

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, AUGUST 26, 1921

WHOSE BUSINESS IS THE PUBLIC HEALTH?¹

<i>The American Association for the Advancement of Science:</i>	
<i>Whose Business is the Public Health:</i> PROFESSOR FREDERICK P. GAY.....	159
<i>The Aboriginal Population of California:</i>	
PROFESSOR A. L. KROEBER.....	162
<i>The Centenary of the Birth of Hermann von Helmholtz:</i> DR. T. C. MENDENHALL.....	163
<i>Scientific Events:</i>	
<i>Deaths of German Men of Science; Progress in the Work of Mapping the United States; The Tongass National Forest; The Roosevelt Wild Life Memorial.....</i>	165
<i>Scientific Notes and News.....</i>	167
<i>University and Educational News.....</i>	169
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:</i>	
<i>The Temple Hill Mastodon:</i> SHERMAN C. BISHOP. <i>A More Phenomenal Shoot:</i> W. F. PROUTY. <i>A Phytophthora Parasitic on Peony:</i> DR. H. W. THURSTON, JR., and C. R. ORTON	170
<i>Quotations:</i>	
<i>Fair Weather Predictions.....</i>	171
<i>Special Articles:</i>	
<i>The Duboscq Type of Colorimeter for the Demonstration of Differences in Surface Tension:</i> DR. FREDERICK S. HAMMETT. <i>Variation of Individual Pigs in Economy of Gain:</i> DR. E. ROBERTS.....	172
<i>The American Chemical Society:</i> DR. CHARLES L. PARSONS	174

THE larger the field of usefulness of any science or art, the more obvious its applications, the greater is its danger of exploitation. Just as real estate and insurance attract the business incompetent so does public health attract the intellectual "piker." All things to all men, dripping with statistical odds and ends, full of startling though often uncontrolled results, stamped with the hall-mark of altruism, public health draws the well-meaning and self-seeking alike. Even when based on the greatest accuracy that science affords it often becomes essentially inaccurate through the medium of its interpreters and its employment.

In this large forest of accuracies and inaccuracies, of scientific principles and their application, it would seem that one should counsel simplification rather than elaboration—and yet my idea is that we have not thought of public health in a large enough way—we have indeed failed to see the woods for the trees. What then is public health?

Let us recall, to begin with, that "health" means a normal condition not only of body but of mind and morals as well. We may stretch our definition a little further and following Henderson demand that "health" include not only a normal individual but a normal environment. The business of public health then consists in the detection, correction and prevention of the maladjustments of human life, individual and collective. The forces of public health are engaged in war against "The Kingdom of Evil." Some of you may recall the service that Southard rendered social workers in offering them an orderly classification of their labors. The analy-

¹ Address read in a Symposium on Science and the Public Health before the Pacific Division of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Aug. 4, 1921.

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to The Editor of Science, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Science

54 (1391)

Science **54** (1391), 159-178.

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/54/1391.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 1921 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.