GLOBAL CARBON CYCLE

Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world
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In a 26-year soil warming experiment in a mid-latitude hardwood forest, we documented changes in soil carbon cycling to investigate the potential consequences for the climate system. We found that soil warming results in a four-phase pattern of soil organic matter decay and carbon dioxide fluxes to the atmosphere, with phases of substantial soil carbon loss alternating with phases of no detectable loss. Several factors combine to affect the timing, magnitude, and thermal acclimation of soil carbon loss. These include depletion of microbially accessible carbon pools, reductions in microbial biomass, a shift in microbial carbon use efficiency, and changes in microbial community composition. Our results support projections of a long-term, self-reinforcing carbon feedback from mid-latitude forests to the climate system as the world warms.

A large and poorly understood component of global warming is the terrestrial carbon cycle feedback to the climate system (1). Simulation experiments with fully coupled, three-dimensional carbon-climate models suggest that carbon cycle feedbacks could substantially accelerate or slow climate change over the 21st century (2–4). Both the sign and magnitude of these feedbacks in the real Earth system are still highly uncertain because of gaps in basic understanding of terrestrial ecosystem processes. For example, the potential switch of the terrestrial biosphere from its current role as a carbon sink to a carbon source is critically dependent on the long-term temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter (SOM) decay (5–7) and complex carbon-nitrogen interactions that will likely occur in a warmer world (8–12). However, without long-term field-based experiments, the sign of the feedback cannot be determined, the complex mechanisms regulating that feedback cannot be quantified, and models that incorporate the soil’s role in carbon feedbacks to the climate system cannot be tested. Here, we present results from a long-term (26-year) soil-warming experiment designed to explore these feedback issues in an ecosystem context.

We started our soil warming study in 1991 in an even-aged mixed hardwood forest stand at the Harvard Forest in central Massachusetts (42.54°N, 72.18°W), where the dominant tree species are red maple (Acer rubrum L.) and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.). The soil is a stony loam with a distinct organic matter–rich forest floor. (See the supplementary materials for more information on the site’s soils, climate, and land-use history.)

The field manipulation contains 18 plots, each 6 × 6 m, that are grouped into six blocks. The three plots within each block are randomly assigned to one of three treatments: (i) heated plots in which the average soil temperature is continuously elevated 5°C above ambient by the use of buried heating cables; (ii) disturbance control plots that are identical to the heated plots except that they receive no electrical power; and (iii) undisturbed control plots that have been left in their natural state (no cables). The heating method works well under a variety of moisture and temperature conditions (33). Here, we compared carbon dynamics measured in the heated plots to those measured in the disturbance control plots, so as to isolate heating effects from the effects of cable installation (e.g., root cutting and soil compaction) (14).

We used a static chamber technique (14) to measure soil CO2 emission rates in the study

Fig. 1. Effect of soil warming on soil respiration over 26 years. (A) Annual soil CO2 emissions from the control plots (black bars) and heated plots (gray bars). Asterisks denote years when the heated and control plots are significantly different (paired-sample t tests or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests as appropriate, n = (6, 6). ∗P < 0.05; see supplementary materials). Hatched bars denote years when the heating system was inactive for the majority of the growing season. Error bars denote SEM (n = 6). (B) Four-year rolling mean increase in soil CO2 emissions in the heated plots relative to the control plots, excluding years when the heating system was inactive for the majority of the growing season. Error bars denote SEM derived from propagating SE estimates from (A) through the operations necessary to produce (B). See fig. S4 for annual changes in soil CO2 emissions in the heated plots relative to the control plots.
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plots monthly between April and November each year for 26 years (see supplementary materials). An ephemeral but significant soil respiration response to warming occurred over the experiment’s first decade (Fig. 1), with soil respiration greater in the heated plots than in the controls (phase I). In the next phase of the response, phase II (years 11 to 17), soil respiration rates in the heated plots were generally equal to or less than those in the control plots. During years 18 to 23 of the study (phase III), we observed a second trend reversal, with soil respiration once again higher in the heated plots. In the three most recent years (phase IV) of the study, 2014 to 2016, soil respiration rates in the heated plots were again equal to or less than those in the control plots.

We partitioned soil respiration into its two components, root and microbial respiration. On the basis of field measurements of root respiration made between June and November 2009, we developed a temperature-driven root respiration model that we used to estimate root respiration over the course of the experiment (see supplementary materials). We calculated microbial respiration by difference, with microbial respiration equaling soil respiration minus root respiration (10). Our estimate is that two-thirds of the cumulative CO2-C emitted from the plots over the 26-year study has been microbial. By this analysis, we calculate a warming-induced soil carbon loss from the full soil profile over the 26-year study of 1510 ± 160 g C m−2, which is equivalent to a 17% loss of the soil carbon found in the top 60 cm of the soil at the start of the experiment. With respect to timing, we estimate that about three-quarters of this soil carbon loss occurred during phase I, and the remaining quarter during phase III. No measurable carbon loss occurred during either phase II or phase IV (Fig. 2).

In both the control and heated plots, we made direct measurements of carbon stocks in the upper horizons of the soil profile—the distinct, organic matter–rich surface horizon or forest floor and the top 30 cm of the mineral soil just beneath the forest floor (Fig. S1). We measured a carbon loss from the forest floor in response to soil warming of 800 ± 300 g C m−2, which represents a 31% reduction in forest floor carbon stock over the 26-year study. With our direct measurements, we did not detect any statistically significant changes in the carbon stocks across the top 30 cm of the mineral soil horizon. However, combining our estimate of carbon loss from the full profile based on the respiration measurements with the carbon loss measured from the forest floor, we estimate that the warming-induced carbon loss from the mineral soil in the full soil profile over the study period was 710 g C m−2. An in situ soil warming experiment in a California forest also shows that warming increases the decay of subsoil organic matter (15).

We explored possible relationships between the multiphase pattern in soil respiration and multiyear variations in climate, and found none (see supplementary materials and fig. S2, A and B).
We propose that several biogeochemical factors combine to affect the timing, magnitude, and thermal acclimation of soil carbon loss. These include depletion of microbially accessible carbon pools, reductions in microbial biomass, a shift in microbial carbon use efficiency, and changes in microbial community composition. Thermal acclimation, a phenomenon observed in response to soil warming in a grassland ecosystem (18), was evident in all four phases of our long-term soil warming experiment, such that at a given temperature there was less respiration from the heated plots than from the control plots (Fig. 3). During phases I and III, the acclimation was insufficient to compensate for the 5°C increase in soil temperature, so that CO2 emissions from heated plots were greater than from control plots. During phases II and IV, however, acclimation was large enough to compensate for the 5°C increase in soil temperature.

In this study, the importance of thermal acclimation of the soil respiration response became evident when power to the heated plots was off for part of the year in 1995 and 2005 and throughout 2010. The power shutdowns in 1995 and 2005 resulted when summer lightning strikes damaged the system that controlled experimental heating. The power shutdown in 2010 was planned and carried out to increase our understanding of the thermal acclimation response. In the three instances, soil respiration rates in the powered-down heated plots dropped below those of the controls after the power was off, and they returned (within weeks) to rates above the controls once the power was restored (fig. S3).

Our biogeochemical and molecular observations suggest that warming causes cycles of soil carbon decay punctuated by periods of structural and functional changes in the microbial community. Sustained reductions of microbial biomass over the course of the experiment [(17–19) and this study] have been accompanied by several other changes, including (i) altered respiratory and lipid profiles (17); (ii) changes in microbial community structure and function as determined using small subunit ribosomal RNA analysis (20, 21), metagenomics (22), and enzyme assays (19, 21); (iii) characterization of substrate utilization profiles of bacterial isolates (22); and (iv) measurements of microbial carbon use efficiency (23).

We have integrated these observations (Table 1) to develop a conceptual model of the time-varying (four-phase) effects of soil warming on feedback to climate.

Phase I was a period of substantial soil carbon loss, especially from the surface organic horizon. The rate of carbon loss essentially followed an exponential decay pattern, rapid at first, slowing to near zero over the experiment’s first decade. In phase II, soil respiration rates in the heated plots were generally equal to or less than those in the control plots. The transition from phase I to phase II was characterized by a depletion of a labile C pool (18), which is considered to be the driver of reduced microbial biomass (24).

Phase II appears to have been a period of microbial community reorganization, leading to changes in structure and function. During this time, soil heating reduced the abundance of fungal biomarkers and also caused a shift

### Table 1. Changes observed in soil C pools and the microbial community in response to soil warming of 5°C above ambient in phases II to IV of the 26-year experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Organic</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent change</td>
<td>Effect size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion of labile soil C pool</td>
<td>~25%</td>
<td>~3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial use of simple C</td>
<td>~33%</td>
<td>~4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial use of simple C</td>
<td>~44%</td>
<td>~3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial biomass</td>
<td>~74%</td>
<td>~3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial biomass</td>
<td>~26%</td>
<td>~3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative loss of fungal biomass</td>
<td>~19%</td>
<td>~3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline in fungal:bacterial ratio</td>
<td>~14%</td>
<td>~5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring of microbial community</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift toward more oligotrophic community</td>
<td>~5.0%</td>
<td>~1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration of microbial reduction of lignin</td>
<td>~6.0%</td>
<td>~0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial biomass</td>
<td>~23%</td>
<td>~1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in temperature sensitivity of microbial efficiency for recalci descriptor substrates</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteration of fungal:bacterial ratio of C-degrading genes</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased lignin relative abundance</td>
<td>~24%</td>
<td>~1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial biomass</td>
<td>~40%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in microbial biomass</td>
<td>~25%</td>
<td>~0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased lignin relative abundance</td>
<td>~17%</td>
<td>~0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
toward Gram-positive bacteria and especially actinobacteria (17). Along with these structural changes, heating reduced the capacity of microbial community to utilize simple C substrates (17).

The transition from phase II to phase III was characterized by a continued shift toward a more oligotrophic microbial community with increased diversity due to increased evenness (Pielou’s J statistic), reduced microbial biomass, and reduced fungal dominance, as evidenced by the same population size of bacteria and narrowed fungal/bacterial ratios for C-degrading genes (20).

In phase III, soil respiration rates were higher in the heated plots than in the controls. This third phase appears to have been a period when recalcitrant substrates such as lignin became an important source of carbon for the microbial community. This is consistent with the evidence that during phase III, relative to controls, there was an increase by a factor of 4 in potential lignin-degrading enzyme (lignase) activity in surface soils from the heated plots (21). As phase III transitioned toward phase IV, we observed a reduction in the relative abundance of lignin in the soil C pool in the surface mineral horizon (29). At the same time, the magnitude of the carbon loss to the atmosphere through decomposition during this period may have been attenuated somewhat by a shift toward higher microbial carbon use efficiency for recalcitrant substrates in warmed soils relative to control soils (23).

Our study is just 3 years into phase IV. This new phase may turn out to be another period of microbial community reorganization that will eventually transition to yet another phase of further carbon loss from decay of recalcitrant forms of SOM. Because recalcitrant SOM pools make up a substantial fraction of global soil carbon stocks (23), small changes in the decay rates of these pools could result in a large self-reinforcing feedback to the climate system over multiple decades (26). As a preliminary test of global significance, extrapolating our results to the world’s forests, we estimate a global aggregate soil carbon loss from the upper 1 m of soil (27) over the 21st century of ~190 Pg C. This does not account for possible future climate-driven changes in plant-soil interactions that could affect the long-term balance between the formation and decomposition of SOM. Critical to this balance will be changes in the amount of fresh carbon transferred from plants to the soils as the world warms. Inputs of this fresh carbon can contribute to soil carbon sequestration, but they can also accelerate the decomposition of more recalcitrant forms of SOM through biological priming mechanisms (28).

Our first-order estimate of a warming-induced loss of 190 Pg of soil carbon over the 21st century is equivalent to the past two decades of carbon emissions from fossil fuel burning (29) and is comparable in magnitude to the cumulative carbon losses to the atmosphere due to human-driven land use change during the past two centuries (30). A transfer of carbon of this magnitude from forest soils to the atmosphere in response to warming would amplify the mitigation challenge already faced by society. It is also important to recognize that a global-scale, microbially mediated feedback could be very difficult, if not impossible, to halt.
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Climate and the carbon cycle
It is still not clear how global warming will affect the global carbon cycle, either in terms of the magnitude of the effect or even its sign. Help in answering that question will come from long-term field-based experiments designed to explore carbon cycle-climate feedbacks in an ecosystem context. Melillo et al. performed a 26-year soil-warming experiment in a mid-latitude hardwood forest (see the Perspective by Metcalfe). Warming has resulted in a complex pattern of net carbon loss from the soil. These results support projections of a long-term, positive carbon feedback from similar ecosystems as the world warms.
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