

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1916

THE EVOLUTION OF HERBS

CONTENTS

<i>The Evolution of Herbs</i> : DR. EDMUND W. SINNOTT	291
<i>Contributions of Chemistry to the Science and Art of Medicine</i> : DR. L. JUNIUS DESHA... ..	298
<i>The School of Hygiene and Public Health at the Johns Hopkins University</i>	302
<i>The National Exposition of Chemical Industries</i>	303
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	304
<i>University and Educational News</i>	309
<i>Discussion and Correspondence</i> :—	
<i>Amblystoma not Amblystoma</i> : DR. CHARLES P. G. SCOTT. <i>Amblystoma</i> : F. STURGES ALLEN. <i>The Lime Requirement of Soils</i> : F. P. VEITCH. <i>The Survival of Beat in the Removed Heart of the Snapping Turtle</i> : DR. PHILIP B. HADLEY	309
<i>Quotations</i> :—	
<i>Scientific Societies and the Government</i> ...	312
<i>Scientific Books</i> :—	
<i>Farrington on Meteorites</i> : DR. GEORGE P. MERRILL. <i>Weld's Theory of Errors and Least Squares</i> : PROFESSOR CHARLES C. GROVE	314
<i>Aristotle's Echeneis not a Sucking Fish</i> : DR. E. W. GUDGER	316
<i>Special Articles</i> :—	
<i>Antagonism and Weber's Law</i> : PROFESSOR W. J. V. OSTERHOUT. <i>Do Fungi live and produce Mycelium in the Soil?</i> SELMAN A. WAKSMAN	318
<i>The American Chemical Society</i> : DR. CHARLES L. PARSONS	322

THE most ancient system of botanical classification which we know, first proposed by Aristotle and Theophrastus and even continued after the dawn of modern botany with the herbalists of the sixteenth century, divided all plants into three great and easily distinguishable groups, the trees, the shrubs and the herbs. As time went on, however, and as botanical knowledge grew more and more thorough, it became evident that any system of this sort, based simply on the habit of growth, not alone brought together many plants unrelated in almost every respect but separated others which clearly resembled one another in most of their characters. The old classification was therefore gradually abandoned and in its place grew up various systems in which an attempt was made to gather plants into more natural groups. Finally the theory of evolution, with its emphasis on actual genetic relationship as the basis of all sound classification, gave a great incentive to the building of hypothetical family trees and lines of descent in the vegetable kingdom. Almost all of these have been founded mainly on a comparative study of the various floral parts; and it is therefore with such structures that modern students of the morphology and taxonomy of plants have for the most part concerned themselves. The various types of growth habit, those most evident and striking of plant characters, so much emphasized by the earlier botanists, have consequently been largely neglected as being too variable and too dependant on a changing environment to be of much use in determining actual relationships.

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Science

44 (1131)

Science **44** (1131), 291-326.

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/44/1131.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.