Hoffman (Northeast), Anne Simon Moffat (Midwest)

Contributing Correspondents: Joseph Alper, Jeremy Cherfas, Barry A. Cipra, Robert Crease, Elizabeth Culotta, Robert Pool, M. Mitchell Waldrop

MEMBERSHIP/CIRCULATION

Circulation Director: Michael Spinella

Fulfillment Manager: Marlene Zandell

Promotions Manager: Dee Valencia

ADVERTISING AND FINANCE

Associate Publisher: Beth Rosner

Advertising Sales Manager: Susan A. Meredith

Display Recruitment Sales Manager: Janis Crowley

Financial: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold, *Manager*, Julie Eastland, *Senior Analyst*

Marketing Manager: Laurie Hollowell

Traffic Manager: Tina Turano

Traffic Manager (Display Recruitment): Daniel Moran

Line Recruitment: Michele Pearl, *Manager*; Brian Wallace, *Assistant*

Reprints Manager: Corrine Harris

Permissions Manager: Arlene Ennis

Advertising Assistants: Allison Pritchard, Kelly Nickerson, Debbie Cummings

Send materials to Science Advertising, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, or FAX 202-682-0816.

SALES: Northeast/E. Canada: Fred Dieffenbach, Rt. 30, Dorset, VT 05251; 802-867-5581, FAX 802-867-4464 • **Mid-**

Atlantic: Richard Teeling, 28 Kimberly Place, Wayne, NJ 07470; 201-904-9774, FAX 201-904-9701 • **Southeast:** Mark

Anderson, 1915 Brickell Ave, Suite CC-1, Miami, FL 33129; 305-856-8567, FAX 305-856-1056 • **Midwest:** Donald

Holbrook, 1110 North Harvey, Oak Park, IL 60302; 708-386-6921, FAX 708-386-6950 • **West Coast/W. Canada:** Neil

Boylan, 828 Cowper, Ste. A, Palo Alto, CA 94301; 415-323-3302, FAX 415-323-3312 • **Germany/Switzerland/Austria:**

Ric Bessford, World Media Services, Leopoldstrasse 52, 8000

Munich 40, Germany; +49-089-39-00-55, FAX +49-089-39-00-15 • **Japan and Far East:** Masuy Yoshikawa, Orient Echo,

Inc., 1101 Grand Maison Shimomiyabi-cho 2-18, Shinjuku-ku

Tokyo 162, Japan; +3 3235-5961, FAX +3 3235-5852 • **Other:**

Contact Science Advertising: 202-326-6544, FAX 202-682-0816

Information for contributors appears on pages 36–38 of the

3 January 1992 issue. Editorial correspondence, including

requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be

sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Telephone: 202-326-6500. London office: 071-494-0062.

Subscription/Member Benefits Questions: 202-326-6417.

Science: 202-326-6500.

Other AAAS Programs: 202-326-6400.

Major Changes in the Chemical Industry

The chemical industry is in the process of revolutionary changes in matters that affect its relations with the public. At the local level, managers of large plants are seeking advice and interacting with community advisory panels. The industry is making sustained and successful efforts to reduce emissions to the environment. It is implementing many ways of lessening possibilities of injuries to plant personnel and to the public. The changes have come as a result of recognition of a drastic need to improve public perception of the industry.

Chemical plants were valued and welcomed in local communities for much of their history. They provided employment and added to the tax base. Their health and safety record compared favorably to those of other industries. But public perceptions and attitudes changed as concerns mounted about toxicity of chemicals and publicity given to Love Canal and other waste sites. Industry was slow to respond, and public attitudes became quite negative. Then came Bhopal in 1984, and it was obviously necessary to provide some assurance to neighbors of ability to respond to serious accidents. Community Awareness Emergency Response (CAER) teams were organized. This involved interactions of plant managers with local officials. The managers were impressed favorably by constructive cooperation. But attitudes of the general public remained negative, and restrictive state regulations were proposed and enacted. Federal legislation in 1986 required that emissions of many chemicals from plants be reported annually. The results for 1987 were announced in July 1988. They showed that huge tonnages of chemicals were being released to air, water, and earth.

The top management of major companies became convinced that their industry must become pro-active in improving its relations with the public. One of their major collective moves was to establish a "Responsible Care" program under the aegis of the Chemical Manufacturers Association. Its 182 member companies produce 90% of the nation's chemicals.

Three examples of the ten principles of Responsible Care can be paraphrased as follows: (i) Recognize and respond to community concerns about chemicals and plant operations; (ii) develop and produce chemicals that can be manufactured, transported, used, and disposed of safely; and (iii) operate plants and facilities in a manner that protects the environment and health and safety of employees and the public.

When the Responsible Care program was announced, it was greeted with cynicism. However, changes have occurred. Community action panels have been formed. They usually meet with plant management monthly or bimonthly, and their recommendations have affected plant operations. At present there are 160 of them, with the number likely to increase by another 100 this year.

Perhaps more impressive has been a reduction in emissions. With 1987 as a base, emissions were lowered 40% in the 3 years including 1990. The 1991 results will be announced in July and will show a further decrease. Monsanto is on track to achieve a 90% reduction in air emissions by the end of 1992.

Companies have been making continuing efforts to decrease possibilities of accidents and to limit their impact. They have responded to a lesson from Bhopal, which is the desirability of minimizing inventories of dangerous substances whether in process or in storage. For example, amounts of toxic intermediates have been decreased by modifications of processes. Large containers storing chlorine gas have been replaced by small ones with just-in-time delivery of the chemical.

In seeking to improve the safety of plants or to limit their emissions, the industry has available highly capable chemists, chemical engineers, and electronics specialists. Teams of them are formed to study various processes and procedures, and often they produce designs that when implemented decrease emissions or wastes or lead to improved recycling.

Large-scale improvements of plants cannot occur instantly. Illustrative of a problem faced in moving toward zero emissions is the situation at the Tennessee Eastman plant at Kingsport. In its 250 buildings there are 10,000 miles of piping accompanied by an enormous number of flanges, valves, and packings; some develop leaks. Much of the piping system is being replaced or enclosed, but that will take time. Companies have many problems in limiting emissions, but most are moving, and billions of dollars will be spent this year on improvements.—PHILIP H. ABELSON

Science

Major Changes in the Chemical Industry

PHILIP D. ABELSON

Science **255** (5051), 1489.

DOI: 10.1126/science.255.5051.1489

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/255/5051/1489.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

1992 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science